arXiv:math/0508382v3 [math.RT] 11 Jul 2006

LOCAL GEOMETRIC LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE AND AFFINE KAC-MOODY ALGEBRAS

EDWARD FRENKEL AND DENNIS GAITSGORY

Dedicated to Vladimir Drinfeld on his 50th birthday

Contents

Introduction 2

Part I. Opers and Miura opers 14
1. Opers 14
2. Opers with singularities 20
3. Miura opers 27
4. Groupoids and Lie algebroids associated to opers 37

Part II. Categories of representations 47
5. Definition of categories 47
6. The main conjecture 49
7. Generalities on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-modules 52
8. The case of regular opers 59
9. A manipulation with equivariant cohomology: proof of Theorem 8.11.1 68

Part III. Wakimoto modules 71
10. Free field realization 71
11. Construction of Wakimoto modules 77
12. Convolution action on Wakimoto modules 80
13. Wakimoto modules at the critical level 84

Part IV. Proof of Main Theorem 6.3.2 89
14. The module $\mathbf{\Pi}$ 89
15. The module $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ via the affine Grassmannian 94
16. Proofs of the main theorems 97
17. Proof of Theorem 15.2.1 100
18. Comparison with semi-infinite cohomology 105

Part V. Appendix 110
19. Miscellanea 110
20. Action of a group on a category 120
21. D-modules on group ind-schemes 129

Date: August 2005; Revised: November 2005.

Both authors were supported by the DARPA grant HR0011-04-1-0031 and E.F. was also supported by the NSF grant DMS-0303529.

22. Convolution 136
23. Categories over topological commutative algebras 148
References 154

Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and G a connected algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The affine Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the universal central extension of the formal loop agebra $\mathfrak{g}((t))$. Representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ have a parameter, an invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$, which is called the level. Representations corresponding to the bilinear form which is equal to minus one half of the Killing form are called representations of *critical level.* Such representations can be realized in spaces of global sections of twisted D-modules on the quotient of the loop group $G((t))$ by its "open compact" subgroup K, such as $G[[t]]$ or the Iwahori subgroup I.

This is the first in a series of papers devoted to the study of the categories of representations of the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of the critical level and D-modules on $G((t))/K$ from the point of view of a geometric version of the local Langlands correspondence. Let us explain what we mean by that.

0.1. First of all, we recall the classical setting of local Langlands correspondence. Let $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ be a local non-archimedian field such as $\mathbb{F}_q((t))$ and G a connected reductive algebraic group over $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$. The local Langlands correspondence sets up a relation between two different types of data. Roughly speaking, the first data are the equivalence classes of homomorphisms, denoted by σ, from the Galois group of $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ (more precisely, its version, called the Weil-Deligne group) to $\check{G}$, the Langlands dual group of G. The second data are the isomorphism classes of irreducible smooth representations, denoted by π, of the locally compact group $G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})$ (we refer the reader to [Vog] for a precise formulation of this correspondence).

A naive analogue of this correspondence in the geometric situation is as follows. Since the geometric analogue of the Galois group is the fundamental group, the geometric analogue of a homomorphism from the Galois group of $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ to $\check{G}$ is a $\check{G}$-local system on $\operatorname{Spec}\hat{\mathcal{K}}$. Now we wish to replace $\hat{\mathcal{K}} = \mathbb{F}_q((t))$ by $\mathbb{C}((t))$. Then $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}((t))$ is the formal punctured disc $\mathcal{D}^\times$. By a $\check{G}$-local system on $\mathcal{D}^\times$ we will always understand its de Rham version: a $\check{G}$-bundle on $\mathcal{D}^\times$ with a meromorphic connection that may have a pole of an arbitrary order at the origin. By analogy with the classical local Langlands correspondence, we would like to attach to such a local system a representation of the formal loop group $G((t)) = G(\mathbb{C}((t)))$. However, we will argue in this paper that in contrast to the classical setting, this representation of $G((t))$ should be defined not on a vector space, but on a *category* (see Sect. 20.4 where the notion of a group acting on a category is spelled out).

Thus, to each $\check{G}$-local system σ we would like to attach an abelian category $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ equipped with an action of the ind-group $G((t))$. This is what we will mean by a geometric local Langlands correspondence for the formal loop group $G((t))$. This correspondence may be viewed as a "categorification" of the classical local Langlands correspondence, in the sense that we expect the Grothendieck groups of the categories $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ to "look like" irreducible smooth representations of $G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})$. At the moment we cannot characterize $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ in local terms. Instead, we shall now explain how this local correspondence fits in with the pattern of the global geometric Langlands correspondence.

In the global geometric Langlands correspondence we start with a smooth projective connected curve X over $\mathbb{C}$ with distinct marked points $x_1, ..., x_n$. Let σ^{glob} be a $\check{G}$-local system on $\overset{\circ}{X} = X\backslash\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$, i.e., a $\check{G}$-bundle on $X\backslash\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ with a connection which may have poles of arbitrary order at the points $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Let $\mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,\ldots,x_n}$ be the moduli stack classifying G-bundles on X with the full level structure at $x_1, ..., x_n$ (i.e., trivializations on the formal discs $\mathcal{D}_{x_i}$ around x_i). Let $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,\ldots,x_n})$–mod be the category of D-modules on $\mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,\ldots,x_n}$. One defines, as in [BD1], the Hecke correspondence between $\mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,\ldots,x_n}$ and $\overset{\circ}{X} \times \mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,\ldots,x_n}$ and the notion of a Hecke "eigensheaf" on $\mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,\ldots,x_n}$ with the "eigenvalue" σ^{glob}.

The *Hecke correspondence* is the following moduli space:

$$\mathcal{H}ecke = \{(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', x, \phi) \mid \mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,\ldots,x_n}, x \in \overset{\circ}{X}, \phi : \mathcal{P}|_{\circ X\backslash x} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}'|_{\circ X\backslash x}\}.$$

It is equipped with the projections

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathcal{H}ecke \arrow[dl, "\overleftarrow{h}"'] \arrow[dr, "\overrightarrow{h}"] & \\ \mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,\ldots,x_n} & & \overset{\circ}{X} \times \mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,\ldots,x_n} \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\overleftarrow{h}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', x, \phi) = \mathcal{P}$ and $\overrightarrow{h}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', x, \phi) = (x, \mathcal{P}')$. The fiber of $\mathcal{H}ecke$ over $(x, \mathcal{P}')$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Gr}_x^{\mathcal{P}'}$, the twist of the affine Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_x = G(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x)/G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_x)$ by the $G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_x)$–torsor of trivializations of $\mathcal{P}'|_{\mathcal{D}_x}$ (here we denote by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_x$ and $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_x$ the completed local ring of X at x and its field of fractions, respectively). The stratification of Gr_x by $G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_x)$–orbits induces a stratification of $\mathcal{H}ecke$. The strata are parametrized by the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of the Langlands dual group $\check{G}$. To each such isomorphism class V therefore corresponds an irreducible D-module on $\mathcal{H}ecke$ supported on the closure of the orbit labeled by V. We denote it by $\mathcal{F}_V^{\mathrm{glob}}$.

One defines the Hecke functors $H_V, V \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{R}ep(\check{G}))$ from the derived category of D-modules on $\mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,\ldots,x_n}$ to the derived category of D-modules on $\overset{\circ}{X} \times \mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,\ldots,x_n}$ by the formula

$$H_V(\mathcal{F}) = \overrightarrow{h}_!(\overleftarrow{h}^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_V^{\mathrm{glob}}).$$

A D-module on $\mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,\ldots,x_n}$ is called a *Hecke eigensheaf with eigenvalue* σ^{glob} if we are given isomorphisms

$$H_V(\mathcal{F}) \simeq V_{\sigma^{\mathrm{glob}}} \boxtimes \mathcal{F} \tag{0.1}$$

of D-modules on $\overset{\circ}{X} \times \mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,\ldots,x_n}$ which are compatible with the tensor product structure on the category of representations of $\check{G}$ (here $V_{\sigma^{\mathrm{glob}}}$ is the associated vector bundle with a connection on $\overset{\circ}{X}$ corresponding to σ^{glob} and V).

The aim of the global geometric Langlands correspondence is to describe the category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,\ldots,x_n})^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\sigma^{\mathrm{glob}}}$–mod of such eigensheaves.

For example, if there are no marked points, and so σ^{glob} is unramified everywhere, it is believed that this category is equivalent to the category of vector spaces, provided that σ^{glob} is sufficiently generic. In particular, in this case $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Bun}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\sigma^{\mathrm{glob}}}$–mod should contain a unique, up to an isomorphism, irreducible object, and all other objects should be direct sums of its copies. The irreducible Hecke eigensheaf may be viewed as a geometric analogue of an unramified automorphic function from the classical global Langlands correspondence. This Hecke eigensheaf has been constructed by A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld in [BD1] in the case when σ^{glob} has an additional structure of an "oper".

In order to explain what we expect from the category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,\ldots,x_n})^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\sigma^{\mathrm{glob}}}$–mod when the set of marked points is non-empty, let us revisit the classical situation. Denote by $\mathbb{A}$ the ring of adèles of the field of rational functions on X. Let $\pi_{\sigma^{\mathrm{glob}}}$ be an irreducible automorphic representation of the adèlic group $G(\mathbb{A})$ corresponding to σ^{glob} by the classical global Langlands correspondence. Denote by $(\pi_{\sigma^{\mathrm{glob}}})_{x_1,...,x_n}$ the subspace of $\pi_{\sigma^{\mathrm{glob}}}$ spanned by vectors unramified away from $x_1, ..., x_n$. Then $(\pi_{\sigma^{\mathrm{glob}}})_{x_1,...,x_n}$ is a representation of the locally compact group $\prod\limits_{i=1,...,n} G(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{x_i})$ (here $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{x_i}$ denotes the local field at x_i). A basic compatibility between the local and global classical Langlands correspondences is that this representation should be isomorphic to the tensor product of local factors

$$\bigotimes_{i=1,...,n} \pi_{\sigma_i},$$

where π_{σ_i} is the irreducible representation of $G(\hat{\mathcal{K}}_i)$, attached via the local Langlands correspondence to the restriction σ_i of σ^{glob} to the formal punctured disc around x_i.

In the geometric setting we view the category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,...,x_n})^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\sigma^{\mathrm{glob}}}$–mod as a "categorification" of the representation $(\pi_{\sigma^{\mathrm{glob}}})_{x_1,...,x_n}$. Based on this, we expect that there should be a natural functor

$$\bigotimes_{i=1,...,n} \mathcal{C}_{\sigma_i} \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Bun}_G^{x_1,...,x_n})^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\sigma^{\mathrm{glob}}}\text{–mod}, \tag{0.2}$$

relating the local and global categories. Moreover, we expect this functor to be an equivalence when σ^{glob} is sufficiently generic. This gives us a basic compatibility between the local and global geometric Langlands correspondences.

0.2. How can we construct the categories $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ and the corresponding functors to the global categories? At the moment we see two ways to do that. In order to explain them, we first illustrate the main idea on a toy model.

Let G be a split reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}$, and B its Borel subgroup. A natural representation of the finite group $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is realized in the space of complex (or $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-) valued functions on the quotient $G(\mathbb{F}_q)/B(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We can ask what is the "correct" analogue of this representation when we replace the field $\mathbb{F}_q$ by the complex field and the group $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ by $G(\mathbb{C})$. This may be viewed as a simplified version of our quest, since instead of considering $G(\mathbb{F}_q((t)))$ we now look at $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

The quotient $G(\mathbb{F}_q)/B(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of the algebraic variety G/B defined over $\mathbb{Z}$ called the flag variety of G. Let us recall the Grothendieck *faisceaux-fonctions* dictionary: if $\mathcal{F}$ is an ℓ-adic sheaf on an algebraic variety V over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and x is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-point of V, then we have the Frobenius conjugacy class Fr_x acting on the stalk $\mathcal{F}_x$ of $\mathcal{F}$ at x. Hence we can define a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-valued function $\mathtt{f}_q(\mathcal{F})$ on the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of X, whose value at x is $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Fr}_x, \mathcal{F}_x)$. We also obtain in the same way a function on the set $V(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$-points of V for $n > 1$. This passage from ℓ-adic sheaves to functions satisfies various natural properties. This construction identifies the Grothendieck group of the category of ℓ-adic sheaves on V with a subgroup of the direct product of the spaces of functions on $V(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}), n > 0$ (see [Lau]). Thus, the category of *ell*-adic sheaves (or its derived category) may be viewed as a categorification of this space of functions.

This suggests that in order to pass from $\mathbb{F}_q$ to $\mathbb{C}$ we first need to replace the notion of a function on $(G/B)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ by the notion of an ℓ-adic sheaf on the variety $(G/B)_{\mathbb{F}_q} = G/B \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{F}_q$.

Next, we replace the notion of an ℓ-adic sheaf on G/B considered as an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$, by a similar notion of a constructible sheaf on $(G/B)_{\mathbb{C}} = G/B \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}$ which is an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$. The group $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ naturally acts on $(G/B)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and hence on this category. We shall now apply two more metamorphoses to this category.

Recall that for a smooth complex algebraic variety V we have a Riemann-Hilbert correspondence which is an equivalence between the derived category of constructible sheaves on V and the derived category of D-modules on V that are holonomic and have regular singularities. Thus, over $\mathbb{C}$ we may pass from constructible sheaves to D-modules. Generalizing this, we consider the category of all D-modules on the flag variety $(G/B)_{\mathbb{C}}$. This category carries a natural action of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let us also recall that by taking global sections we obtain a functor from the category of D-modules on $(G/B)_{\mathbb{C}}$ to the category of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. Moreover, A. Beilinson and J. Bernstein have proved [BB] that this functor is an equivalence between the category of D-modules on $(G/B)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and the category of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules on which the center of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ acts through the augmentation character. Observe that the latter category also carries a natural $G_{\mathbb{C}}$-action that comes from the adjoint action of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$.

We arrive at the following conclusion: a meaningful geometric analogue of the notion of representation of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is that of a *category* equipped with an action of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. In particular, an analogue of the space of functions on $G(\mathbb{F}_q)/B(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the category $\mathfrak{D}((G/B)_{\mathbb{C}})$–mod, which can be also realized as the category of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules with a fixed central character.

Our challenge is to find analogues of the above two categories in the case when the reductive group G is replaced by its loop group $G(\!(t)\!)$. The exact relation between them will be given by a loop group analogue of the Beilinson-Bernstein equivalence, and will be by itself of great interest to us.

As the previous discussion demonstrates, one possibility is to consider representations of the complex loop group $G(\!(t)\!)$ on various categories of D-modules on the ind-schemes $G(\!(t)\!)/K$, where K is an "open compact" subgroup of $G(\!(t)\!)$, such as $G[[t]]$ or the Iwahori subgroup I (the preimage of a Borel subgroup $B \subset G$ under the homomorphism $G[[t]] \to G$). The other possibility is to consider various categories of representations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\!(t)\!)$, or of its universal central extension $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, because the group $G(\!(t)\!)$ still acts on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ via the adjoint action.

0.3. To explain the main idea of this paper, we consider an important example of a category of D-modules which may be viewed as a "categorification" of an irreducible *unramified* representation of the group $G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})$, where $\hat{\mathcal{K}} = \mathbb{F}_q(\!(t)\!)$. We recall that a representation π of $G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})$ is called unramified if it contains a non-zero vector v such that $G(\hat{\mathcal{O}})v = v$, where $\hat{\mathcal{O}} = \mathbb{F}_q[[t]]$. The spherical Hecke algebra $H(G(\hat{\mathcal{K}}), G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}))$ of bi-$G(\hat{\mathcal{O}})$-invariant compactly supported functions on $G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})$ acts on the subspace spanned by such vectors.

The Satake isomorphism identifies $H(G(\hat{\mathcal{K}}), G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}))$ with the representation ring $\operatorname{Rep}(\check{G})$ of finite-dimensional representations of the Langlands dual group $\check{G}$ [Lan]. This implies that equivalence classes of irreducible unramified representations of $G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})$ are parameterized by semi-simple conjugacy classes in the Langlands dual group $\check{G}$. This is in fact a baby version of the local Langlands correspondence mentioned above, because a semi-simple conjugacy class in $\check{G}$ may be viewed as an equivalence class of unramified homomorphisms from the Weil group $W_{\hat{\mathcal{K}}}$ to $\check{G}$ (i.e., one that factors through the homomorphism $W_{\hat{\mathcal{K}}} \to W_{\mathbb{F}_q} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$).

For a semi-simple conjugacy class γ in $\check{G}$ denote by π_γ the corresponding irreducible unramified representation of $G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})$. It contains a unique, up to a scalar, vector v_γ such that $G(\hat{\mathcal{O}})v_\gamma = v_\gamma$. It also satisfies the following property. For a finite-dimensional representation V of $\check{G}$ denote by F_V the element of $H(G(\hat{\mathcal{K}}), G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}))$ corresponding to $[V] \in \operatorname{Rep}(\check{G})$ under the Satake isomorphism. Then we have $F_V \cdot v_\gamma = \operatorname{Tr}(\gamma, V)v_\gamma$ (to simplify our notation, we omit a q-factor in this formula).

Now we embed π_γ into the space of locally constant functions on $G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})/G(\hat{\mathcal{O}})$, by using matrix coefficients, as follows:

$$u \in \pi_\gamma \mapsto f_u, \qquad f_u(g) = \langle u, g v_\gamma \rangle,$$

where $\langle , \rangle$ is an invariant bilinear form on π_γ. Clearly, the functions f_u are right $G(\hat{\mathcal{O}})$-invariant and satisfy the condition

$$f \star F_V = \mathrm{Tr}(\gamma, V) f, \tag{0.3}$$

where $\star$ denotes the convolution product. Let $C(G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})/G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}))_\gamma$ be the space of locally constant functions on $G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})/G(\hat{\mathcal{O}})$ satisfying (0.3). We have constructed an injective map $\pi_\gamma \to C(G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})/G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}))_\gamma$, and one can show that for generic γ it is an isomorphism.

Thus, we obtain a realization of irreducible unramified representations of $G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})$ in functions on the quotient $G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})/G(\hat{\mathcal{O}})$. According to the discussion in the previous subsection, a natural complex geometric analogue of the space of functions on $G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})/G(\hat{\mathcal{O}})$ is the category of (right) D-modules on $G(\!(t)\!)/G[[t]]$. The latter has the structure of an ind-scheme over $\mathbb{C}$ which is called the affine Grassmannian and is denoted by Gr_G.

The classical Satake isomorphism has a categorical version due to Lusztig, Drinfeld, Ginzburg and Mirković-Vilonen (see [MV]) which may be formulated as follows: the category of $G[[t]]$-equivariant D-modules on Gr_G, equipped with the convolution tensor product, is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{R}ep(\check{G})$ of finite-dimensional representations of $\check{G}$ as a tensor category. For a representation V of $\check{G}$ let $\mathcal{F}_V$ be the corresponding D-module on Gr_G. A D-module $\mathcal{F}$ on Gr_G satisfies the geometric analogue of the property (0.3) if we are given isomorphisms

$$\alpha_V : \mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{F}_V \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}, \qquad V \in \mathrm{Ob}\, \mathcal{R}ep(\check{G}) \tag{0.4}$$

satisfying a natural compatibility with tensor products. In other words, observe that we now have two monoidal actions of the tensor category $\mathcal{R}ep(\check{G})$ on the category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)$–mod of right D-modules on Gr_G: one is given by tensoring D-modules with $\underline{V}$, the vector space underlying a representation V of $\check{G}$, and the other is given by convolution with the D-module $\mathcal{F}_V$. The collection of isomorphisms α_V in (0.4) should give us an isomorphism between these two actions applied to the object $\mathcal{F}$.

Let $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}$–mod be the category whose objects are the data $(\mathcal{F}, \{\alpha_V\})$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a D-module on Gr_G and $\{\alpha_V\}$ are the isomorphisms (0.4) satisfying the above compatibility. This category carries a natural action of the loop group $G(\!(t)\!)$ that is induced by the (left) action of $G(\!(t)\!)$ on the Grassmannian Gr_G. We believe that the category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}$–mod, together with this action of $G(\!(t)\!)$, is the "correct" geometric analogue of the unramified irreducible representations of $G(\mathbb{F}_q(\!(t)\!))$ described above. Thus, we propose that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\sigma_0} \simeq \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}\text{–mod}, \tag{0.5}$$

where σ_0 is the trivial $\check{G}$-local system on $\mathcal{D}^\times$. This is our simplest example of the conjectural categories $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$, and indeed its Grothendieck group "looks like" an unramified irreducible representation of $G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})$.

0.4. Next, we attempt to describe the category $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma_0}$ in terms of representations of the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Since the affine analogue of the Beilinson-Bernstein equivalence is *a priori* not known, the answer is not as obvious as in the finite-dimensional case. However, the clue is provided by the Beilinson-Drinfeld construction of the Hecke eigensheaves.

The point of departure is a theorem of [FF3] which states that the completed universal enveloping algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ at the critical level has a large center. More precisely, according to [FF3], it is isomorphic to the algebra of functions of the affine ind-scheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$-*opers* over the formal punctured disc (where $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the Langlands dual of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$). Thus, each point $\chi \in \operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ defines a character of the center, and hence the category $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_\chi$ of discrete $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules of critical level on which the center acts according to the character χ.

We recall that a $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$-oper (on a curve or on a disc) is a $\check{G}$-local system plus some additional data. This notion was introduced in [DS, BD1] (see Sect. 1.1 for the definition). Thus, we have a natural forgetful map $\operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times) \to \operatorname{LocSys}_{\check{G}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$, where $\operatorname{LocSys}_{\check{G}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ is the stack of $\check{G}$-local systems on $\mathcal{D}^\times$.[1] In this subsection we will restrict our attention to those opers, which extend regularly to the formal disc $\mathcal{D}$; they correspond to points of a closed subscheme of regular opers $\operatorname{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \subset \operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$. In particular, the local systems on $\mathcal{D}^\times$ defined by such opers are unramified, i.e., they extend to local systems on $\mathcal{D}$, which means that they are isomorphic to the trivial local system (non-canonically, since the group $\check{G}$ acts by automorphisms of the trivial local system).

For a fixed point $x \in X$ Beilinson and Drinfeld construct a local-to-global functor $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod} \to \mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Bun}^x_G)\text{-mod}$ as a Beilinson-Bernstein type localization functor by realizing Bun^x_G as the quotient $G((t))/G(X-x)$.

Given a regular oper on the formal disc $\mathcal{D}$ around x, consider the restriction of this localization functor to the category $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_\chi$. It was shown in [BD1] that the latter functor is non-zero if and only if χ extends to an oper on the global curve X, and in that case it gives rise to a functor

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_\chi \to \mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Bun}^x_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\sigma^{\mathrm{glob}}}\text{-mod},$$

where σ^{glob} is the $\check{G}$-local system on X corresponding to the above oper.

This construction, combined with (0.2), suggests that for every regular oper χ on $\mathcal{D}$ we should have an equivalence of categories

$$\mathcal{C}_{\sigma_0} \simeq \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_\chi\,. \tag{0.6}$$

Thus, we have two conjectural descriptions of the category $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma^0}$: one is given by (0.5), and the other by (0.6). Comparing the two, we obtain a conjectural analogue of the Beilinson-Bernstein equivalence for the affine Grassmannian:

$$\mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}\text{-mod} \simeq \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_\chi \tag{0.7}$$

for any $\chi \in \operatorname{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$. In fact, as we shall see later, we should have an equivalence as in (0.7) for every trivialization of the local system on $\mathcal{D}$ corresponding to the oper χ. In particular, the group of automorphisms of such a local system, which is non-canonically isomorphic to $\check{G}$, should act on the category $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_\chi$ by automorphisms. In a sense, it is this action that replaces the Satake parameters of irreducible unramified representations of $G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})$ in the geometric setting.

Let us note that the equivalence conjectured in (0.7) does not explicitly involve the Langlands correspondence. Thus, our attempt to describe the simplest of the categories $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ has already paid dividends: it has led us to a formulation of Beilinson-Bernstein type equivalence for Gr_G.

It is instructive to compare it with the Beilinson-Bernstein equivalence for a finite-dimensional flag variety $(G/B)_{\mathbb{C}}$, which says that the category of D-modules on $(G/B)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is equivalent to the category of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules with a fixed central character. Naively, one might expect that the same pattern holds in the affine case as well, and the category $\mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Gr}_G)\text{-mod}$ is equivalent to the category of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-modules with a fixed central character. However, in contrast to the finite-dimensional case, the category $\mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Gr}_G)\text{-mod}$ carries an additional symmetry, namely, the monoidal action of the category $\mathcal{R}ep(\check{G})$ (which can be traced back to the action of the spherical Hecke algebra in the classical setting). The existence of this symmetry means that, unlike the category $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\operatorname{-mod}_\chi$, the category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\operatorname{-mod}$ is a $\check{G}$-equivariant category (in other words, $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\operatorname{-mod}$ is a category over the stack $\mathrm{pt}/\check{G}$, see below). From the point of view of Langlands correspondence, this equivariant structure is related to the fact that $\check{G}$ is the group of automorphism of the trivial local system $\check{G}$. In order to obtain a Beilinson-Bernstein type equivalence, we need to de-equivariantize this category and replace it by $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}\operatorname{-mod}$.

---

[1] Note that it is not an algebraic stack, but in this paper we will work with its substacks which are algebraic.

0.5. Our next goal is to try to understand in similar terms what the categories $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ look like for a general local system σ. Unfortunately, unlike the unramified case, we will not be able to construct them directly as categories of D-modules on some homogeneous space of $G(\!(t)\!)$. The reason for this can be traced to the classical picture. If σ is ramified, then the corresponding irreducible representation π of the group $G(\mathbb{F}_q(\!(t)\!))$ does not contain non-zero vectors invariant under $G(\mathbb{F}_q[[t]])$, but it contains vectors invariant under a smaller compact subgroup $K \subset G(\mathbb{F}_q[[t]])$. As in the ramified case, we can realize π, by taking matrix coefficients, in the space of functions on $G(\mathbb{F}_q(\!(t)\!))/K$ with values in the space π^K of K-invariant vectors in π satisfying a certain Hecke property. However, unlike the case of unramified representations, π^K generically has dimension greater than one. When we pass to the geometric setting, we need, roughly speaking, to find a proper "categorification" not only for the space of functions on $G(\mathbb{F}_q(\!(t)\!))/K$ (which is the category of D-modules on the corresponding ind-scheme, as explained above), but also for π^K and for the Hecke property. In the case when σ is tamely ramified, we can take as K the Iwahori subgroup I. Then the desired categorification of π^I and the Hecke property can be constructed following R. Bezrukavnikov's work [Bez], as we will see below. This will allow us to relate the conjectural category $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ to the category of D-modules on $G(\!(t)\!)/I$. But we do not know how to do that for more general local systems.

Therefore, we try first to describe the categories $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ in terms of the category of representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ at the critical level rather than categories of D-modules on homogeneous spaces of $G(\!(t)\!)$.

A hint is once again provided by the Beilinson-Drinfeld construction of Hecke eigensheaves from representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ at the critical level described above, because it may be applied in the ramified situation as well. Extending (0.6), we conjecture that for *any* oper χ on $\mathcal{D}^\times$ and the corresponding local system σ, we have an equivalence of categories

$$\mathcal{C}_\sigma \simeq \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\operatorname{-mod}_\chi \tag{0.8}$$

equipped with an action of $G(\!(t)\!)$. This statement implies, in particular, that the category $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\operatorname{-mod}_\chi$ depends not on the oper χ, but only on the underlying local system! This is by itself a deep conjecture about representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ at the critical level.

At this point, in order to elaborate more on what this conjecture implies and to describe the results of this paper, we will need to discuss a more refined version of the local geometric Langlands correspondence indicated above. For that we have to use the notion of an abelian or a triangulated category over a stack. In the abelian case this is an elementary notion, introduced, e.g., in [Ga1]. It amounts to a sheaf (in the faithfully-flat topology) of abelian categories over a given stack $\mathcal{Y}$. When $\mathcal{Y}$ is an affine scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$, this amounts to the notion of A-linear abelian category. In the triangulated case, some extra care is needed, and we refer the reader to [Ga2] for details. The only property of this notion needed for the discussion that follows is that whenever $\mathcal{C}$ is a category over $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{Y}$ is a map of stacks, we can form the base-changed category $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C} \underset{\mathcal{Y}}{\times} \mathcal{Y}'$; in particular, for a point $y \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{C})$ we have the category-fiber $\mathcal{C}_y$.

A refined version of the local geometric Langlands correspondence should attach to any $\mathcal{Y}$-family of $\check{G}$-local systems $\widetilde{\sigma}$ on $\mathcal{D}^\times$ a category $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{\sigma}}$ over $\mathcal{Y}$, equipped with an action of $G(\!(t)\!)$, in a way compatible with the above base change property. Such an assignment may be viewed as a category $\mathcal{C}$ over the stack $\operatorname{LocSys}_{\check{G}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ equipped with a "fiberwise" action of $G((t))$. Then the categories $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ discussed above may be obtained as the fibers of $\mathcal{C}$ at $\mathbb{C}$-points σ of $\operatorname{LocSys}_{\check{G}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$.

We shall now present a refined version of (0.8). Namely, although at the moment we cannot construct $\mathcal{C}$, the following meta-conjecture will serve as our guiding principle:

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\operatorname{-mod} \simeq \mathcal{C} \underset{\operatorname{LocSys}_{\check{G}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}{\times} \operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times). \tag{0.9}$$

We will not even try to make this meta-conjecture precise in this paper. Instead we will derive from it some more concrete conjectures, and the goal of this paper will be to give their precise formulation and provide evidence for their validity.

0.6. Let us first revisit the unramified case discussed above. Since the trivial local system σ_0 has $\check{G}$ as the group of its automorphisms, we have a natural map from the stack $\operatorname{pt}/\check{G}$ to $\operatorname{LocSys}_{\check{G}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ the base change of (the still conjectural category) $\mathcal{C}$ under the above map. Then, by definition, we have an equivalence:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\sigma_0} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{reg}} \underset{\operatorname{pt}/\check{G}}{\times} \operatorname{pt}. \tag{0.10}$$

Now observe that the geometric Satake equivalence of Sect. 0.3 gives us an action of the tensor category $\mathcal{R}ep(\check{G})$ on $\mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Gr}_G)\operatorname{-mod}$, $V, \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{F}_V$. This precisely amounts to saying that $\mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Gr}_G)\operatorname{-mod}$ *is a category over the stack* $\operatorname{pt}/\check{G}$. Moreover, we then have the following base change equivalence:

$$\mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}\operatorname{-mod} \simeq \mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Gr}_G)\operatorname{-mod} \underset{\operatorname{pt}/\check{G}}{\times} \operatorname{pt}. \tag{0.11}$$

Combining (0.10) and (0.11), we arrive at the following generalization of (0.5):

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{reg}} \simeq \mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Gr}_G)\operatorname{-mod}. \tag{0.12}$$

Let us now combine this with (0.9). Let us denote by $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\operatorname{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ the subcategory of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules at the critical level on which the center acts in such a way that their scheme-theoretic support in $\operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ belongs to $\operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$. By the definition of the map $\operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times) \to \operatorname{LocSys}_{\check{G}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$, its restriction to $\operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ factors through a map $\operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \to \operatorname{pt}/\check{G}$, which assigns to an oper χ the $\check{G}$-torsor on $\operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ obtained by taking the fiber of χ at the origin in $\mathcal{D}$.

Thus, combining (0.9) with the identification

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\operatorname{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}} \simeq \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\operatorname{-mod} \underset{\operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}{\times} \operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}}, \tag{0.13}$$

we obtain the following statement:

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\operatorname{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}} \simeq \mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Gr}_G)\operatorname{-mod} \underset{\operatorname{pt}/\check{G}}{\times} \operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}}, \tag{0.14}$$

By making a further base change with respect to an embedding of the point-scheme into $\operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ corresponding to some regular oper χ, we obtain (0.7). Thus, (0.14) is a family version of (0.7).

Let us now comment on one more aspect of the conjectural equivalence proposed in (0.14). With any category $\mathcal{C}$ acted on by $G((t))$ and an "open compact" subgroup $K \subset G((t))$ we can associate the category $\mathcal{C}^K$ of K-equivariant objects. Applying this to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\operatorname{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ we obtain the category, consisting of those representations, which are K-integrable (i.e., those, for which the action of $\operatorname{Lie} K$ may be exponentiated to that of K). In the case of $\mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Gr}_G)\operatorname{-mod}$ we obtain the category of K-equivariant D-modules in the usual sense.

Let us take $K = G[[t]]$, and compare the categories obtained from the two sides of (0.14):

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}^{G[[t]]} \simeq \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{G[[t]]}\text{-mod} \underset{\mathrm{pt}/\check{G}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}}. \tag{0.15}$$

However, the Satake equivalence mentioned above says that $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{G[[t]]} \simeq \mathcal{R}ep(\check{G})$, implying that the RHS of (0.15) is equivalent to the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on $\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$:

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}^{G[[t]]} \simeq \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}}). \tag{0.16}$$

The latter equivalence is not conjectural, but has already been established in [FG], Theorem 6.3 (see also [BD1]).

Thus, we obtain a description of the category of modules at the critical level with a specified integrability property and a condition on the central character as a category of quasi-coherent sheaves on a scheme related to the Langlands dual group. Such a description is a prototype for the main conjecture of this paper, described below.

0.7. The main goal of this paper is to develop a picture similar to the one presented above, for *tamely ramified* local systems σ on $\mathcal{D}^\times$, i.e., those with regular singularity at the origin and unipotent monodromy. The algebraic stack classifying such local systems is isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}$, where $\mathcal{N}_{\check{G}} \subset \check{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the nilpotent cone. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$ be the corresponding hypothetically existing category over $\mathcal{N}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}$ equipped with a fiberwise action of $G((t))$.

We shall first formulate a conjectural analogue of Theorem (0.16) in this set-up. As we will see, one essential difference with the unramified case is the necessity to consider derived categories.

Denote by $I \subset G[[t]]$ the Iwahori subgroup; it is the preimage of a once and for all fixed Borel subgroup of G under the homomorphism $G[[t]] \to G$. We wish to give a description of the I-monodromic part $D^b(\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I,m}$ of the derived category $D^b(\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{nilp}})$ that is similar in spirit to the one obtained in the unramified case (the notion of Iwahori-monodromic derived category will be introduced in Sect. 5.2).

In Sect. 2.5 we will introduce a subscheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \subset \mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ of *opers with nilpotent singularities*. Note that $\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$ contains as a closed subscheme the scheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ of regular opers. Denote by $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$ the subcategory of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}$ whose objects are the $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-modules whose scheme-theoretic support in $\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ is contained in $\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}$ be the Springer resolution of $\mathcal{N}_{\check{G}}$. We will show in Sect. 2.5 that the composition $\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \to \mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times) \to \mathrm{LocSys}_{\check{G}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ factors as

$$\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}^{\mathrm{nilp}}} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G} \to \mathcal{N}_{\check{G}}/\check{G} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{LocSys}_{\check{G}}(\mathcal{D}^\times).$$

The first map, denoted by $\mathrm{Res}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$, is smooth.

Our Main Conjecture 6.1.1 describes the (bounded) derived category of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$ as follows:

$$D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I,m} \simeq D^b(\mathrm{QCoh}(\widetilde{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}/\check{G} \underset{\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{G}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{nilp}})), \tag{0.17}$$

where $\widetilde{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \check{\mathfrak{g}}$ is Grothendieck's alteration. This is an analogue for nilpotent opers of Theorem (0.16).

As will be explained below, the scheme $\widetilde{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}/\check{G} \underset{\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{G}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$, appearing in the RHS of (0.17), has a natural interpretation as the moduli space of Miura opers with nilpotent singularities (see Sect. 3). The main motivation for the above conjecture came from the theory of Wakimoto modules introduced in [FF2, F]. Namely, to each Miura oper with nilpotent singularity one can attach a Wakimoto module which is an object of the category $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\text{nilp}}$. Our Conjecture 0.17 extends this "pointwise" correspondence to an equivalence of categories.

0.8. Next, we would like to formulate conjectures concerning $\mathcal{C}_{\text{nilp}}$ that are analogous to (0.12) and (0.14), and relate them to Conjecture (0.17) above.

The main difficulty is that we do not have an explicit description of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{nilp}}$ in terms of D-modules as the one for $\mathcal{C}_{\text{reg}}$, given by (0.12). Instead, we will be able to describe a certain base change of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{nilp}}$, suggested by the work of S. Arkhipov and R. Bezrukavnikov [Bez, AB].

Let $\text{Fl}_G = G((t))/I$ be the affine flag variety and the affine Grassmannian, corresponding to G and $\mathfrak{D}(\text{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}$ the category of right D-modules on Fl_G. The group $G((t))$ naturally acts on $\mathfrak{D}(\text{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}$. According to [AB], the triangulated category $D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\text{Fl}_G)\text{-mod})$ is a category over the stack $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}$.

We propose the following conjecture, describing the hypothetically existing category $\mathcal{C}_{\text{nilp}}$, which generalizes (0.12):

$$D^b(\mathcal{C}_{\text{nilp}}) \underset{\mathcal{N}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}}{\times} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G} \simeq D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\text{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}). \tag{0.18}$$

Combining (0.18) with our meta-conjecture (0.9), we arrive at the statement

$$D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\text{nilp}}) \simeq D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\text{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}) \underset{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}}{\times} \text{Op}^{\text{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \tag{0.19}$$

(the RHS of the above equivalence uses the formalism of triangulated categories over stacks from [Ga2]). Note that Conjecture (0.19) is an analogue for opers with nilpotent singularities of Conjecture (0.14) for regular opers.

We would now like to explain the relation of Conjectures (0.18) and (0.19) to the description of $D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\text{nilp}})$ via quasi-coherent sheaves, given by Conjecture (0.17) once we pass to the I-monodromic category.

We propose the following description of the category $D(\mathcal{C}_{\text{nilp}})^{I,m}$:

$$D^b(\mathcal{C}_{\text{nilp}})^{I,m} \simeq D^b(\text{QCoh}(\widetilde{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \underset{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}{\times} \mathcal{N}_{\check{G}}/\check{G})). \tag{0.20}$$

Let us note that Conjecture (0.20) is compatible with (0.18). Namely, by combining the two we obtain the following:

$$D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\text{Fl}_G)\text{-mod})^{I,m} \simeq D^b(\text{QCoh}(\widetilde{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \underset{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}{\times} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G})). \tag{0.21}$$

However, this last statement is in fact a theorem, which is one of the main results of Bezrukavnikov's work [Bez].

Finally, combining (0.21) and (0.19), we arrive to the statement of Conjecture (0.17), providing another piece of motivation for it, in addition to the one via Wakimoto modules given above.

0.9. The principal objective of our project is to prove conjectures (0.14) and (0.17). In the present paper we review some background material necessary to introduce the objects we are studying and formulate the above conjectures precisely. We also prove two results concerning the category of representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level which provide us with additional evidence for the validity of these conjectures.

Our first result is Main Theorem 6.3.2, and it deals with a special case of Main Conjecture 6.1.1. Namely, in Sect. 6.3 we will explain that if $\mathcal{C}$ is a category endowed with an action of $G((t))$, the corresponding category $\mathcal{C}^{I,m}$ of Iwahori-monodromic objects admits a Serre quotient, denoted ${}^f\mathcal{C}^{I,m}$, by the subcategory, consisting of the so-called partially integrable objects. (Its classical analog is as follows: given a representation π of a locally compact group $G(\hat{\mathcal{K}})$, we first take the subspace π^I is Iwahori-invariant vectors, and then inside π^I we take the subspace of vectors corresponding to the sign character of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra.)

Performing this procedure on the two sides of (0.19), we should arrive at an equivalence of the corresponding triangulated categories:

$$ {}^fD^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I,m} \simeq {}^fD^b(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod})^{I,m} \underset{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \tag{0.22} $$

However, using Bezrukavnikov's result (see Theorem 6.3.1), the RHS of the above expression can be rewritten as $D^b(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathrm{Spec}(h_0)\times\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}))$, where h_0 is a finite-dimensional commutative algebra isomorphic to $H(\check{G}/\check{B},\mathbb{C})$. The resulting description of ${}^fD^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I,m}$ is our Main Theorem 6.3.2. In fact, we show that at the level of quotient categories by partially integrable objects, the equivalence holds not only at the level of triangulated categories, but also at the level of abelian ones:

$$ {}^f\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}} \simeq \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathrm{Spec}(h_0)\times\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}). $$

We note that while we use [Bez] for motivational purposes, the proofs presented in this paper are independent of the results of [Bez].

Our second main result is Theorem 8.7.1. We construct a natural functor from the RHS of (0.14) to the LHS and prove that it is fully faithful at the level of derived categories.

0.10. Let us now describe the structure of the paper. It is logically divided into 5 parts.

Part I is a review of results about opers and Miura opers.

In Part II we discuss various categories of representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level. We give more precise formulations of the conjectural equivalences that we mentioned above and the interrelations between them. In particular, we prove one of our main results, Theorem 8.7.1.

In Part III we review the Wakimoto modules. We present a definition of Wakimoto modules by means of a kind of semi-infinite induction functor. We also describe various important properties of these modules.

In Part IV we prove Main Theorem 6.3.2 which establishes a special case of our conjectural equivalence of categories (0.17).

Part V is an appendix, most of which is devoted to the formalism of group-action on categories.

Finally, a couple of comments on notation.

We will write $X \underset{Z}{\times} Y$ for the fiber product of schemes X and Y equipped with morphisms to a scheme Z. To distinguish this notation from the notation for associated fiber bundles, we will write $\mathcal{Y} \overset{K}{\times} \mathcal{F}_K$ for the fiber bundle associated to a principal K-bundle $\mathcal{F}_K$ over some base, where K is an algebraic group and $\mathcal{Y}$ is a K-space. We also denote this associated bundle by $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{F}_K}$.

If a group G acts on a variety X, we denote by X/G the stack-theoretic quotient. If X is affine, we denote by $X//G$ the GIT quotient, i.e., the spectrum of the algebra of invariant functions. We have a natural morphism $X/G \to X//G$.

Acknowledgments. We thank A. Beilinson, R. Bezrukavnikov and B. Feigin for useful discussions.

Part I. Opers and Miura opers

We this Part we collect the definitions and results on opers and Miura opers. As a mathematical object, opers first appeared in [DS], and their connection to representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level was discovered in [FF3].

In Sect. 1 we recall the definition of opers following [BD1] and the explicit description of the scheme classifying them as a certain affine space.

In Sect. 2 we study opers on the formal punctured disc with a prescribed form of singularity at the closed point. After reviewing some material from [BD1], we show that the subscheme of opers with regular singularities and a specific value of the residue can be interpreted as a scheme of opers with nilpotent singularities, which we denote by $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$. We show that the scheme $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$ admits a natural secondary residue map to the stack $\mathfrak{n}/B \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}/G$.

In Sect. 3 we study Miura opers. The notion of Miura oper was introduced in [F], following earlier work of Feigin and Frenkel. By definition, a Miura oper on a curve X is an oper plus a reduction of the underlying G-local system to a Borel subgroup B^- opposite to the oper Borel subgroup B. The functor $\operatorname{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$ of Miura opers admits a certain open subfunctor, denoted by $\operatorname{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(X)$ that corresponds to generic Miura opers. The (D-) scheme classifying the latter is affine over X, and as was shown in [F], it is isomorphic to the (D-) scheme of connections on some fixed H-bundle over X, where H is the Cartan quotient of B. [2] The new results in this section are Proposition 3.5.1 which describes the forgetful map from generic Miura opers to opers over the locus of opers with regular singularities and Theorem 3.6.2 which describes the behavior of Miura opers and generic Miura opers over $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$.

In Sect. 4 we introduce the isomonodromy groupoid over the ind-scheme $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ and its various subschemes. We recall the definition of Poisson structure on the space $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ of opers on the formal punctured disc introduced in [DS]. Following [BD1] and [CHA], we interpret this Poisson structure as a structure of Lie algebroid on the cotangent sheaf $\Omega^1(\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))$ and following [DS] we show that it is isomorphic to the Lie algebroid of the isomonodromy groupoid on the space of opers. The new results in this section concern the behavior of this algebroid along the subscheme $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$.

1. Opers

1.1. **Definition of opers.** Throughout Part I (except in Sect. 1.6), we will assume that G is a simple algebraic group of *adjoint type*. Let B be its Borel subgroup and $N = [B, B]$ its unipotent radical, with the corresponding Lie algebras $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. There is an open B-orbit $\mathbf{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$, consisting of vectors which are invariant with respect to the radical $N \subset B$, and such that all of their negative simple root components, with respect to the adjoint action of $H = B/N$, are non-zero. This orbit may also be described as the B-orbit of the sum of the projections of simple root generators $f_\imath$ of any nilpotent subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}^-$, which is in generic position with $\mathfrak{b}$, onto $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$. The torus $H = B/N$ acts simply transitively on $\mathbf{O}$, so $\mathbf{O}$ is an H-torsor. Note in addition that $\mathbf{O}$ is invariant with respect to the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ by dilations.

---

[2] The corresponding space of H-connections on the formal punctured disc and its map to the space of opers were introduced in [DS] as the phase space of the generalized mKdV hierarchy and the Miura transformation from this space to the phase space of the generalized KdV hierarchy.

Let X be a smooth curve, or the formal disc $\mathcal{D} = \operatorname{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}})$, where $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ is a one-dimensional complete local ring, or a formal punctured disc $\mathcal{D}^\times = \operatorname{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{K}})$, where $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ is the field of fractions of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. We will denote by ω_X the canonical line bundle on X; by a slight abuse of notation we will identify it with the corresponding $\mathbb{G}_m$-torsor on X.

Suppose we are given a principal G-bundle $\mathcal{F}_G$ on X, together with a connection ∇ (automatically flat) and a reduction $\mathcal{F}_B$ of $\mathcal{F}_G$ to the Borel subgroup B of G. Then we define the relative position of ∇ and $\mathcal{F}_B$ (i.e., the failure of ∇ to preserve $\mathcal{F}_B$) as follows. Locally, choose any connection ∇' on $\mathcal{F}$ preserving $\mathcal{F}_B$, and take the difference $\nabla - \nabla' \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_G} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_B}$. It is clear that the projection of $\nabla - \nabla'$ to $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_{\mathcal{F}_B} \otimes \omega_X$ is independent of ∇'; we will denote it by $\nabla/\mathcal{F}_B$. This $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_{\mathcal{F}_B}$-valued one-form on X is by definition the relative position of ∇ and $\mathcal{F}_B$.

Following Beilinson and Drinfeld, see [BD1], Sect. 3.1, and [BD2], one defines a $\mathfrak{g}$-*oper* on X to be a triple $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$, where $\mathcal{F}_G$ is a principal G-bundle $\mathcal{F}_G$ on X, ∇ is a connection on $\mathcal{F}_G$, and $\mathcal{F}_B$ is a B-reduction of $\mathcal{F}_G$ such that the one-form $\nabla/\mathcal{F}_B$ takes values in

$$\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}_B,\omega_X} := \mathbf{O} \overset{B\times\mathbb{G}_m}{\times} (\mathcal{F}_B \times \omega_X) \subset (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_{\mathcal{F}_B} \otimes \omega_X.$$

Consider the H-bundle $\omega_X^{\check{\rho}}$ on X, induced from the line bundle ω_X by means of the homomorphism $\check{\rho} : \mathbb{G}_m \to H$. (The latter is well-defined, since G was assumed to be of adjoint type.)

Lemma 1.1.1. *For an oper* $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$*, the induced* H*-bundle* $\mathcal{F}_H := N\backslash\mathcal{F}_B$ *is canonically isomorphic to* $\omega_X^{\check{\rho}}$.

Proof. We have to show that for every simple root $\alpha_\imath : B \to \mathbb{G}_m$, the line bundle $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_\imath}_{\mathcal{F}_B}$ is canonically isomorphic to ω_X.

Decomposing $\nabla/\mathcal{F}_B$ with respect to negative simple roots, we obtain for every $\imath$ a non-vanishing section of the line bundle

$$\mathbb{C}^{-\alpha_\imath}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \otimes \omega_X.$$

This provides the required identification. ☐

Here is an equivalent way to think about opers. Let us choose a trivialization of the B-bundle $\mathcal{F}_B$, and let ∇^0 be the tautological connection on it. Then an oper is given by a connection ∇ of the form

$$\nabla = \nabla^0 + \sum_\imath \phi_\imath \cdot f_\imath + \mathbf{q}, \tag{1.1}$$

where each $\phi_\imath$ is a nowhere vanishing one-form on X, and $\mathbf{q}$ is a $\mathfrak{b}$-valued one-form. If we change the trivialization of $\mathcal{F}_B$ by $\mathbf{g} : X \to B$, the connection will get transformed by the corresponding gauge transformation:

$$\nabla \mapsto \operatorname{Ad}_{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla) := \nabla^0 + \operatorname{Ad}_{\mathbf{g}}\left(\sum_\imath \phi_\imath \cdot f_\imath + \mathbf{q}\right) - \mathbf{g}^{-1} \cdot d(\mathbf{g}). \tag{1.2}$$

The following will be established in the course of the proof of Proposition 1.3.1:

Lemma 1.1.2. *If* $\operatorname{Ad}_{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla) = \nabla$*, then* $\mathbf{g} = 1$.

In a similar way one defines the notion of an R-family of opers on X, where R is an arbitrary commutative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. We shall denote this functor by $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$. For $X = \mathcal{D}$ (resp., $X = \mathcal{D}^\times$) some extra care is needed when one defines the notion of R-family of bundles. To simplify the notation we will choose a coordinate t on $\mathcal{D}$, thereby identifying $\hat{\mathcal{O}} \simeq \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ and $\hat{\mathcal{K}} \simeq \mathbb{C}((t))$. Although this choice of the coordinate trivializes ω_X by means of dt, we will keep track of the distinction between functions and forms by denoting the $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$-module $\omega_{\mathcal{D}}$ (resp., the $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$-vector space $\omega_{\mathcal{D}^\times}$) by by $\mathbb{C}[[t]]dt$ (resp., $\mathbb{C}((t))dt$).

By definition, an R-family of G-bundles on $X = \mathcal{D}$ is a G-bundle on $\operatorname{Spec}(R[[t]])$, or which is the same, a compatible family of G-bundles on $\operatorname{Spec}(R[t]/t^i)$; such a family is always locally trivial in the étale topology on $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$.

An R-family of G-bundles on $\mathcal{D}^\times$ is a G-bundle on $\operatorname{Spec}(R((t)))$, which *we require to be locally trivial in the étale topology in* $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$.

Connections on the trivial R-family of G-bundles on $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^\times$ are expressions of the form $\nabla^0 + \phi$, where ϕ is an element of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes R[[t]]dt$ and $\mathfrak{g} \otimes R((t))dt$, respectively. Gauge transformations are elements of $G(R[[t]])$ and $G(R((t)))$, respectively, and they act on connections by the formula (1.2).

Thus, $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$ and $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ are well-defined as functors on the category of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras. Following [BD1], Sect. 3.1.10, we will prove below that these functors are representable by a scheme and ind-scheme, respectively.

1.2. **D-scheme picture.** When X is a curve of finite type, a natural way to think of $\mathfrak{g}$-opers on X is in terms of D-schemes (we refer the reader to [CHA], Sect. 2.3 for the general discussion of D-schemes, and to [CHA], Sect. 2.6.8 for the discussion of opers in this context).

Namely, let us notice that the notion of R-family of $\mathfrak{g}$-opers on X makes sense when R is a $\mathfrak{D}_X$-algebra, i.e., a quasi-coherent sheaf of algebras over X, endowed with a connection.

Repeating the argument of Proposition 1.3.1 (see below), one obtains that the above functor on the category of $\mathfrak{D}_X$-algebras is representable; the corresponding affine $\mathfrak{D}_X$-scheme, denoted $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$, is isomorphic to the $\mathfrak{D}_X$-scheme of jets into a finite-dimensional vector space.

By definition, for a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra R we have:

$$\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)(R) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}_X}\left(\operatorname{Spec}(R \otimes \mathcal{O}_X), \operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}\right). \tag{1.3}$$

If $\mathcal{D}$ is the formal neighborhood of a point $x \in X$ with a local coordinate t, the functors $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$ and $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ are reconstructed as

$$R \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}_X}\left(\operatorname{Spec}(R[[t]]), \operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}\right) \text{ and } R \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{D}_X}\left(\operatorname{Spec}(R((t))), \operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}\right), \tag{1.4}$$

respectively.

In addition, one also has an isomorphism between the scheme $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$ and the fiber of $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$, regarded as a mere scheme over X, at $x \in X$.

1.3. **Explicit description and canonical representatives.** To analyze opers on $\mathcal{D}^\times$ (resp., $\mathcal{D}$) more explicitly we will continue to use an identification $\mathcal{O} \simeq \mathbb{C}[[t]]$, and we will think of opers as equivalence classes of connections of the form

$$\nabla = \nabla^0 + \sum_\imath \phi_\imath(t)dt \cdot f_\imath + \mathbf{q}(t)dt, \tag{1.5}$$

where now $\phi_\imath$ and $\mathbf{q}$ are now elements of $R((t))$ and $\mathfrak{b} \otimes R((t))$, respectively (resp., $R[[t]]$ and $\mathfrak{b} \otimes R[[t]]$) such that each $\phi_\imath$ is invertible. Two such connections are equivalent, if they can be conjugated one into another by a gauge transformation by an element of $\operatorname{Hom}\bigl(\operatorname{Spec}(R((t))), B\bigr)$ (resp., $\operatorname{Hom}\bigl(\operatorname{Spec}(R[[t]]), B\bigr)$.

Let us observe that since $H \simeq B/N$ acts simply-transitively on $\mathbf{O}$, any connection as above can be brought to the form when all the functions $\phi_\imath(t)$ are equal to 1. Moreover, this can be done uniquely, up to a gauge transformation by means of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Spec}(R((t)), N)$.

The operator $\mathrm{ad}\,\check{\rho}$ defines the principal grading on $\mathfrak{b}$, with respect to which we have a direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{b} = \underset{d\geq 0}{\oplus} \mathfrak{b}_d$. Set

$$p_{-1} = \sum_\imath f_\imath;$$

we shall call this element the negative principal nilpotent.

Let p_1 be the unique element of $\mathfrak{n}$ such that $\{p_{-1}, 2\check{\rho}, p_1\}$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple. Let $V_{\mathrm{can}} = \underset{d>0}{\oplus} V_{\mathrm{can},d}$ be the space of $\mathrm{ad}\,p_1$-invariants in $\mathfrak{n}$. The operator $\mathrm{ad}\,p_{-1}$ acts from $\mathfrak{b}_{d+1}$ to $\mathfrak{b}_d$ injectively for all $d \geq 0$, and we have $\mathfrak{b}_d = [p_{-1}, \mathfrak{b}_{d+1}] \oplus V_{\mathrm{can},d}$.

We will call the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on V_{can}, resulting from the above grading, "principal". We will call the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on V_{can}, obtained by multiplying the principal one by the standard character, "canonical". Recall that by a theorem of Kostant, the map

$$V_{\mathrm{can}} \overset{\mathbf{c}\mapsto p_{-1}+\mathbf{c}}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}//G \simeq \mathfrak{h}//W \tag{1.6}$$

is an isomorphism. This map is compatible with the canonical $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on V_{can} and the action on $\mathfrak{h}//W$, induced by the standard $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $\mathfrak{h}$.

Proposition 1.3.1 ([DS])**.** *The gauge action of* $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Spec}(R((t))), B)$ *on the set of connections of the form* (1.5) *is free. Each gauge equivalence class contains a unique representative of the form*

$$\nabla = \nabla^0 + p_{-1}dt + \mathbf{v}(t)dt, \ \ \mathbf{v}(t) \in V_{\mathrm{can}} \otimes R((t)). \tag{1.7}$$

As we shall see, the same assertion with the same proof is valid if we replace $R((t))$ by $R[[t]]$. In what follows we will refer to (1.7) as the canonical representative of an oper.

Proof. We already know that we can bring a connection (1.5) to the form

$$\nabla^0 + p_{-1}dt + \mathbf{q}(t)dt,$$

uniquely up to an element in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Spec}(R((t))), N)$. We need to show now that there exists a unique element $u(t) \in \mathfrak{n} \otimes R((t))$ such that

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{\exp(u(t))}\left(\nabla^0 + p_{-1}dt + \mathbf{q}(t)dt\right) = \nabla^0 + p_{-1}dt + \mathbf{v}(t)dt,$$

$\mathbf{v}(t) \in V_{\mathrm{can}} \otimes R((t))$.

Let us decompose the unknown element $u(t)$ as $\sum\limits_d u_d(t)$, where $u_d(t) \in \mathfrak{n}_d \otimes R((t))$, and we claim that we can find the elements $u_d(t)$ by induction d. Indeed, let us assume that $\mathbf{q}_{d'} \in V_{\mathrm{can},d'}$ for $d' < d$. Then $u_{d+1}(t)$ must satisfy:

$$[u_{d+1}(t), p_{-1}] + \mathbf{q}_d(t) \in V_{\mathrm{can},d},$$

and this indeed has a unique solution.

□

Corollary 1.3.2. *The set of R-families of opers on $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^\times$ is isomorphic to $V_{\mathrm{can}} \otimes R[[t]]$ and $V_{\mathrm{can}} \otimes R((t))$, respectively. In particular, the functor* $\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{D})$ *(resp.,* $\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$*) is representable by the scheme (resp., ind-scheme), isomorphic to $V_{\mathrm{can}}[[t]]$ (resp., $V_{\mathrm{can}}((t))$).*

We should note, however, that the isomorphisms $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}) \simeq V_{\mathrm{can}}[[t]]$ and $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times) \simeq V_{\mathrm{can}}(\!(t)\!)$ are not canonical, since they depend on the choice of the coordinate t on $\mathcal{D}$.

By the very definition, on the scheme

$$\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})\widehat{\times}\mathcal{D} := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{Fun}\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}))[[t]]$$

there exists a universal G-bundle $\mathcal{F}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$ with a reduction to a B-bundle $\mathcal{F}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$ and a connection $\nabla_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$ in the $\mathcal{D}$-direction such that the triple $(\mathcal{F}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}, \nabla_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}, \mathcal{F}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})})$ is a $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$-family of $\mathfrak{g}$-opers on $\mathcal{D}$. By the above, when we identify $\hat{\mathcal{O}} \simeq \mathbb{C}[[t]]$, the G-bundle $\mathcal{F}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$, and hence $\mathcal{F}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$, becomes trivialized. But this trivialization depends on the choice of the coordinate.

In what follows we will denote by $\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$ (resp., $\mathcal{P}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$) the restriction of $\mathcal{F}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$ (resp., $\mathcal{F}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$) to the subscheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})\widehat{\times}\mathcal{D}$, corresponding to the closed point of $\mathcal{D}$. Note that $\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$ can also be defined as the torsor of horizontal, with respect to the connection along $\mathcal{D}$, sections of $\mathcal{F}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$.

1.4. **Action of** $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$**.** Let $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ (resp., $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$) be the group-scheme (resp., group ind-scheme) of automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}$ (resp., $\mathcal{D}^\times$). [3]. Since $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$ (resp., $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$) is canonically attached to $\mathcal{D}$ (resp., $\mathcal{D}^\times$), it carries an action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ (resp., $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$), see Sect. 19.2 for the definition of the latter notion.

By transport of structure, the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ on $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})\widehat{\times}\mathcal{D}$ lifts onto $\mathcal{F}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$. The interpretation of $\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$ as the space of horizontal sections of $\mathcal{F}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$ implies that the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ on $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$ lifts also onto the G-torsor $\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$.

To a choice of a coordinate t on $\mathcal{D}$ there corresponds a homomorphism $\mathbb{G}_m \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ that acts by the "loop rotation", i.e., $t \mapsto c \cdot t$. We shall now describe the resulting action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ in terms of the isomorphism of Corollary 1.3.2:

Lemma 1.4.1.

(1) *The trivialization* $\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})} \simeq G \times \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$ *corresponding to the given choice of a coordinate is compatible with the* $\mathbb{G}_m$*-action, via the homomorphism* $\check{\rho} : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$*.*

(2) *The action of* $c \in \mathbb{G}_m$ *on*

$$\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times) \simeq V_{\mathrm{can}}(\!(t)\!) \simeq \underset{d}{\oplus} V_{\mathrm{can},d}(\!(t)\!)$$

is given by

$$\mathbf{v}_d(t) \in V_{\mathrm{can},d}(\!(t)\!) \mapsto c^{d+1} \cdot \mathbf{v}_d(c \cdot t).$$

Proof. By definition, the action of $c \in \mathbb{G}_m$ on a connection in form (1.7) transforms it to

$$\nabla^0 + p_{-1} d(c \cdot t) + \mathbf{v}(c \cdot t) d(c \cdot t) = \nabla^0 + c \cdot p_{-1} dt + c \cdot \mathbf{v}(c \cdot t) dt. \tag{1.8}$$

In order to bring it back to the form (1.7) we need to apply a gauge transformation by means of the constant H-valued function $\check{\rho}(c)$. This implies point (1) of the lemma.

This gauge transformation transforms (1.8) to

$$\nabla^0 + p_{-1} dt + c \cdot \mathrm{Ad}_{\check{\rho}(c)}(\mathbf{v}(c \cdot t)) dt.$$

This implies point (2) of the lemma, since $\mathrm{Ad}_{\check{\rho}(c)}(\mathbf{v}_d(c \cdot t)) = c^d \cdot \mathbf{v}_d(c \cdot t)$.

□

---

[3] Note that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ is not reduced, see [BD1], Sect. 2.6.5

1.5. **Quasi-classics: the Hitchin space.** Recall that the Hitchin space $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$ corresponding to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and a curve X is a functor on the category of algebras that attaches to R the set of sections of the pull-back to $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \times X$ of the fiber bundle

$$(\mathfrak{h}/\!/W) \overset{\mathbb{G}_m}{\times} \omega_X,$$

where $\mathfrak{h}/\!/W := \mathrm{Spec}\left(\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{h}^*)^W\right) \simeq \mathrm{Spec}\left(\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*)^G\right)$ is endowed with a canonical action of $\mathbb{G}_m$.

When $X = \mathcal{D}$ or $X = \mathcal{D}^\times$, in the above definition we replace $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \times X$ by $\mathrm{Spec}(R[[t]])$ and $\mathrm{Spec}\,(R(\!(t)\!))$, respectively.

For $X = \mathcal{D}$ the Hitchin space is a scheme, isomorphic to $\underset{d}{\oplus} V_{\mathrm{can},d} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\otimes d+1}$. For $X = \mathcal{D}^\times$ this is an ind-scheme, isomorphic to $\underset{d}{\oplus} V_{\mathrm{can},d} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{D}^\times}^{\otimes d+1}$. In particular, $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$ (resp., $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$) has a natural structure of group-scheme (resp., group ind-scheme).

According to [BD1], Sect. 2.4.1, the natural map

$$\mathrm{Spec}\left(\mathrm{Sym}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}(\!(t)\!)/\check{\mathfrak{g}}[[t]])^{\check{\mathfrak{g}}[[t]]}\right) \to \mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$$

is an isomorphism, where $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the Langlands dual Lie algebra. This implies that the maps

$$\mathrm{Fun}\left(\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)\right) \to \left(\underset{\underset{k}{\longleftarrow}}{\lim}\, \mathrm{Sym}\left(\check{\mathfrak{g}}(\!(t)\!)/t^k \cdot \check{\mathfrak{g}}[[t]]\right)\right)^{\check{\mathfrak{g}}(\!(t)\!)} \to \underset{\underset{k}{\longleftarrow}}{\lim}\, \mathrm{Sym}\left(\check{\mathfrak{g}}(\!(t)\!)/t^k \cdot \check{\mathfrak{g}}[[t]]\right)^{\check{\mathfrak{g}}[[t]]}$$

are also isomorphisms.

By Proposition 1.3.1 the scheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$ (resp., $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$) is non-canonically isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$ (resp., $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$). However, one can deduce from the proof (see [BD1], Sect. 3.10.11) that $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$ (resp., $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$) is *canonically* a torsor over $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$ (resp., $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$).

In particular, the algebra $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}))$ acquires a filtration, whose associated graded is $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}))$. This filtration can also be defined as follows, see [BD1], Sect. 3.11.14:

We claim that there exists a flat $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant family of schemes over $\mathbb{A}^1 \simeq \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\hbar])$, whose fiber over $1 \in \mathbb{A}^1$ is $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$, and whose fiber over $0 \in \mathbb{A}^1$ is $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$.

Indeed, this family is obtained from $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$ by replacing the word "connection" by "$\hbar$-connection". The identification at the special fiber results from Kostant's theorem that the adjoint action of B on the pre-image of $\mathbf{O}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ is free, and the quotient projects isomorphically onto $\mathfrak{h}/\!/W$.

1.6. **The case of groups of non-adjoint type.** In the rest of the paper we will have to consider the case when the group G is not necessarily of adjoint type. Let $Z(G)$ be the center of G.

The notion of R-family of G-opers in this case is formally the same as in the adjoint case, i.e., a triple $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$, where $\mathcal{F}_G$ is an R-family of G-bundle on X, $\mathcal{F}_B$ is its reduction to B, and ∇ is a connection on $\mathcal{F}_G$ in the X-direction, which satisfies the same condition on $\nabla/\mathcal{F}_B$.

We will denote the functor of R-families of G-opers on X by $\mathrm{Op}_G(X)$. The difference with the adjoint case is that now $\mathrm{Op}_G(\mathcal{D})$ is not representable by a scheme, but rather by a Deligne-Mumford stack, which is non-canonically isomorphic to $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}) \times \mathrm{pt}/Z(G)$, see [BD1], Sect. 3.4.

The following statement established in [BD1], Sect. 3.4, will suffice for our purposes:

Lemma 1.6.1. *Every choice of the square root* $\omega_X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ *of the canonical bundle gives a map of functors* $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \to \mathrm{Op}_G(X)$.

In particular, the lemma implies that for every choice of a square root of $\omega_{\mathcal{D}}$, there exists a canonically defined family of G-opers over $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$. A similar statement holds for $\mathcal{D}$ replaced by $\mathcal{D}^\times$.

Proof. One only has to show how to lift the $B/Z(G)$-bundle $\mathcal{F}_{B/Z(G)}$ to a B-bundle. This is equivalent to lifting the H-bundle $\mathcal{F}_{H/Z(G)}$ to an H-bundle $\mathcal{F}_H$.

We set $\mathcal{F}_H$ to be the bundle induced by means of the homomorphism $2\check{\rho}:\mathbb{G}_m\to H$ from the line bundle $\omega_X^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By Lemma 1.1.1, it satisfies our requirement.

□

2. Opers with singularities

2.1. For X a curve of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ we shall fix $x\in X$ to be any closed point. For $X=\mathcal{D}$, we let x to be the unique closed point of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$. We shall now define the notion of $\mathfrak{g}$-*oper on X with singularity of order k at x.*

By definition, this a triple $(\mathcal{F}_G,\nabla,\mathcal{F}_B)$, where $(\mathcal{F}_G,\mathcal{F}_B)$ are as in the definition of opers, but the connection ∇on $\mathcal{F}_G$ is required to have a pole of order k such that

$$(\nabla-\nabla') \operatorname{mod} \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B}\otimes\omega_X(k\cdot x)\in \mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}_B,\omega_X(k\cdot x)}\subset(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_{\mathcal{F}_B}\otimes\omega_X(k\cdot x), \tag{2.1}$$

for any regular connection ∇' on $\mathcal{F}_G$ that preserves $\mathcal{F}_B$.

Again, if we trivialize $\mathcal{F}_B$ and choose a coordinate t near x, the set of opers with singularity of order k at x identifies with the set of equivalence classes of connections of the form

$$\nabla^0+t^{-k}\left(\sum_{\imath}\phi_\imath(t)dt\cdot f_\imath+\mathbf{q}(t)dt\right), \tag{2.2}$$

where $\phi_\imath(t)$ are nowhere vanishing functions on X, and $\mathbf{q}(t)$ is a $\mathfrak{b}$-valued function. Two such connections are equivalent if they are conjugate by means of an element of $\mathrm{Hom}(X,B)$. Equivalently, opers with singularity of order k at x is the set of $\mathrm{Hom}(X,N)$-equivalence classes of connections of the form

$$\nabla^0+t^{-k}\left(p_{-1}dt+\mathbf{q}(t)dt\right)$$

for $\mathbf{q}(t)$ as above.

As in Lemma 1.1.1 one has:

Lemma 2.1.1. *For $(\mathcal{F}_G,\nabla,\mathcal{F}_B)$-an oper on X with singularity of order k at x, the H-bundle $\mathcal{F}_H=N\backslash\mathcal{F}_B$ is canonically isomorphic to $(\omega_X(x))^{\check{\rho}}$.*

One defines the notion of R-family of opers on X with singularity of order k at x in a straightforward way. The corresponding functor on the category of R-algebras will be denoted $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}(X)$. We will be mainly concerned with the case when $X=\mathcal{D}$; if no confusion is likely to occur, we will denote the corresponding functor simply by $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$. We have an evident morphism of functors $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}\to\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$, and below we will see (see Corollary 2.3.2) that $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$ is a representable by a closed subscheme of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$. Note that for $k=0$ we recover $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$, and we will often use the notation $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ for it.

As in the case of usual opers, there exists a naturally defined functor $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ on the category of $\mathfrak{D}_X$-algebras. The functors $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}(X)$ and $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$ are reconstructed by the analogs of (1.3) and (1.4), respectively. Corollary 2.3.2 implies that $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is representable by an affine $\mathfrak{D}_X$-scheme, which over the curve $(X-x)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$.

2.2. **Changing k.**

Proposition 2.2.1 ([BD1], 4.3)**.** *For every k there is a natural morphism of functors $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k} \to \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_{k+1}}$. We have:*

$$\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times) \simeq \varinjlim_{k} \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}.$$

Proof. Given a triple $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B) \in \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}(R)$ we define the corresponding $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \nabla', \mathcal{F}'_B) \in \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_{k+1}}(R)$ as follows:

Let us choose (locally) a trivialization of $\mathcal{F}_B$, and let us apply the gauge transformation by means of $t^{\check{\rho}} \in H((t))$, where t is any uniformizer on $\mathcal{D}$. We thus obtain a different extension of $\mathcal{F}_B$ from $\mathcal{D}^\times$ to $\mathcal{D}$, and let it be our $\mathcal{F}'_B$. It is clear that $\mathcal{F}'_B$ is independent of both the choice of the trivialization and the coordinate.

Let $\mathcal{F}'_G$ be the induced G-bundle, and ∇' the resulting meromorphic connection on it. By (1.2), ∇' has the form required by the (2.2).

Let now $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ be an R-point of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$, represented as a gauge equivalence class of some connection written in the form (1.5), $\phi_\imath(t) \in (R((t)))^\times$.

Consider the R-point of $H((t))$ equal to $\left(\prod\limits_{\imath} (\check{\omega}_\imath)(\phi_\imath)\right)^{-1} \cdot t^{k\cdot\check{\rho}}$, where each $\check{\omega}_\imath$ is regarded as a homomorphism $\mathbb{G}_m \to H$. It is clear from (1.2) that for k large enough, the resulting connection will be of the form (2.2).

□

2.3. **Description in terms of canonical representatives.**

By repeating the proof of Proposition 1.3.1, we obtain:

Lemma 2.3.1. *For every R-point of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$, the canonical form of its image in $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)(R)$ is such that each homogeneous component $\mathbf{v}_d(t)$ has a pole in t of order $\leq k\cdot(d+1)$.*

Corollary 2.3.2. *The morphisms of functors $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k} \to \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_{k+1}}$ and $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k} \to \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ are closed embeddings. The latter identifies with the subscheme*

$$\bigoplus_d t^{-k\cdot(d+1)} \cdot V_{\mathrm{can},d}[[t]] \subset V_{\mathrm{can},d}((t)).$$

Proof. Evidently, given a point of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$, written in the canonical form (1.7) such that $t^{k\cdot(d+1)} \cdot \mathbf{v}_d(t) \in V_{\mathrm{can},d}[[t]]$, by applying the gauge transformation by means of $t^{k\cdot\check{\rho}}$, we bring it to the form (2.2). Thus, we obtain the maps

$$\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k} \rightleftarrows \bigoplus_d t^{-k\cdot(d+1)} \cdot V_{\mathrm{can},d}[[t]].$$

Finally, by induction on d it is easy to see that if some $\mathbf{g} \in B((t))(R)$ conjugates an R-point of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$ to another point of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$, then $\mathbf{g} \in B[[t]](R)$.

□

Recall the ind-scheme $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$, and let us denote by $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$ its subscheme, corresponding to sections of $(\mathfrak{h}/\!/W) \overset{\mathbb{G}_m}{\times} \omega_{\mathcal{D}}(k\cdot x)$. This is a scheme, canonically isomorphic to $\bigoplus\limits_d V_{\mathrm{can},d} \otimes (\omega_{\mathcal{D}}(k\cdot x))^{\otimes d+1}$, which gives it a structure of group-scheme. Evidently, $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ is isomorphic to $\varinjlim\limits_{k} \mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$. We also have an isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}\left(\check{\mathfrak{g}}((t))/t^k \cdot \check{\mathfrak{g}}[[t]]\right)^{\check{\mathfrak{g}}[[t]]}.$$

As in the case of $k=0$, the scheme $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$ acts simply transitively on $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$. Moreover,

$$\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k} \simeq \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}) \overset{\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}{\times} \mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}.$$

This defines a filtration on the algebra $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k})$, whose associated graded is $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k})$. This filtration can be alternatively described by the deformation procedure mentioned at the end of Sect. 1.5.

2.4. **Opers with regular singularities.** In the context of the previous subsection let us set $k=1$, in which case we will replace the superscript ord_1 by RS, and call the resulting scheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}$ "the scheme of opers with regular singularities". The terminology is partly justified by the following assertion, which will be proved in the next section:

Proposition 2.4.1. *If a $\mathbb{C}$-point $(\mathcal{F}_G,\nabla,\mathcal{F}_B)$ of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ has regular singularities as a G-bundle with connection, then it belongs to $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}$.*

We claim now that there exists a canonical map $\mathrm{Res}^{\mathrm{RS}}:\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}\to\mathfrak{h}/\!/W$, see [BD1], Sect. 3.8.11:

Recall first that if $(\mathcal{F}_G,\nabla)$ is an R-family of G-bundles on X with a connection that has a pole of order 1 at x, its residue (or polar part) is well-defined as a section of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}_G}$, where $\mathcal{P}_G$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{F}_G$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(R)\times x\subset \mathrm{Spec}(R)\times X$. In other words, we obtain an R-point of the stack $\mathfrak{g}/G$.

Given an R-point $(\mathcal{F}_G,\nabla,\mathcal{F}_B)\in\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}$, we compose the above map with $\mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{Ad}(G)\to\mathfrak{h}/\!/W$. The resulting map $\mathrm{Spec}(R)\to\mathfrak{h}/\!/W$ is the map $\mathrm{Res}^{\mathrm{RS}}$.

Explicitly, to a connection written as

$$\nabla^0+t^{-1}\left(\sum_{\imath}\phi_\imath(t)dt\cdot f_\imath+\mathbf{q}(t)dt\right), \tag{2.3}$$

we attach the projection to $\mathfrak{h}/\!/W$ of the element $\underset{\imath}{\Sigma}\phi_\imath(0)\cdot f_\imath+\mathbf{q}(0)$.

Let ϖ denote the tautological projection $\mathfrak{h}\to\mathfrak{h}/\!/W$. For $\check\lambda\in\mathfrak{h}$ we will denote by $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(\check\lambda)}$ the preimage under $\mathrm{Res}^{\mathrm{RS}}$ of the point $\varpi(\check\lambda)\in\mathfrak{h}/\!/W$. From the proof of Proposition 2.2.1 for $k=0$ we obtain that the subscheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})=:\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}\subset\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}$ is contained in $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(-\check\rho)}$.

Let us now describe the map $\mathrm{Res}^{\mathrm{RS}}$ in terms of the isomorphism of Corollary 2.3.2:

Lemma 2.4.2. *The composition*

$$\underset{d}{\oplus}\, t^{-d-1}\cdot V_{\mathrm{can},d}[[t]]\simeq\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}\overset{\mathrm{Res}^{\mathrm{RS}}}{\to}\mathfrak{h}/\!/W$$

equals the map

$$\underset{d}{\oplus}\, t^{-d-1}\cdot V_{\mathrm{can},d}[[t]]\to\underset{d}{\oplus}\,V_{\mathrm{can},d}\simeq V_{\mathrm{can}}\simeq\mathfrak{h}/\!/W,$$

where the last arrow is given by (1.6)*, and the first arrow is defined as follows:*

- *For $d\neq 1$, this is the projection on the top polar part.*
- *For $d=1$, this is the projection on the top polar part, followed by the affine shift by $\frac{p_1}{4}$.*

Proof. By the proof of Proposition 1.3.1, we have to check that for any $\mathbf{v}'\in\underset{d\neq 1}{\oplus}V_{\mathrm{can},d}$ and $\mathbf{v}''\in V_{\mathrm{can},1}$, the elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ given by $p_{-1}+\mathbf{v}'+\mathbf{v}''-\check\rho$ and $p_{-1}+\mathbf{v}'+\mathbf{v}''+\frac{p_1}{4}$ project to the same element of $\mathfrak{h}/\!/W$. However, this follows from the fact that $\exp(\frac{p_1}{2})$ conjugates one to the other.

$\square$

Corollary 2.4.3. *Under the isomorphism of Corollary 2.3.2 the subscheme* $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\varpi(-\check{\rho})} \subset \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}$ *identifies with*

$$\underset{d}{\oplus}\, t^{-d} \cdot V_{\mathrm{can},d}[[t]] \subset \underset{d}{\oplus}\, t^{-d-1} \cdot V_{\mathrm{can},d}[[t]].$$

Of course, as in the case of Corollary 2.3.2, the isomorphism $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\varpi(-\check{\rho})} \simeq \underset{d}{\oplus}\, t^{-d} \cdot V_{\mathrm{can},d}[[t]]$ depends on the choice of the coordinate t. Canonically, $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\varpi(-\check{\rho})}$ can be described in terms of the Hitchin space as follows.

Let us denote $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_1}$ by $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}$, and let us note that we have a natural homomorphism $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}} \to V_{\mathrm{can}}$. Let $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \subset \mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}$ be the preimage of 0. The algebra of functions on $\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$ also admits the following description, see [F], Lemma 9.4:

$$\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}\left(\check{\mathfrak{g}}((t))/\,\mathrm{Lie}(\check{I})\right)^{\check{I}},$$

where $\check{I} \subset \check{G}[[t]]$ is the Iwahori subgroup.

We have:

$$\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\varpi(-\check{\rho})} \simeq \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}) \overset{\mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}{\times} \mathrm{Hitch}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}.$$

Consider now the gradings on the algebras $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k})$, $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}})$ and $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\varpi(-\check{\rho})})$, coming from $\mathbb{G}_m \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$, corresponding to some choice of a coordinate t on $\mathcal{D}$. From Lemma 1.4.1 we obtain:

Lemma 2.4.4.

(1) *The algebra* $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}})$ *is non-positively graded. The subalgebra, consisting of degree* 0 *elements, identifies with* $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathfrak{h}/\!/W)$ *under the map* $\mathrm{Res}^{\mathrm{RS}}$*.*

(2) *For every* $k \geq 2$*, the ideal of* $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}) \to \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}})$ *is freely generated by finitely many elements, each having a positive degree.*

(3) *The algebra* $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\varpi(-\check{\rho})})$ *is freely generated by elements of strictly negative degrees.*

2.5. **Opers with nilpotent singularities.** Let X and x be as above. We define a $\mathfrak{g}$-oper on X with a nilpotent singularity at x to be a triple $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$, where $(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_B)$ are as in the definition of opers, and the connection ∇ has a pole of order 1 at x such that for some (or any) regular connection ∇' that preserves B, we have:

- (i) $(\nabla - \nabla')$, which a priori is an element of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_G} \otimes \omega_X(x)$, is in fact contained in $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \otimes \omega_X(x) + \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_G} \otimes \omega_X \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_G} \otimes \omega_X(x)$. Once this condition is satisfied, we impose the following two:
- (ii) $(\nabla - \nabla') \bmod \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_G} \otimes \omega_X$, which is an element of $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{P}_B} \simeq \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \otimes \omega_X(x)/\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \otimes \omega_X$, must be contained in $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathcal{P}_B} \subset \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{P}_B}$, where $\mathcal{P}_B$ is the fiber of $\mathcal{F}_B$ at x.
- (iii) $(\nabla - \nabla') \bmod \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \otimes \omega_X(x)$, which is a section of $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_{\mathcal{F}_B} \otimes \omega_X$, must be contained in $\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}_B, \omega_X} \subset (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_{\mathcal{F}_B} \otimes \omega_X$.

In other words, we are looking at gauge equivalence classes with respect to $\mathrm{Hom}(X, B)$ of connections of the form

$$\nabla^0 + \sum_{\imath} \phi_\imath \cdot f_\imath + \mathbf{q}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\phi_\imath$ are as in (1.1), and $\mathbf{q}$ is a $\mathfrak{b}$-valued one-form on X with a pole of order 1 at x, whose residue belongs to $\mathfrak{n}$.

This definition makes sense for R-families, so we obtain a functor on the category of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras, which we will denote by $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}(X)$. For $X = \mathcal{D}$ we will denote the corresponding functor simply by $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$.

As in the previous cases, one can define the functor $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ on the category of $\mathfrak{D}_X$-algebras. Once we prove its representability (see below), this functor will be related to $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}(X)$, $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$ and $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ in the same way as in the case of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$.

2.6. We have an evident morphism of functors $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \to \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$.

Theorem 2.6.1. *The above map is a closed embedding of functors, and an isomorphism onto* $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(-\check{\rho})}$.

Since the assertion is local, a similar statement holds for any pair (X, x). Before proving this theorem let us make the following observation, which implies in particular that the map in question is injective at the level of $\mathbb{C}$-points.

Let $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-point of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$, and let us first regard it as a G-local system on $\mathcal{D}^\times$. Recall that if a local system $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla)$ on $\mathcal{D}^\times$ admits an extension to a bundle on $\mathcal{D}$ with a meromorphic connection with a pole of order 1 *and nilpotent residue*, then such extension is unique; we will refer to it as Deligne's extension. [4]

Thus, a necessary condition for $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ to come from $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$ is that it admits such an extension. Since the flag variety G/B is compact, the B-bundle $\mathcal{F}_B$, which is a priori defined on $\mathcal{D}^\times$, admits a unique extension to $\mathcal{D}$, compatible with the above extension of $\mathcal{F}_G$.

Having fixed this extension, our point comes from $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$ if and only if conditions (i) and (iii) from the definition of opers with nilpotent singularities hold (condition (ii) is automatic from (i) and the nilpotency assumption on the residue).

2.7. **Proof of Theorem 2.6.1.** To an oper with nilpotent singularities, written in the form

$$\nabla^0 + \sum_\imath \phi_\imath(t)dt \cdot f_\imath + \frac{\mathbf{q}(t)}{t}dt, \tag{2.5}$$

$\phi_\imath(t) \in (R[[t]])^\times$, $\mathbf{q}(t) \in \mathfrak{b} \otimes R[[t]]$ with $\mathbf{q}(0) \in \mathfrak{n} \otimes R$, we associate a point of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(-\check{\rho})}$ by applying the gauge transformation by means of $t^{\check{\rho}}$.

The gauge action of $B[[t]](R)$ on connections of the form (2.5) gets transformed into the gauge action on connections with regular singularities by means of $\mathrm{Ad}_{t^{\check{\rho}}}(B[[t]])(R)$, which is a subgroup of $B[[t]]$. This shows that the map $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \to \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ factors through $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(-\check{\rho})}$.

To prove the theorem we must show that any connection written as

$$\nabla = \nabla^0 + \frac{p_{-1} - \check{\rho} + \mathbf{q}(t)}{t}dt, \tag{2.6}$$

with $\mathbf{q}(t) \in \mathfrak{b}[[t]]$ such that the image of $p_{-1} - \check{\rho} + \mathbf{q}(0)$ in $\mathfrak{h}/\!/W$ equals $\varpi(-\check{\rho})$ can be conjugated by means of $N[[t]]$ into a connection of similar form such that $t^{-d} \cdot \mathbf{q}_d(t) \in \mathfrak{b}_d[[t]]$, uniquely up to $\mathrm{Ad}_{t^{\check{\rho}}}(N[[t]])$.

Note first of all that by applying a gauge transformation by means of a *constant* loop into N, we can assume that $\mathbf{q}(0) = 0$. By induction on d we will prove the following statement:

Every connection as in (2.6) *can be conjugated by means of* $N[[t]]$ *to one which satisfies:*

$$t^{-d'} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{d'}(t) \in \mathfrak{b}_{d'}[[t]] \textit{ for } d' \le d, \tag{2.7}$$

---

[4] Such an extension exists if and only if $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla)$ has regular singularities, and when regarded analytically, has a unipotent monodromy.

and $t^{-d} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{d''}(t) \in \mathfrak{b}_{d''}[[t]]$ *for* $d'' \geq d$.

By the above, the statement holds for $d = 1$. To perform the induction step we will use a *descending* inductive argument. We assume that ∇ satisfies (2.7), and that for some $k \geq d+1$,

$$t^{-d-1} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{k'}(t) \in \mathfrak{b}_{k'}[[t]] \text{ for } k' \text{ satisfying } k' > k,$$

and

$$t^{-d} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{k''}(t) \in \mathfrak{b}_{k''}[[t]] \text{ for } d+1 \leq k'' \leq k.$$

We will show how to modify ∇ so that it continues to satisfy (2.7), and in addition:

$$t^{-d-1} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{k'}(t) \in \mathfrak{b}_{k'}[[t]] \text{ for } k' \text{ satisfying } k' \geq k,$$

and

$$t^{-d} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{k''}(t) \in \mathfrak{b}_{k''}[[t]] \text{ for } d+1 \leq k'' < k.$$

Namely, we will replace ∇ by

$$\nabla' := \operatorname{Ad}_{\exp(t^d \cdot u_k)}(\nabla) = \nabla^0 + \frac{p_{-1} - \check{\rho} + \mathbf{q}'(t)}{t} dt$$

for a certain element $u_k \in \mathfrak{b}_k$.

For any such u_k the conditions, involving $\mathbf{q}'_{k'}(t)$ for k' with either $k' < k$ or $k' > k$, hold automatically. The condition on $\mathbf{q}'_k(t)$ reads as follows:

$$-d \cdot u_k + [u_k, -\check{\rho}] = -t^{-d} \mathbf{q}_k(t) \bmod t. \tag{2.8}$$

However, $[u_k, -\check{\rho}] = k \cdot u_k$, and since $k > d$ the above condition is indeed solvable uniquely.

This finishes the proof of the fact that any connection as in (2.6) can be conjugated by means of $N[[t]]$ to one satisfying $t^{-d} \cdot \mathbf{q}_d(t) \in \mathfrak{b}_d[[t]]$. The uniqueness of the solution of (2.8) implies that the conjugation is unique modulo $\operatorname{Ad}_{t^{\check{\rho}}}(N[[t]])$. Thus, the proof of Theorem 2.6.1 is complete. Let us note that the same argument proves the following generalization:

Proposition 2.7.1. *Let* $\check{\lambda}$ *be an anti-dominant coweight. Then a data of an* R*-point of* $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(\lambda-\rho)}$ *is equivalent to a data of* $B[[t]]$*-conjugacy class of connections of the form*

$$\nabla^0 + \sum_{\imath} \phi_\imath(t) dt \cdot f_\imath + \frac{\mathbf{q}(t)}{t} dt,$$

where $\phi_\imath(t)$ *are as in* (2.5)*, and* $\mathbf{q}(t) \in \mathfrak{b} \otimes R[[t]]$ *is such that* $\mathbf{q}(0) \bmod \mathfrak{n} = \check{\lambda}$.

2.8. **The secondary residue map.** Note that by definition the scheme $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \widehat{\times} \mathcal{D}$ carries a universal oper with nilpotent singularities. Let us denote by $\mathcal{P}_{G,\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}}$ (resp., $\mathcal{P}_{B,\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}}$) the resulting G-bundle (resp., B-bundle) on $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$. In particular, we obtain a map $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \to \mathrm{pt}/B$.

By taking the residue of the connection (see Sect. 2.4), we obtain a map from $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$ to the stack $\mathfrak{n}/B$, where B acts on $\mathfrak{n}$ by means of the adjoint action; we will denote this map by $\operatorname{Res}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$.

Lemma 2.8.1.

(1) *The map* $\operatorname{Res}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$ *is smooth. Moreover, the* B*-scheme* $\mathfrak{n} \underset{\mathfrak{n}/B}{\times} \operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$ *can be represented as a product of an infinite-dimensional affine space by a finite-dimensional variety with a free action of* B.

(2) *We have a natural identification:*

$$\mathrm{pt}/B \underset{\mathfrak{n}/B}{\times} \operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \simeq \mathrm{pt}/B \underset{\mathrm{pt}/G}{\times} \operatorname{Op}_{G}^{\mathrm{reg}},$$

where $\mathrm{pt}/B \to \mathfrak{n}/B$ *corresponds to* $0 \in \mathfrak{n}$, *and the map* $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{pt}/G$ *is given by* $\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}$.

Proof. The second point of the lemma results from the definitions. To prove the first point, note that $\mathfrak{n} \underset{\mathfrak{n}/B}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ identifies with the quotient of the space of connections of the form (2.5) by gauge transformations by means of $B(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$. As in the proof of Proposition 1.3.1, we obtain that any such connection can be uniquely, up to the action of $B(t\mathbb{C}[[t]])$, brought into the form

$$\nabla^0 + \Big(\sum_{\imath} a_\imath \cdot f_\imath + \frac{\mathbf{q}'}{t} + \mathbf{q}'' + t \cdot \mathbf{v}(t)\Big)dt,$$

where $0 \neq a_\imath \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbf{q}' \in \mathfrak{n}$, $\mathbf{q}'' \in \mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathbf{v}(t) \in V_{\mathrm{can}}[[t]]$. This scheme projects onto the variety of expressions of the form

$$\sum_{\imath} a_\imath \cdot f_\imath + \frac{\mathbf{q}'}{t} + \mathbf{q}'',$$

on which B acts freely.

□

2.9. **Opers with an integral residue.** For completeness, we shall now give a description of the scheme $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(-\check\lambda-\check\rho)}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ when $\check\lambda$ is an integral coweight with $\check\lambda + \check\rho$ dominant, similar to the one given by Theorem 2.6.1 in the case when $\check\lambda = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the subset of the set $\mathcal{I}$ of vertices of the Dynkin diagram, corresponding to those simple roots, for which $\langle \alpha_\jmath, \check\lambda \rangle = -1$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{J}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the corresponding standard parabolic subalgebra, $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathcal{J}} \subset \mathfrak{n}$ its unipotent radical, and $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{J}}$ the Levi factor.

We introduce the notion of oper with $\check\lambda$-nilpotent singularity to be a triple $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ as in the definition of nilpotent opers, where conditions (i)–(iii) are replaced by the following ones:

- (i) $(\nabla - \nabla') \mod \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \otimes \omega_X(x)$, which is a section of $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_{\mathcal{F}_B} \otimes \omega_X(x)$, must be contained in $\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \overset{\mathbb{G}_m}{\times} \omega_X^{\check\rho}(-\check\lambda \cdot x)$.
- (ii) $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla) := (\nabla - \nabla') \mod \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_G} \otimes \omega_X$, which is *a priori* an element of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}_B}$, is contained in $\big(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{J}}\big)_{\mathcal{P}_B}$.
- (iii) The image of $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla)$ under $\big(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{J}}\big)_{\mathcal{P}_B} \to \big(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{J}}\big)_{\mathcal{P}_B}$ is nilpotent.

As in the case of $\check\lambda = 0$, this definition makes sense for R-families, where R is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra or a $\mathfrak{D}_X$-algebra. We will denote by $\mathrm{Op}^{\check\lambda,\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ the resulting functor for $X = \mathcal{D}$. Explicitly, an R-point of $\mathrm{Op}^{\check\lambda,\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a $B[[t]](R)$-equivalence classes of connections of the form

$$\nabla^0 + \sum_{\imath} t^{\langle \alpha_\imath, \check\lambda \rangle} \cdot \phi_\imath(t) dt \cdot f_\imath + \frac{\mathbf{q}(t)}{t} dt, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\phi_\imath(t)$ and $\mathbf{q}(t)$ are as in (2.5), subject to the condition that the element

$$\underset{\jmath \in \mathcal{J}}{\Sigma} \phi_\jmath(0) + \mathbf{q}(0) \mod \mathfrak{n}_{\mathcal{J}} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{J}}$$

be nilpotent.

As in the case of $\check\lambda = 0$, there exists a natural map of functors $\mathrm{Op}^{\check\lambda,\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$.

Theorem 2.9.1. *The above map is an isomorphism onto the subscheme* $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(-\check\lambda-\check\rho)}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

The proof of this theorem repeats that of Theorem 2.6.1, where instead of the principal grading on $\mathfrak{n}$ we use the one, defined by the adjoint action of $\check\lambda + \check\rho$.

Consider the subvariety of $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{J}}$, denoted $\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{J}}$, consisting of elements of the form

$$\sum_{\jmath \in \mathcal{J}} c_\jmath \cdot f_\jmath + \mathbf{q},\ c_\jmath \neq 0,\ \mathbf{q} \in \mathfrak{b},$$

that are nilpotent. We have a natural action of B on $\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{J}}$.

As in the case of nilpotent opers, i.e, $\check{\lambda} = 0$, there exists a natural smooth map

$$\mathrm{Res}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}} : \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}} \to \mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{J}}/B,$$

obtained by taking the polar part of a connection as in (2.9).

Finally, let us consider the case when $\check{\lambda}$ itself is dominant. In this case $\mathcal{J} = \emptyset$, and $\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathfrak{n}$. Let us denote by $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{reg}}$ the preimage of $\mathrm{pt}/B \subset \mathfrak{n}/B$ under the map $\mathrm{Res}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}}$, where $\mathrm{pt} \to \mathfrak{n}$ corresonds to the point 0.

The scheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{reg}}$ is the scheme of $\check{\lambda}$-opers introduced earlier by Beilinson and Drinfeld. As in the case of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}}$, we have the notion of (an R-family) of regular $\check{\lambda}$-opers over any curve. By definition, this is a triple $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$, where $\mathcal{F}_G$ and ∇ are a principal G-bundle and a connection on it, defined on the entire X, and $\mathcal{F}_B$ is a reduction of $\mathcal{F}_G$ to B, such that $\nabla/\mathcal{F}_B$, as a section of $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_{\mathcal{F}_B} \otimes \omega_X$, belongs to $\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \overset{\mathbb{G}_m}{\times} \omega_X^{\check{\rho}}(-\check{\lambda} \cdot x)$.

3. Miura opers

3.1. Ler R be a $\mathfrak{D}_X$-algebra. Let us fix once and for all another Borel subgroup B^- of G which is in generic relative position with B. The definition of Miura opers given below uses B^-. However, the resulting scheme of Miura opers is defined canonically and is independent of this choice.

Following [F], Sect. 10.3, one defines a *Miura oper* over R to be a quadruple

$$(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B, \mathcal{F}_{B^-}),$$

where:

- $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ are as in the definition of opers, i.e., $(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_B)$ is a G-bundle on $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ with a reduction to B, and ∇ is a connection on $\mathcal{F}_G$ along X such that $\nabla/\mathcal{F}_B \in \mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}_B,\omega_X}$.
- $\mathcal{F}_{B^-}$ is a reduction of $\mathcal{F}_G$ to the opposite Borel subgroup B^- which is *preserved by the connection* ∇.

We will denote the functor of Miura opers on the category of $\mathfrak{D}_X$-algebras by $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$, and the resulting functor on the category of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras by $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)(R) := \mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}(R \otimes \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Lemma 3.1.1. *The functor* $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ *is representable by a* $\mathfrak{D}_X$*-scheme.*

Proof. Since the functor $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is known to be representable, it suffices to show that the morphism $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}} \to \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is representable as well.

Consider another functor on the category of $\mathfrak{D}_X$-algebras that associates to R the set of quadruples $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B, \mathcal{F}_{B^-})$, *but without the condition that* $\mathcal{F}_{B^-}$ *be compatible with the connection.* The latter functor is clearly representable over $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$, and it contains $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ as a closed subfunctor.

□

We will denote by $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$ or $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ the resulting scheme of Miura opers over $\mathcal{D}$. Note, however, that since the flag variety G/B^- is non-affine, the $\mathfrak{D}_X$-scheme $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$ is non-affine over X. Hence, the functor $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ on the category of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras is ill-behaved; in particular, it cannot be represented by an ind-scheme.

We define the $\mathfrak{D}_X$-schemes $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ (resp., $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$, $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$) to classify quadruples $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B, \mathcal{F}_{B^-})$, where the first three pieces of data are as in the definition of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ (resp., $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$, $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$), and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}^-}$ is a reduction of the G-bundle $\mathcal{F}_G$, which is defined on the entire X, to the subgroup $\mathcal{F}_{B^-}$, compatible with the connection ∇. The last condition means, in the case of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$, that the operator $\nabla_{t^k\partial_t}$ preserves $\mathcal{F}_{B^-}$. For the other $\mathfrak{D}_X$-schemes this condition is defined similarly.

Each of these $\mathfrak{D}_X$-schemes is isomorphic to $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ over the curve $(X - x)$.

We will denote the corresponding schemes for $X = \mathcal{D}$ simply by $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$, $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}$ and $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$, respectively.

Note that there are *no* natural maps from $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$ to $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_{k+1}}$ or from $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$ to $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}$.

3.2. **Generic Miura opers.** Following [F], Sect. 10.3, we shall say that a Miura oper $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B, \mathcal{F}_{B^-})$ is *generic* if the given reductions of $\mathcal{F}_G$ to B and B^- are in generic relative position. More precisely, observe that given a G-bundle on a scheme X with two reductions to B and B^-, we obtain a morphism $X \to B\backslash G/B^-$. The Miura oper is called generic if this morphism takes values in the open part $B \cdot B^-$ of $B\backslash G/B^-$.

Lemma 3.2.1. *Let $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-valued oper on $\mathcal{D}^\times$, and let $\mathcal{F}_{B^-}$ be any horizontal reduction of $\mathcal{F}_G$ to B^-. Then it is in generic relative position with $\mathcal{F}_B$.*

Proof. The following short argument is due to Drinfeld. The G-bundle $\mathcal{F}_G$ can be assumed to be trivial, and we can think of $\mathcal{F}_B$ and $\mathcal{F}_{B^-}$ as two families of Borel subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{b}_1^- \subset \mathfrak{g}((t)) \supset \mathfrak{b}_2.$$

The connection on $\mathcal{F}_G$ has the form $\nabla^0 + \mathbf{q}(t)$, where $\mathbf{q}(t) \in \mathfrak{b}_1^-$.

Let $\mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{g}((t))$ be any Cartan subalgebra, contained in both $\mathfrak{b}_1^-$ and $\mathfrak{b}_2$. Let us decompose $\mathbf{q}(t)$ with respect to the characters of $\mathfrak{h}'$, acting on $\mathfrak{g}((t))$, i.e., with respect to the roots.

Then, on the one hand, each $\mathbf{q}(t)_\alpha$ belongs to $\mathfrak{b}_1^-$. I.e., if $\mathbf{q}(t)_\alpha \neq 0$ then α is positive with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_1^-$.

On the other hand, if $\alpha_\imath$ be a simple root of $\mathfrak{h}'$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_2$, then by the oper condition, $\mathbf{q}(t)_{-\alpha_\imath} \neq 0$. Hence, every positive simple root with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_2$ is negative with respect to $\mathfrak{b}_1^-$. This implies that $\mathfrak{b}_1^- \cap \mathfrak{b}_2 = \mathfrak{h}'$, i.e., the two reductions are in generic relative position.

□

Evidently, generic Miura opers form an open $\mathfrak{D}_X$-subscheme of $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$; we will denote it by $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$.

Lemma 3.2.2. *The $\mathfrak{D}_X$-scheme $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is affine over X.*

Proof. We know that the $\mathfrak{D}_X$-scheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is affine. Hence, it is sufficient to show that $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is affine over $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$.

By definition, $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is a closed subfunctor of the functor that associates to a $\mathfrak{D}_X$-algebra R the set of quadruples $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B, \mathcal{F}_{B^-})$, where $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ are as above, and $\mathcal{F}_{B^-}$ is a reduction of $\mathcal{F}_G$ to B^-, which is in generic relative position with $\mathcal{F}_B$, and *not necessarily compatible with the connection.*

Since the big cell $B^- \cdot 1 \subset G/B$ is affine, the latter functor is evidently affine over $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$, implying our assertion.

□

We will denote by $\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ (resp., $\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$, $\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$) the corresponding open $\mathfrak{D}_X$-subscheme of $\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ (resp., $\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$, $\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$). We will denote by

$$\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}} := \mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}),\ \mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}},\ \mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}},\ \mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}$$

the corresponding open subschemes of

$$\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}),\ \mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}},\ \mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}},\ \mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

respectively. By Lemma 3.2.2, it makes sense also to consider the ind-scheme $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$.

3.3. **Miura opers and H-connections.** We will now establish a crucial result that connects generic Miura opers with another, very explicit, $\mathfrak{D}_X$-scheme.

Consider the H-bundle $\omega^{\check\rho}_X$, and let $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check\rho}_X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ be the $\mathfrak{D}_X$-scheme of connections on it, i.e., it associates to a $\mathfrak{D}_X$-algebra R the set of connections on the pull-back of $\omega^{\check\rho}_X$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ along X. This is a principal homogeneous space with respect to the group $\mathfrak{D}_X$-scheme that associates to R the set of $\mathfrak{h}$-valued sections of the pull-back of ω_X to $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$. In particular, $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check\rho}_X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is affine over X.

We will denote the resulting functor on $\mathbb{C}$-algebras by $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check\rho}_X)$. For $X = \mathcal{D}$ (resp., $\mathcal{D}^\times$) this functor is evidently representable by a scheme (resp., ind-scheme), which we will denote by $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check\rho}_{\mathcal{D}}) =: \mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check\rho}_{\mathcal{D}})^{\mathrm{reg}}$ (resp., $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check\rho}_{\mathcal{D}^\times})$). This scheme (resp., ind-scheme) is a principal homogeneous space with respect to $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{D}}$ (resp., $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{D}^\times}$).

Note we have a natural map of $\mathfrak{D}_X$-schemes

$$\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}} \to \mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check\rho}_X)^{\mathfrak{D}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Indeed, given an R-point of $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$, let $\mathcal{F}'_H$ be the H-bundle with connection, induced by means of $\mathcal{F}_{B^-}$. However, the assumption that the Miura oper is generic implies that $\mathcal{F}'_H \simeq \mathcal{F}'_B \cap \mathcal{F}_{B^-} \simeq \mathcal{F}_H$, where $\mathcal{F}_H$ is the H-bundle induced by $\mathcal{F}_B$. Now, let us recall that by Lemma 1.1.1, we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_H \simeq \omega^{\check\rho}_X$.

Proposition 3.3.1 ([F], Prop. 10.4)**.** *The map* (3.1) *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We construct the inverse map $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check\rho}_X)^{\mathfrak{D}} \to \mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ as follows. Recall first of all that a data of a G-bundle with two reductions to B and B^- in generic position is equivalent to a data of an H-bundle. Thus, from $\mathcal{F}_H := \omega^{\check\rho}_X$ we obtain the data $(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_B, \mathcal{F}_{B^-})$ from the Miura oper quadruple.

A connection on (the pull-back of) $\omega^{\check\rho}_X$ (to some $\mathfrak{D}_X$-scheme) induces a connection, that we will call ∇_H on $\mathcal{F}_G$, compatible with both reductions. We produce the desired connection ∇ on $\mathcal{F}_G$ by adding to ∇_H the $\mathfrak{n}^-_{\mathcal{F}_H} \otimes \omega_X$-valued 1-form equal to $\sum\limits_\imath \phi_\imath$, where each $\phi_\imath$ is the tautological trivialization of $(\mathfrak{n}^-_{-\alpha_\imath})_{\mathcal{F}_H} \otimes \omega_X$.

The resulting connection preserves $\mathcal{F}_{B^-}$ and satisfies the oper condition with respect to $\mathcal{F}_B$. Hence $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B, \mathcal{F}_{B^-})$ is a generic Miura oper. Clearly, two maps

$$\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check\rho}_X)^{\mathfrak{D}}$$

are mutually inverse.

□

This proposition immediately implies the isomorphisms of $\mathfrak{D}_X$-schemes

$$\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check\rho}_{\mathcal{D}})^{\mathrm{reg}} \simeq \mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}} \ \text{ and } \ \mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check\rho}_{\mathcal{D}^\times}) \to \mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}^\times).$$

Let us denote by $\big(\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check\rho}_X)^{\mathrm{ord}_k}\big)^{\mathfrak{D}}$ (resp., $\big(\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check\rho}_X)^{\mathrm{RS}}\big)^{\mathfrak{D}}$) the $\mathfrak{D}_X$-scheme of meromorphic connections on $\omega^{\check\rho}_X$ over $\mathcal{D}$ with pole of order $\leq k$ (resp., ≤ 1). Each of these $\mathfrak{D}_X$-schemes is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_X^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathfrak{D}}$ over $(X-x)$. We will denote by $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS}}$ and $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$ the resulting schemes of connections on $\mathcal{D}$.

Using the fact that connections on $\omega_X^{\check{\rho}}$ with a pole of order k, $k \geq 1$, are in a canonical bijection with those on $\omega_X^{\check{\rho}}(\check{\lambda}\cdot x)$ for any coweight $\check{\lambda}$, from the above proposition we obtain also the isomorphisms

$$\left(\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_X^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{ord}_k}\right)^{\mathfrak{D}} \simeq \mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}} \text{ and } \left(\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_X^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS}}\right)^{\mathfrak{D}} \simeq \mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}},$$

implying that

$$\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{ord}_k} \simeq \mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}} \text{ and } \mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS}} \simeq \mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}. \tag{3.2}$$

We call the composed map of $\mathfrak{D}_X$-schemes

$$\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_X^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathfrak{D}} \to \mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}} \to \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}} \tag{3.3}$$

the *Miura transformation* and denote it by MT. By a slight abuse of notation, we will denote by the same symbol MT the corresponding maps

$$\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS}} \to \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}},\ \mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{ord}_k} \to \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k},\ \mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}^\times}^{\check{\rho}}) \to \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times).$$

3.4. **An application: proof of Proposition 2.4.1.** Let $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ be an oper on $\mathcal{D}^\times$ such that the G-bundle with connection $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla)$ has regular singularities, i.e., $\mathcal{F}_G$ can be extended to a G-bundle $\mathcal{F}'_G$ on $\mathcal{D}$, so that ∇ has a pole of order ≤ 1.

Then it is known that $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla)$ admits at least one horizontal reduction to B^-, call it $\mathcal{F}_{B^-}$. By Lemma 3.2.1, the quadruple $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B, \mathcal{F}_{B^-})$ is a generic Miura oper on $\mathcal{D}^\times$.

By the compactness of G/B^-, the above reduction extends uniquely on the entire $\mathcal{D}$. The connection on the resulting B^--bundle $\mathcal{F}'_{B^-}$ has a pole of order ≤ 1. Hence, the connection on the H-bundle $\mathcal{F}'_H$, induced from $\mathcal{F}'_{B^-}$, also has a pole of order ≤ 1.

Therefore, the above point $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B, \mathcal{F}_{B^-}) \in \mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$, viewed as a point of $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}^\times}^{\check{\rho}})$, belongs to $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS}}$. Hence, the triple $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$, being the image of the above point under the map MT, belongs to $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}$.

3.5. **Miura opers with regular singularities.** Consider the map $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS}} \to \mathfrak{h}$, that assigns to a connection with a pole of order 1 its residue; we will denote it by $\mathrm{Res}^{\mathfrak{h}}$. For $\check{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{h}$ we will denote by $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}}$ the preimage of $\check{\lambda}$ under $\mathrm{Res}^{\mathfrak{h}}$.

A coweight $\check{\lambda}$ such that $\langle \alpha_\imath, \check{\lambda}\rangle \notin \mathbb{Z}^{<0}$ (resp., $\notin \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$) for $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, will be called *dominant* (resp., *anti-dominant*).

Proposition 3.5.1.

(1) *We have a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS}} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{MT}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Res}^{\mathfrak{h}}"'] & \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Res}^{\mathrm{RS}}"'] \\
\mathfrak{h} \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{h}/\!/W,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the bottom arrow is $\check{\lambda} \mapsto \varpi(\check{\lambda} - \check{\rho})$.

(2) *If* $\check{\lambda}$ *is dominant with respect to* B*, then the map* $\mathrm{MT} : \mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}+\check{\rho}} \to \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(\check{\lambda})}$ *is an isomorphism.*

The rest of this subsection is devoted to the proof of this proposition. Part (1) follows from the construction:

Given a generic Miura oper with regular singularities $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B, \mathcal{F}_{B^-})$, the induced H-bundle $\mathcal{F}_H$ is $\omega_X^{\check{\rho}}(\check{\rho} \cdot x)$, by Lemma 2.3.1. The polar part of ∇ is a section $\mathbf{q} \in \mathfrak{b}^-_{\mathcal{P}_{B^-}}$. Let $\check{\lambda}$ denote the projection of $\mathbf{q}$ onto $\mathfrak{b}^-_{\mathcal{P}_{B^-}}/\mathfrak{n}^-_{\mathcal{P}_{B^-}} \simeq \mathfrak{h}$, which equals the polar part of the connection on $\mathcal{F}_H$.

Then $\operatorname{Res}^{\mathrm{RS}}(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ equals the projection of $\mathbf{q}$ under $\mathfrak{g}/B^- \to \mathfrak{g}/G \to \mathfrak{h}/\!/W$, and hence it equals $\varpi(\check{\lambda})$. It remains to notice that the resulting connection on $\omega_X^{\check{\rho}}$ has the polar part equal to $\check{\lambda} + \check{\rho}$.

To prove part (2), we will use the following general assertion.

Lemma 3.5.2. *Let $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla)$ be an R-family of G-connections on $\mathcal{D}$ with a pole of order 1, and let $\mathcal{P}_G$ be the fiber of $\mathcal{F}_G$ at the closed point of the disc. Let $\mathcal{P}_{B^-}$ be a reduction of $\mathcal{P}_G$ to G with the property that the residue $\mathbf{q}$ of ∇, which is a priori an element of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}_G}$, belongs to $\mathfrak{b}^-_{\mathcal{P}_{B^-}}$. Assume that the projection of $\mathbf{q}$ to $\mathfrak{b}^-_{\mathcal{F}_{B^-}}/\mathfrak{n}^-_{\mathcal{F}_{B^-}} \simeq \mathfrak{h}$ is constant and anti-dominant with respect to B^-.*

Then there exists a unique B^--reduction $\mathcal{F}_{B^-}$ of $\mathcal{F}_G$, which is compatible with ∇ and whose fiber at x equals $\mathcal{P}_{B^-}$.

Let us first show how this lemma implies the proposition. Consider the subvariety

$$(p_{-1} + \mathfrak{b})^{\check{\lambda}} \subset (p_{-1} + \mathfrak{b}) \subset \mathfrak{g},$$

consisting of elements whose image in $\mathfrak{h}/\!/W$ equals $\check{\lambda}$. This is the N-orbit of the element $p_{-1}+\check{\lambda}$. We claim that each point of this orbit is contained in a *unique* Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ that is in generic relative position with $\mathfrak{b}$.

More precisely, consider the *Grothendieck alteration* $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ defined as the subvariety of $\mathfrak{g} \times G/B^-$ consisting of the pairs

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \{\mathbf{q} \in \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b}'^- \in G/B^- \mid \mathbf{q} \in \mathfrak{b}'^-\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Let $\widetilde{(p_{-1} + \mathfrak{b})}^{\check{\lambda}}$ be the scheme-theoretic intersection of the preimages of $(p_{-1} + \mathfrak{b})^{\check{\lambda}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and the the big cell $B \cdot 1 \subset G/B^-$ in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Lemma 3.5.3. *The projection $\widetilde{(p_{-1} + \mathfrak{b})}^{\check{\lambda}} \to (p_{-1} + \mathfrak{b})^{\check{\lambda}}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The inverse map $(p_{-1}+\mathfrak{b})^{\check{\lambda}} \to \widetilde{(p_{-1} + \mathfrak{b})}^{\check{\lambda}}$ is obtained by conjugating the element $\{p_{-1}+\check{\lambda}, \mathfrak{b}^-\}$ by means of N.

□

Let us denote by $\mathcal{F}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}}}$ (resp., $\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}}}$) the universal G-bundle with connection on $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}} \widehat{\times} \mathcal{D}$ (resp., its restriction to $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}} \times x \subset \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}} \widehat{\times} \mathcal{D}$). Let $\mathcal{F}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}}}$ be their reductions to B given by the oper structure.

From the above lemma we obtain that the G-bundle $\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}}}$ over $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}}$ admits a unique reduction to B^- such that the polar part of the connection belongs to $\mathfrak{b}^-_{\mathcal{F}_{B^-}}$ and its image in $\mathfrak{h}$ equals $\check{\lambda}$. Moreover, the resulting B^--bundle $\mathcal{P}_{B^-,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}}}$ is in generic relative position with $\mathcal{P}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}}}$.

Note that if $\check{\lambda}$ is dominant with respect to B, then it is anti-dominant with respect to B^-. Hence by our assumption on $\check{\lambda}$ and Lemma 3.5.2, the G-bundle $\mathcal{F}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}}}$ on $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}} \widehat{\times} \mathcal{D}$ admits a unique horizontal reduction to B^-. This reduction is automatically in generic position with $\mathcal{F}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}}}$, because this is so over the closed point $x \in \mathcal{D}$. Thus, we have constructed the inverse map

$$\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(\check{\lambda})} \to \mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\lambda}+\check{\rho}}.$$

This map is evidently a left inverse of the map MT. The uniqueness assertion of Lemma 3.5.2, combined with Lemma 3.5.3, implies that it is also a right inverse. This completes the proof of part (2) of Proposition 3.5.1.

Let us now prove Lemma 3.5.2.

Proof. (Drinfeld)

With no restriction of generality, we can assume that our G-bundle $\mathcal{F}_G$ is trivial, and the connection has the form $\nabla = \nabla^0 + \frac{\mathbf{q}(t)}{t}$, where $\mathbf{q}(t) \in \mathfrak{g}[[t]]$ and $\mathbf{q}(0) \in \mathfrak{b}^-$. We must show that there exists an element $\mathbf{g} \in \ker(G[[t]] \to G)$, unique modulo B^-, such that

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla^0 + \frac{\mathbf{q}(t)}{t}) =: \nabla' = \nabla^0 + \frac{\mathbf{q}'(t)}{t}$$

is such that $\mathbf{q}'(t) \in \mathfrak{b}^-[[t]]$.

Assume by induction that $\mathbf{q}(t) \bmod t^k \in \mathfrak{b}^-[t]/t^k$. We must show that there exists an element $u \in \mathfrak{g}$, unique modulo $\mathfrak{b}^-$, so that

$$t \cdot \left(\mathrm{Ad}_{\exp(t^k \cdot u)}(\frac{\mathbf{q}(t)}{t}) - k \cdot t^{k-1} \cdot u \right) \bmod t^{k+1} \in \mathfrak{b}^-[t]/t^{k+1}.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$k \cdot u + [\mathbf{q}_0, u] = \mathbf{q}_k.$$

However, this equation is indeed solvable uniquely in $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}^-$, since by assumption, negative integers are not among the eigenvalues of the adjoint action of $\mathbf{q}_0$ on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}^-$.

□

We shall now describe the behavior of the map MT, restricted to $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\mu}}$, for $\check{\mu}$ anti-dominant and integral. This is the case which is in a sense opposite to the one considered in Proposition 3.5.1(2).

Proposition 3.5.4. *Let $\check{\lambda}$ be a dominant integral weight. Then the image of the map $\mathrm{MT}|_{\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS},-\check{\lambda}}}$ belongs to the closed subscheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{reg}} \subset \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}} \simeq \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(-\check{\lambda}-\check{\rho})}$. Moreover, we have a Cartesian square:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS},-\check{\lambda}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{MT}"'] & (\overset{\circ}{B^-\backslash G})/B \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{reg}} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Res}^{\lambda,\mathrm{nilp}}"] & \mathrm{pt}/B,
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.5}$$

where $\overset{\circ}{B^-\backslash G}$ denotes the open B-orbit in the flag variety $B^-\backslash G$.

Proof. Choosing a coordinate on $\mathcal{D}$, and thus trivializing ω_X, a point of $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS},-\check{\lambda}}$ can be thought of as a connection on the trivial bundle of the form

$$\nabla^0 + \frac{\mathbf{q}(t)}{t}dt$$

with $\mathbf{q}(t) \in \mathfrak{h}[[t]]$ and $\mathbf{q}(0) = -\check{\lambda}$. The oper, corresponding to the Miura transformation of the above connection, equals

$$\nabla^0 + p_{-1} dt + \frac{\mathbf{q}(t)}{t} dt.$$

Conjugating this connection by means of $t^{-\check{\lambda}}$ we obtain a connection of the form (2.9). Let us denote by $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ the resulting point of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{reg}}$.

Note that the horizontal generic reduction to B^- of $\mathcal{F}_G$, which was defined over $\mathcal{D}^\times$, extends to one over $\mathcal{D}$. Indeed, under the above trivialization of $\mathcal{F}_G$, the reduction to B corresponds to the subgroup B itself, and the reduction to B^- corresponds to B^-, which are manifestly in the generic position. This defines the upper horizontal map in (3.5).

To show that this diagram is indeed Cartesian, it suffices to show that given a (R-) point $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{reg}}$, any reduction to B^- of the fiber $\mathcal{P}_G$ at x of $\mathcal{F}_G$, which is in the generic position with respect $\mathcal{P}_B$ (the latter being the fiber of $\mathcal{F}_B$ at x), comes from a unique reduction of $\mathcal{F}_G$ to B^-. However, this immediately follows from Lemma 3.5.2, since $\check{\lambda}$ was assumed dominant with respect to B, and, hence, anti-dominant with respect to B^-.

□

3.6. **Miura opers with nilpotent singularities.** Let us observe that we have four geometric objects that may be called "Miura opers with nilpotent singularities"

$$\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}, \ \mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}} \underset{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}, \ \mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}^{\mathrm{RS}} \underset{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}, \text{ and } \mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}^\times) \underset{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}{\times} \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}.$$

The first three of the above objects are schemes, and the fourth is an ind-scheme. In this section we will study the relationship between them.

First, we have the following:

Lemma 3.6.1. *The sets of $\mathbb{C}$-points of the four objects above are in a natural bijection.*

Proof. In all the four cases the set in question classifies the data of an oper with a nilpotent singularity on $\mathcal{D}$, and its horizontal reduction to B^- over $\mathcal{D}^\times$ (which is necessarily generic by Lemma 3.2.1).

□

We will establish the following:

Theorem 3.6.2. *There exist natural maps*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}^{\mathrm{RS}} \underset{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \arrow[r, "\mathbf{1}"] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{3}"'] & \mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}^\times) \underset{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}{\times} \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \arrow[r, "\mathbf{2}"] & \mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \\
\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}} \underset{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}, & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

which commute with the projection to $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$, and which on the level of $\mathbb{C}$-points induce the bijection of Lemma 3.6.1. Moreover, the map **1** *is a closed embedding, the map* **2** *is formally smooth, and the map* **3** *is an isomorphism.*

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of this theorem. Note, however, that the existence of the map **1** and the fact that it is a closed embedding is immediate from the fact that $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}^{\mathrm{RS}} \to \mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ is a closed embedding.

Also, the map **3** comes from the tautological map $\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}} \to \mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Since the latter is an open embedding, the map **3** is one too. Since it induces a bijection on the set of $\mathbb{C}$-points by Lemma 3.6.1, we obtain that it is an isomorphism.

To construct the other maps appearing in Theorem 3.6.2, we need to describe the corresponding schemes more explicitly. First, by (3.2), we have an isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}} \underset{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check{\rho}}_{\mathcal{D}})^{\mathrm{RS}} \underset{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

and the latter identifies, by Theorem 2.6.1, with

$$\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check{\rho}}_{\mathcal{D}})^{\mathrm{RS}} \underset{\mathfrak{h}}{\times} (\mathfrak{h} \underset{\mathfrak{h}//W}{\times} \mathrm{pt}),$$

where $\mathrm{pt} \to \mathfrak{h}//W$ corresponds to the point $\varpi(-\check{\rho})$. Hence, by Proposition 3.5.1, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}} \underset{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \underset{w\in W}{\cup} \mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check{\rho}}_{\mathcal{D}})^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\rho}-w(\check{\rho})}.$$

Since the map **1** in Theorem 3.6.2 is a closed embedding and an isomorphism at the level of $\mathbb{C}$-points, the ind-scheme $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}^\times) \underset{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ also splits into connected components, numbered by elements of W; we will denote by $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)^w$ the component corresponding to a given $w \in W$.

Let now $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the Grothendieck alteration of $\mathfrak{g}$ defined in (3.4). Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ be the scheme-theoretic preimage of $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ under the forgetful map $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g}$; this is a scheme acted on by B. Note that $\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ is connected and non-reduced.

By Lemma 3.5.2 we have:

Corollary 3.6.3. *There exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \underset{\mathfrak{n}/B}{\times} \widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}/B.$$

Let now $\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}^w$ be the subvariety of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}$, obtained by requiring that the pair $(\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b}'^-) \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be such that the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}'^-$ is in position w with respect to $\mathfrak{b}$, i.e., the corresponding point of G/B^- belongs to the B-orbit $B \cdot w^{-1} \cdot B^-$. This is a reduced scheme isomorphic to the affine space of dimension $\dim(\mathfrak{n})$. Let us denote by $\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}^{w,\mathrm{th}}$ the formal neighborhood of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}^w$ in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}$, regarded as an ind-scheme. Clearly, the action of B on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ preserves each $\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}^w$, and

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \underset{w\in W}{\cup} \widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}^w(\mathbb{C}).$$

Let us denote by $\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp},w}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ the subscheme of $\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ equal to $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \underset{\mathfrak{n}/B}{\times} \widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}^w/B$ in terms of the isomorphism of Corollary 3.6.3. Let us denote by $\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp},w,\mathrm{th}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ the ind-scheme $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \underset{\mathfrak{n}/B}{\times} \widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}^{w,\mathrm{th}}/B$.

Theorem 3.6.4. *For every $w \in W$ there exists an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)^w \simeq \mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp},w,\mathrm{th}}_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

compatible with the forgetful map to $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the bijection of Lemma 3.6.1.

Clearly, Theorem 3.6.4 implies the remaining assertions of Theorem 3.6.2. In addition, by passing to reduced schemes underlying the isomorphism of Theorem 3.6.4, and using Lemma 2.8.1(1), we obtain the following:

Corollary 3.6.5. *There exists a canonical isomorphism* $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega^{\check{\rho}}_{\mathcal{D}})^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\rho}-w(\check{\rho})} \simeq \mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp},w}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

3.7. **Proof of Theorem 3.6.4.** We begin by constructing the map

$$\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)^w \to \mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \tag{3.6}$$

Given an R-point $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B, \mathcal{F}_{B^-})$ of $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)^w$, let $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \mathcal{F}'_B)$ be an extension of the pair $(\mathcal{F}_G, \mathcal{F}_B)$ onto $\mathcal{D}$ such that the resulting triple $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}'_B)$ is a point of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$. Such an extension exists, according to Theorem 2.6.1. We claim that the reduction to B^- of $\mathcal{F}_G$, given by $\mathcal{F}_{B^-}$, gives rise to a reduction of $\mathcal{F}'_G$ to B^-:

Let us think of a reduction to B^- in the Plücker picture (see [FGV]). Let V^λ is the irreducible representation representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ with highest weight λ. Then our point of $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)^w$ gives rise to a system of meromorphic maps

$$V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}'_G} \to \omega_X^{\langle \lambda, \check{\rho}\rangle},$$

for dominant weights λ, compatible with the (meromorphic) connections on the two sides. Note that the connection on $\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}}$, corresponding to $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B, \mathcal{F}_{B^-})$, restricted to the subscheme

$$\mathrm{Spec}(R) \underset{\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)^w}{\times} \mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\rho}-w(\check{\rho})}$$

has the property that its pole is of order 1 and the residue equals $\check{\rho} - w(\check{\rho})$. We apply the following:

Lemma 3.7.1. *Let $(\mathcal{F}_H, \nabla_H)$ be an R-family of H-bundles with meromorphic connections on $\mathcal{D}$. Assume that there exists a quotient $R \twoheadrightarrow R'$ by a nilpotent ideal such that connection on the resulting R'-family has a pole of order 1 and a fixed residue integral $\check{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then there exists a unique modification $\mathcal{F}'_H$ of $\mathcal{F}_H$ at x such that the resulting connection on $\mathcal{F}'_H$ is regular.*

The lemma produces an R-family of H-bundles $\mathcal{F}'_H$ with a regular connection, and a horizontal system of *a priori meromorphic* maps

$$\mathfrak{s}^\lambda : V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}'_G} \to \mathbb{C}^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}'_H},$$

satisfying the Plücker equations. We claim that each of these maps $\mathfrak{s}^\lambda$ is in fact regular and surjective. This is particular case of the following lemma:

Lemma 3.7.2. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ be R-families of vector bundles and a line bundles on $\mathcal{D}$, respectively, both equipped with connections such that on $\mathcal{V}$ it has a pole of order 1 and nilpotent residue, and on $\mathcal{L}$ the connection is regular. Let $\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{L}$ be a non-zero meromorphic map, compatible with connections. Then this map is regular and surjective.*

Thus, we obtain a horizontal reduction $\mathcal{F}'_{B^-}$ of $\mathcal{F}'_G$ to B^-, and the desired map in (3.6).

Consider the restriction of the map (3.6) to $\mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\rho}-w(\check{\rho})} \subset \mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)^w$. Since the former scheme is reduced and irreducible, the image of this map is contained in $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp},w'}$ for some $w' \in W$. This implies that the map (3.6) itself factors through $\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp},w',\mathrm{th}}$ for the same w'.

We have to show that $w' = w$ and that the resulting map is an isomorphism. We claim that for that purpose it is sufficient to construct a map in the opposite direction

$$\mathrm{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp},w} \to \mathrm{Conn}_H(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\check{\rho}})^{\mathrm{RS},\check{\rho}-w(\check{\rho})}, \tag{3.7}$$

compatible with the identification of Lemma 3.6.1. This follows from the next observation:

Lemma 3.7.3. *Let* $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ *be an* R*-point of* $\operatorname{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$*, and let* R' *be a quotient of* R *by a nilpotent ideal. Let* $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \nabla', \mathcal{F}'_B, \mathcal{F}'_{B^-})$ *be a lift of the induced* R'*-family to a point of* $\operatorname{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$*. Then the sets of extensions of this lift to* R*-points of* $\operatorname{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{gen}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ *and* $\operatorname{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$ *are in bijection.*

The lemma follows from the fact a deformation over a nilpotent base of a generic Miura oper remains generic.

Given an R-point of $\operatorname{MOp}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp},w}$ and a dominant weight λ, consider the diagram

$$\omega_X^{\langle\lambda,\check{\rho}\rangle} \overset{\mathfrak{s}'}{\to} V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}_G} \overset{\mathfrak{s}}{\to} \mathcal{L}, \tag{3.8}$$

where the map $\mathfrak{s}'$ corresponds to the reduction of $\mathcal{F}_G$ to B, and $\mathcal{L}$ is *some* line bundle on $\operatorname{Spec}(R[[t]])$ with a regular connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}$ in the t-direction, and the map $\mathfrak{s}$ is a surjective bundle map, compatible with connections, corresponding to the reduction of $\mathcal{F}_G$ to B^-. We will denote by $\nabla(\partial_t)$ (resp., $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}(\partial_t)$) the action of the vector field ∂_t on $\mathcal{D}$ on sections of $V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}_G}$ (resp., $\mathcal{L}$), given by the connection.

To construct the map as in (3.7), we have to show that the composition $\mathfrak{s} \circ \mathfrak{s}'$ has a zero of order $\langle\lambda, \check{\rho} - w(\check{\rho})\rangle$. This is equivalent to the following: let $\mathbf{v}$ be a non-vanishing section of $\omega_X^{\langle\lambda,\check{\rho}\rangle}$, thought of as a section of $V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}_G}$ by means of $\mathfrak{s}'$. We need to show that the section $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}(\partial_t)^{n'}(\mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{v}))$ of $\mathcal{L}$ is regular and non-vanishing for $n' = n := \langle\lambda, \check{\rho} - w(\check{\rho})\rangle$, and has a zero at x if $n' < n$. Since the map $\mathfrak{s}$ is compatible with connections, we have to calculate $\mathfrak{s}\left(\nabla(\partial_t)^n(\mathbf{v})\right)$.

Let $F^j(V^\lambda)$ be the increasing B-stable filtration on V^λ, defined by the condition that a vector $v \in V^\lambda$ of weight λ' belongs to $F^j(V^\lambda)$ if and only if $\langle\lambda - \lambda', \check{\rho}\rangle \le j$. Let $F^j(V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}_B})$ be the corresponding induced filtration on the vector bundle $V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}_B} \simeq V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}_G}$. Each successive quotient $F^j(V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}_B})/F^{j-1}(V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}_B})$ is isomorphic to

$$\underset{\lambda', \langle\lambda-\lambda',\check{\rho}\rangle = j}{\oplus} F^j(V^\lambda)/F^{j-1}(V^\lambda) \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{D}}^{\langle\lambda,\check{\rho}\rangle}.$$

By the condition on ∇,

$$\nabla(\partial_t)(F^j(V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}_B})) \subset F^{j-1}(V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}_B})(x) + F^{j+1}(V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}_B}), \tag{3.9}$$

and the induced map

$$\nabla(\partial_t) : F^j(V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}_B})/F^{j-1}(V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}_B}) \to F^{j+1}(V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}_B})/F^j(V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}_B}) \tag{3.10}$$

comes from the map $F^j(V^\lambda)/F^{j-1}(V^\lambda) \to F^{j+1}(V^\lambda)/F^j(V^\lambda)$, given by p_{-1}. (The latter makes sense, since the vector field ∂_t trivializes the line bundle $\omega_{\mathcal{D}}$.)

Let us denote by n'' the maximal integer such that the composition $\mathfrak{s} \circ \mathfrak{s}'$ vanishes to the order n'' along $\operatorname{Spec}(R) \times x \subset \operatorname{Spec}(R[[t]])$. By induction on j, from (3.9), we obtain that the map

$$\mathfrak{s} : F^j(V^\lambda_{\mathcal{F}_B}) \to \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}_x$$

vanishes to the order $n'' - j$, where $\mathcal{L}_x$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{L}$ to $\operatorname{Spec}(R) \times x$.

Assume first that $n'' < n$. Then, by the maximality assumption on n'', the image of $\mathfrak{s}(\nabla(\partial_t)^{n''}(\mathbf{v}))$ in $\mathcal{L}_x$ is non-zero. However, this is impossible since the composition

$$F^j(V^\lambda) \hookrightarrow V^\lambda \to (V^\lambda)_{\mathfrak{b}'^-}$$

vanishes for any $\mathfrak{b}'^- \in G/B^-$ in relative position w with respect to B and $j < \langle\lambda - w(\lambda), \check{\rho}\rangle = n$.

Thus, $\mathfrak{s}\left(\nabla(\partial_t)^n(\mathbf{v})\right)$ is regular, and it remains to show that its image in $\mathcal{L}_x$ is nowhere vanishing. However, this follows from (3.10), since for a highest weight vector $v \in V^\lambda$ and n and $\mathfrak{b}'^-$ as above, the image of $p_{-1}^n(v)$ in $(V^\lambda)_{\mathfrak{b}'^-}$ is non-zero.

4. Groupoids and Lie algebroids associated to opers

4.1. **The isomonodromy groupoid.** Let us recall that a *groupoid* over a scheme S is a scheme $\mathcal{G}$ equipped with morphisms $l : \mathcal{G} \to S, r : \mathcal{G} \to S$, $m : \mathcal{G} \underset{r,S,l}{\times} \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$, an involution $\gamma : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$, and a morphism $u : S \to \mathcal{G}$ that satisfy the following conditions:

- associativity: $m \circ (m \times \mathrm{id}) = m \circ (\mathrm{id} \times m)$ as morphisms $\mathcal{G} \underset{r,S,l}{\times} \mathcal{G} \underset{r,S,l}{\times} \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$;
- unit: $r \circ u = l \circ u = \mathrm{id}_S$.
- inverse: $l \circ \gamma = r, r \circ \gamma = l$, $m \circ (\gamma \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{G}}) = u \circ r$, $m \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{G}} \times \gamma) = u \circ l$.

If $S_1 \subset S$ is a subscheme, we will denote by $\mathcal{G}|_{S_1}$ the restriction of $\mathcal{G}$ to S_1, i.e., the subscheme of $\mathcal{G}$ equal to $(l \times r)^{-1}(S_1 \times S_1)$. This is a groupoid over S_1.

The normal sheaf to S inside $\mathcal{G}$ acquires a structure of *Lie algebroid*; we will denote it by $\mathfrak{G}$, and by **anch** the anchor map $\mathfrak{G} \to T(S)$, where $T(S)$ is the tangent algebroid of S. (We refer to [Ma] for more details on groupoids and Lie algebroids).

The notion of groupoid generalizes in a straightforward way to the case when both S and $\mathcal{G}$ are ind-schemes. However, to speak about a Lie algebroid attached to a Lie groupoid, we will need to assume that $\mathcal{G}$ is formally smooth over S (with respect to either, or equivalently, both projections). In this case $\mathfrak{G}$ will be a Tate vector bundle over S; we refer the reader to Sect. 19.2 for details.

We now define the *isomonodromy groupoid* $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ over the ind-scheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$. Points of the ind-scheme $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ over an algebra R are triples (χ, χ', ϕ), where $\chi = (\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ and $\chi' = (\mathcal{F}'_G, \nabla', \mathcal{F}'_B)$ are both R-points of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$, and ϕ is an isomorphism of G-bundles with connections $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla) \simeq (\mathcal{F}'_G, \nabla')$.

Explicitly, if χ and χ' are connections ∇ and ∇', respectively, on the trivial bundle, both of the form

$$\nabla^0 + p_{-1}dt + \phi(t)dt, \ \ \phi(t) \in \mathfrak{b} \otimes R((t)), \tag{4.1}$$

then a point of $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(R)$ over (χ, χ) is an element $\mathbf{g} \in G\left(R((t))\right)$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla) = \nabla'$. Two triples $(\chi_1, \chi'_1, \mathbf{g}_1)$ and $(\chi_2, \chi'_2, \mathbf{g}_2)$ are equivalent of there exist elements $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}' \in N\left(R((t))\right)$, such that $\nabla_1 = \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla_2)$, $\nabla'_2 = \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathbf{g}'}(\nabla'_1)$ and $\mathbf{g}_2 = \mathbf{g}' \cdot \mathbf{g}_1 \cdot \mathbf{g}$.

The morphisms l and r send (χ, χ', ϕ) to χ and χ', respectively. The morphism m sends the pair $(\chi, \chi', \phi), (\chi', \chi'', \phi')$ to $(\chi, \chi'', \phi' \circ \phi)$, the morphisms γ sends (χ, χ', ϕ) to (χ', χ, ϕ^{-1}) and the morphism $u : \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times) \to \mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ sends χ to $(\chi, \chi, \mathrm{id})$.

We call $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ the isomonodromy groupoid for the following reason. In the analytic context two connections on the trivial bundle on a punctured disc are called isomonodromic if they have the same monodromy and the Stokes data (in case of irregular singularity). In the case of connections on the formal punctured disc the appropriate analogue of the notion of isomonodromy is the notion of gauge equivalence of connections.

Proposition 4.1.1. *The groupoid* $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ *is formally smooth over* $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(D^\times)$.

4.2. **Description of tangent space and proof of Proposition 4.1.1.** Let $R' \to R$ be a homomorphism of rings such that its kernel $\mathbf{I}$ satisfies $\mathbf{I}^2 = 0$. Let $\chi' = (\mathcal{F}'_G, \nabla', \mathcal{F}'_B)$ be an R'-point of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$, and let $\chi = (\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ be the corresponding R-point. Let $\mathbf{g}$ be an automorphism of $\mathcal{F}_G$ such that the quadruple $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B, \mathbf{g})$ is an R-point of $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ over χ. We need to show that it can be lifted to an R'-point $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \nabla', \mathcal{F}'_B, \mathbf{g}')$ of $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

Since the ind- scheme $G((t))$ is formally smooth, we can always find some automorphism $\mathbf{g}'_1$ of $\mathcal{F}'_G$, lifting $\mathbf{g}$. To show the existence of the required lift we must find an element $\mathbf{u} \in$

$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_G} \underset{R((t))}{\otimes} \mathbf{I}((t))$ such that the point $\mathbf{g}' = \mathbf{g}'_1 \cdot (1+\mathbf{u})$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathbf{g}'}(\nabla') - \nabla' \in \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}'_B} \underset{R'((t))}{\otimes} R'((t))dt.$$

By assumption, $\mathbf{q} := \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathbf{g}'_1}(\nabla') - \nabla'$ belongs to the subspace

$$\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}'_B} \underset{R'((t))}{\otimes} \mathbf{I}((t))dt \simeq \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{R((t))}{\otimes} \mathbf{I}((t))dt.$$

Therefore, the desired element $\mathbf{u}$ must satisfy:

$$\nabla(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{q} \in (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{R((t))}{\otimes} \mathbf{I}((t))dt.$$

Hence, it is sufficient to show that the map

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{R((t))}{\otimes} \mathbf{I}((t)) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{R((t))}{\otimes} \mathbf{I}((t))dt \to (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{R((t))}{\otimes} \mathbf{I}((t))dt$$

is surjective. But this follows from the oper condition on $\nabla/\mathcal{F}_B$. [5]

Thus, Proposition 4.1.1 is proved. In particular, the Lie algebroid $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, corresponding to the groupoid $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, is well-defined. Let us write down an explicit expression for $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and for the anchor map.

Since the ind-scheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ is reasonable and formally smooth, its tangent $T(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))$ is a Tate vector bundle. For a R-point $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ we have:

$$T(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))|_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} \simeq \mathrm{coker}(\nabla) : \mathfrak{n}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \to \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}((t))}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((t))dt, \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}|_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} \simeq \ker(\nabla) : (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n})_{\mathcal{F}_G} \to (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}((t))}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((t))dt. \tag{4.3}$$

The anchor map **anch** : $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to T(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))$ acts as follows:

$$\mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_G} \mapsto \nabla(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}((t))}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((t))dt.$$

Consider the cotangent sheaf $\Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))$; this is also a Tate vector bundle on $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$. From (4.2), we obtain that once we identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with its dual by means of any invariant form $\kappa : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{C}$, we obtain an isomorphism:

$$\Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)) \simeq \mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}. \tag{4.4}$$

As we shall see in the next subsection, a choice of κ defines a Poisson structure on $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$, and in particular makes $\Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))$ into a Lie algebroid. We will show that the above identification of bundles is compatible with the Lie algebroid structure.

Remark 4.2.1. In the analytic context this Poisson structure is used to define the KdV flow on $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ as the system of evolution equations corresponding to a certain Poisson-commuting system functions on the space of opers. The isomorphism with $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ implies in particular that the KdV flows preserve gauge equivalence classes.

---

[5] The above description makes it explicit that both $\Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))$ and the conormal $N^*_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)/\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}}$ are Tate vector bundles on $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$, i.e., we do not have to use the general Theorem 19.2.2 to prove this fact.

4.3. **The Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction and Poisson structure.** Consider the space of all connections on the trivial G-bundle on $\mathcal{D}^\times$, i.e., the the space $\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ of operators of the form

$$\nabla^0 + \phi(t), \phi(t) \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{D}^\times}. \tag{4.5}$$

This is an ind-scheme, acted on by the group $G(\!(t)\!)$ by gauge transformations. We can consider the natural isomonodromy ind-groupoid over $\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)$:

$$\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)} := \{\mathbf{g}, \nabla, \nabla' \mid \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla) = \nabla'\},\ l(\mathbf{g}, \nabla, \nabla') = \nabla, r(\mathbf{g}, \nabla, \nabla') = \nabla'.$$

Since $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)} \simeq \mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times) \times G(\!(t)\!)$, it is formally smooth over $\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)$.

Let us choose a symmetric invariant form $\kappa : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{C}$, and let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$ be the corresponding Kac-Moody extension of $\mathfrak{g}(\!(t)\!)$. Using the form κ, we can identify the space $\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ with hyperplane in $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa^*$ equal to the preimage of $1 \in \mathbb{C}$ under the natural map $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa \to \mathbb{C}$. It is well-known that under this identification the coadjoint action of $G(\!(t)\!)$ on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa^*$ corresponds to the gauge action of $G(\!(t)\!)$ on $\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)$.

The space $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa^*$ carries a canonical Poisson structure, which induces a Poisson structure also on $\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)$.

Lemma 4.3.1. *We have a canonical isomorphism of Lie algebroids*

$$\Omega^1(\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)) \simeq \mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)}, \tag{4.6}$$

where $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)}$ *is the Lie algebroid of* $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)}$.

Proof. We claim that (global sections of) both the LHS and the RHS identify with

$$\mathfrak{g}(\!(t)\!) \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times))$$

with the natural bracket (we refer to Sect. 19.1, where the notation $\overset{!}{\otimes}$ is introduced).

The assertion concerning $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)}$ follows from the fact that $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)}$ is the product of $\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ and the group $G(\!(t)\!)$ acting on it, and $\mathfrak{g}(\!(t)\!)$ is the Lie algebra of $G(\!(t)\!)$.

The assertion concerning $\Omega^1(\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times))$ follows from the identification of $\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ with a hyperplane in $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa^*$, and the description of the Poisson structure on the dual space to a Lie algebra.

□

For any group ind-subscheme $K \subset G(\!(t)\!)$ such that $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$ is split over $\mathsf{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}(\!(t)\!)$, the map $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa^* \to \mathsf{k}^*$ is a moment map for the action of K on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa^*$, and, in particular, on $\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)$.

We take $K = N(\!(t)\!))$, and we obtain a moment map

$$\mu : \mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times) \to (\mathfrak{n}(\!(t)\!))^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{D}^\times},$$

where we identify $\mathfrak{n}^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$ using κ.

We have an identification

$$\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times) \simeq \left(\mu^{-1}(p_{-1}dt)\right)/N(\hat{\mathcal{K}}), \tag{4.7}$$

where the action of $N(\hat{\mathcal{K}})$ on $\mu^{-1}(p_{-1}dt)$ is free. It is in this fashion that $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ was originally introduced in [DS] and this is why this Hamiltonian reduction is called the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction.

Lemma 4.3.2. *There exists a canonical isomorphism of Lie algebroids over* $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$

$$\Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)) \simeq \mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}.$$

Proof. Note that the action of $N(\!(t)\!)$ on $\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ lifts naturally to an action of the group $N(\!(t)\!) \times N(\!(t)\!)$ on $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)}$. We have a canonical identification of $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ with the two-sided quotient of $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)}$:

$$\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \simeq \Big(((\mu \times \mu) \circ (l \times r))^{-1} \left((p_{-1} \cdot dt) \times (p_{-1} \cdot dt)\right) \Big) / N(\!(t)\!) \times N(\!(t)\!). \tag{4.8}$$

Hence, $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ is obtained as a reduction with respect to $N(\!(t)\!)$ of the Lie algebroid $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)}$. By the definition of the Poisson structure on $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$, the Lie algebroid $\Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))$ is the reduction of the Lie algebroid $\Omega^1(\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times))$ on $\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)$.

Hence, the assertion of the lemma follows from (4.6).

□

4.4. **The groupoid and Lie algebroid over regular opers.**

Let S be an ind-scheme with a Poisson structure and $S_1 \subset S$ be a reasonable subscheme, which is co-isotropic, i.e., the ideal $\mathbf{I} = \ker(\mathrm{Fun}(S) \to \mathrm{Fun}(S_1))$ satisfies $[\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{I}] \subset \mathbf{I}$. We will assume that both S and S_1 are formally smooth; we will also assume that the normal bundle $N_{S_1/S}$ (which by our assumption is discrete), is locally projective. [6]

In this case the conormal $N^*_{S_1/S}$ acquires a structure of Lie algebroid, and the sheaf $\Omega^1(S_1)$ is a module over it. Moreover, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & N^*_{S_1/S} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega^1(S)|_{S_1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{anch}"'] & \Omega^1(S_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & T(S_1) \arrow[r] & T(S)|_{S_1} \arrow[r] & N_{S_1/S} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.9}$$

such that the right vertical arrow is a map of modules over $N^*_{S_1/S}$.

We claim:

Lemma 4.4.1. *The subscheme* $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ *is co-isotropic.*

Proof. Consider the subscheme $\mathrm{Conn}^{\mathrm{reg}}_G$ of $\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ obtained by imposing the condition that $\phi(t)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \omega_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}$. It is co-isotropic, since the corresponding ideal in $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times))$ is generated by $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \omega_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$, which is a subalgebra.

By Sect. 1.3, the scheme $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ can be realized as

$$\left(\mu^{-1}(p_{-1} \cdot dt) \cap \mathrm{Conn}^{\mathrm{reg}}_G\right) / N[[t]],$$

which implies the assertion of the lemma.

□

Let $\mathsf{Isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ be groupoid over the scheme $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(D)$ whose R-points are triples (χ, χ', ϕ), where $\chi = (\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ and $\chi = (\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ are R-points of $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and ϕ is an isomorphism of R-families of G-bundles on $\mathcal{D}$ with connections $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla) \to (\mathcal{F}'_G, \nabla')$.

Recall now the principal G-bundle $\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ over $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ obtained by restriction to $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \times x$ from the tautological G-bundle $\mathcal{F}_G$ on $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \hat{\times} \mathcal{D}$. This G-bundle defines a map $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathrm{pt}/G$.

Lemma 4.4.2.

(1) *The natural map* $\mathsf{Isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}|_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ *is an isomorphism.*

---

[6] We do not know whether this follows directly from the formal smoothness assumption.

(2) *The groupoid* $\mathsf{Isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ *is naturally isomorphic to*

$$\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \underset{\mathrm{pt}/G}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Proof. The assertion of the lemma amounts to the following. Let $S = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be an affine scheme and let $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla)$, $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \nabla')$ be two G-bundles on $\mathrm{Spec}(R[[t]])$ with a regular connection along t. Let $\mathcal{P}_G$, $\mathcal{P}'_G$ be their restrictions to $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$, respectively. Then the set of connection-preserving isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}_G \to \mathcal{F}'_G$ maps isomorphically to both the set of connection-preserving isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}_G|_{\mathcal{D}^\times} \to \mathcal{F}'_G|_{\mathcal{D}^\times}$ and the set of isomorphisms $\mathcal{P}_G \to \mathcal{P}'_G$.

□

Let $\mathsf{isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ be the Lie algebroid of $\mathsf{Isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$. Lemma 4.4.2(2) implies that $\mathsf{isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ is identifies with the Atiyah algebroid $\mathrm{At}(\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}})$ of infinitesimal symmetries of the G-bundle $\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$. Therefore it fits in the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_G} \to \mathsf{isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to T(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \to 0,$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_G} := \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_G}}$. In what follows we will denote by $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_G}$ (resp., $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_G}$) the subbundle of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_G}$, corresponding to the reduction $\mathcal{P}_{B,\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_G}$ of $\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_G}$ to B.

Note that by Lemma 4.3.2 and Lemma 4.4.2(1) we have a natural map of algebroids

$$N^*_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)} \to \mathsf{isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}. \tag{4.10}$$

Following [BD1], Sect. 3.7.16, we have:

Proposition 4.4.3. *The map of* (4.10) *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The assertion of the proposition amounts to the fact that the map

$$\Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \to N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)} \tag{4.11}$$

from (4.9) is an injective bundle map.

Since the scheme $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is smooth, for an R-point $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ of $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, the restrictions of $T(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $\Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ can be canonically identified with

$$\mathrm{coker}(\nabla) : \mathfrak{n}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \to \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}[[t]]dt$$

and

$$\ker(\nabla) : (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n})_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} (\mathbb{C}((t))/\mathbb{C}[[t]]) \to (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} (\mathbb{C}((t))dt/\mathbb{C}[[t]]dt),$$

respectively, where we have used the identification $\mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ given by κ.

Hence, the the restriction of $N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ can be identified with

$$\mathrm{coker}(\nabla) : \mathfrak{n}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} (\mathbb{C}((t))/\mathbb{C}[[t]]) \to \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} (\mathbb{C}((t))dt/\mathbb{C}[[t]]dt),$$

and the map of (4.11) is given by

$$\mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} (\mathbb{C}((t))/\mathbb{C}[[t]]) \mapsto \nabla(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} (\mathbb{C}((t))dt/\mathbb{C}[[t]]dt).$$

The injectivity of the map in question is now evident from the oper condition on $\nabla/\mathcal{F}_B$.

□

Corollary 4.4.4. *The kernel and the cokernel of the anchor map*

$$\mathbf{anch} : \Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))|_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to T(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))|_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$$

are both isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_G}$ *as* $N^*_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}$*-modules.*

Proof. The isomorphism concerning the kernel follows by combining Proposition 4.4.3 and Lemma 4.4.2. The isomorphism concerning the cokernel follows from the first one by a general D-scheme argument, see [CHA], Sect. 2.5.22.

Let us, however, reprove both isomorphisms directly. We have:

$$\ker(\mathbf{anch}|_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}) \simeq \ker(\nabla) : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_G} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t[[}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((t)) \to \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_G} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((t))dt,$$

which is easily seen to identify with $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}_G^{\mathrm{reg}}}$.

The assertion concerning $\mathrm{coker}(\mathbf{anch}|_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}})$ follows by Serre's duality on $\mathcal{D}^\times$. Indeed, the dual of $\Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))$ is canonically isomorphic to $T(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))$, and under this isomorphism, the dual of the map **anch** goes to itself. Hence,

$$(\mathrm{coker}(\mathbf{anch}))^* \simeq \ker(\mathbf{anch}) \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}_G^{\mathrm{reg}}},$$

which we identify with $\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathrm{Op}_G^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ using the form κ.

□

To summarize, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d] & \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & N^*_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}} / \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & T(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & T(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))|_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & N_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}} / \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d] & \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}. \arrow[d] & \\
 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We will conclude this subsection by the following remark. Let $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ be an R-point of $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$, and let $\mathbf{u}$ be an element of $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((t))dt$, giving rise to a section of $T(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))|_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)}$ by (4.2).

From the proof of Corollary 4.4.4, we obtain the following:

Lemma 4.4.5. *The image of* $\mathbf{u}$ *in* $N_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}} / \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)} / \mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ *equals the image of* $\mathbf{u}$ *under the composition*

$$\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((t))dt \to \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_G} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((t))dt \to H^0_{DR}(\mathcal{D}^\times, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_G}) \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}.$$

4.5. **The groupoid and algebroid on opers with nilpotent singularities.** Consider now the subscheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \subset \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$. As in Lemma 4.4.1, it is easy to see that $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$ is coisotropic, since

$$\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \simeq \left(\mu^{-1}(p_{-1}dt) \cap \mathrm{Conn}_G^{\mathrm{nilp}}\right) / N[[t]],$$

where $\mathrm{Conn}_G^{\mathrm{nilp}}$ is the subscheme of $\mathrm{Conn}_G(\mathcal{D}^\times)$, consisting of connections as in (4.5), for which $\phi(t) \in \mathfrak{g}[[t]]+\mathfrak{n}\otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[t]]$, and the latter is the orthogonal complement to the Iwahori subalgebra in $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$.

Let us consider the groupoid

$$\mathsf{Isom}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}} := \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_\mathfrak{g} \underset{\mathfrak{n}/B}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_\mathfrak{g}$$

over $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\mathsf{isom}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}}$ be the corresponding Lie algebroid.

Lemma 4.5.1. *There exists a natural closed embedding* $\mathsf{Isom}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_\mathfrak{g}}$.

Proof. The lemma is proved in the following general framework. Let $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla)$ and $(\mathcal{F}'_G, \nabla')$ be two R-families of bundles with connections on $\mathcal{D}$ with poles of order 1 and nilpotent residues. Let $\mathcal{P}_G$ and $\mathcal{P}'_G$ be the resulting G-bundles on $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$, and $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla)$ (resp., $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla')$) be the residue, which is an element in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}_G}$ (resp., $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{P}'_G}$).

Then there is a bijection between the set of connection-preserving isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}_G \to \mathcal{F}'_G$ of bundles on $\mathrm{Spec}(R[[t]])$ and isomorphisms $\mathcal{P}_G \to \mathcal{P}'_G$, which map $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla)$ to $\mathrm{Res}(\nabla')$.

□

Note, however, that unlike the case of regular opers, the map of Lemma 4.5.1 is *not* an isomorphism. Indeed, the restriction of $\mathsf{Isom}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}}$ to $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_\mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_\mathfrak{g} \underset{\mathrm{pt}/B}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_\mathfrak{g}$, which is strictly contained in $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_\mathfrak{g} \underset{\mathrm{pt}/G}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathsf{Isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}}$.

We shall now establish the following:

Proposition 4.5.2. *The map of* (4.9) *induces an isomorphism of Lie algebroids*

$$N^*_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_\mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{D}^\times)} \simeq \mathsf{isom}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Proof. For an R-point $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ of $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_\mathfrak{g}$ let us describe the restrictions of $N^*_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_\mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}$ and $\mathsf{isom}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}}$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ as subspaces of the restriction of $\Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{D}^\times)) \simeq \mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}}$. We have:

$$T(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_\mathfrak{g})|_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} = \mathrm{coker}(\nabla) : \mathfrak{n}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \to \left(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}[[t]]dt + \mathfrak{n}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[t]]dt \right).$$

Hence, $N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_\mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}|_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)}$ is isomorphic to the cokernel of ∇:

$$\mathfrak{n}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} (\mathbb{C}((t))dt/\mathbb{C}[[t]]dt) \to \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((t))dt/(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}[[t]]dt + \mathfrak{n}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}{\otimes} t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[t]]dt).$$

Finally,

$$N^*_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_\mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}|_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} \simeq \ker(\nabla) : ((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n})_{\mathcal{F}_B}(-x) + (\mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{n})_{\mathcal{F}_B}) \to (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_{\mathcal{F}_B} \underset{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}{\otimes} \omega_\mathcal{D}.$$

In other words, we can identify $N^*_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_\mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}|_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)}$ as a subset of $\Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{D}^\times))|_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)}$ with

$$\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_G}(-x) + \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}_G} \mid \nabla(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{F}_B}(x) \underset{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}{\otimes} \omega_\mathcal{D}\}/\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathcal{F}_B}\}.$$

The latter is easily seen to be the image of $\mathsf{isom}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)}$ inside $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)}$.

□

We shall now study the behavior of the restriction of $\mathsf{isom}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathrm{Op}_\mathfrak{g}}$ to the subscheme $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_\mathfrak{g}$. The above proposition combined with Lemma 2.8.1(2) implies:

Corollary 4.5.3. *The Lie algebroid* $N^*_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}$ *preserves the subscheme* $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. *The restriction* $N^*_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}|_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ *identifies with the Atiyah algebroid* $\mathrm{At}(\mathcal{P}_{B,\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}})$ *of the* B*-bundle* $\mathcal{P}_{B,\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$*, and we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{b}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & N^*_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}|_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & T(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}})|_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \arrow[r] & N^*_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)} \arrow[r] & T(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Corollary 4.5.4. *The composition*

$$N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)} \to N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}/\Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$$

is an injective bundle map, and its image coincides with $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

Proof. We claim that it is enough to show that the natural surjection $N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)} \to N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}|_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ fits into a commutative diagram with exact rows
(4.12)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}})|_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \arrow[r, "\mathbf{anch}"] \arrow[d] & N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}|_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \arrow[r] & (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n})_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \arrow[r] & N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)} \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Indeed, this would imply that the map $N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ appearing in the corollary is a surjective bundle map onto $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$; hence it must be an isomorphism because of the equality of the ranks.

By Serre duality, the existence of the diagram (4.12) is equivalent to the diagram appearing in the previous corollary.

□

Let us consider now the sequence of embeddings of schemes:

$$\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

By Theorem 2.6.1, the normal bundle $N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ is canonically trivialized and its fiber isomorphic to the tangent space to $\mathfrak{h}/\!/W$ at the point $-\check{\rho}$; this tangent space is in turn canonically isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}$.

Lemma 4.5.5. *The composition*

$$\mathfrak{h} \to N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}|_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}|_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \twoheadrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n})_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$$

equals the canonical map

$$\mathfrak{h} \simeq (\mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{n})_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \hookrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n})_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}.$$

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{F}_G, \nabla, \mathcal{F}_B)$ be an R-point of $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, written in the form $\nabla^0 + p_{-1}dt + \phi(t)dt$, $\phi(t) \in \mathfrak{b} \otimes R[[t]]$. Then by Proposition 2.7.1 the map

$$\mathfrak{h} \to N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}|_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} \to N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})}|_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)}$$

can be realized by

$$\check{\lambda} \mapsto \frac{\check{\lambda}}{t} \in \mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathbb{C}((t))dt \subset T(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))|_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)}.$$

To prove the lemma it would be enough to show that the image of $\frac{\check{\lambda}}{t}$ under

$$T(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))|_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} \to N_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)} \to \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$$

equals λ. But this follows from Lemma 4.4.5.

□

4.6. **The case of opers with an integral residue.** For completeness, let us describe the behaviour of the groupoid $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and the algebroid $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, when restricted to the subscheme

$$\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}} \simeq \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(-\check{\lambda}-\check{\rho})} \subset \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$$

when $\check{\lambda}+\check{\rho}$ dominant and integral.

Recall that to $\check{\lambda}$ as above there corresponds a subset $\mathcal{J}$ of vertices of the Dynkin diagram, and a map

$$\mathrm{Res}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}} : \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}} \to \mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{J}}/B.$$

Let us denote by $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}}$ the groupoid

$$\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}} := \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}} \underset{\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{J}}/B}{\times} \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}},$$

and let $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}}$ be the corresponding algebroid on $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}}$.

As in the case of $\check{\lambda}$ there exists a natural closed embedding

$$\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}|_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}}}.$$

Repeating the proofs in the $\check{\lambda}=0$ case we obtain:

Proposition 4.6.1. *The subscheme* $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}} \subset \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ *is co-isotropic. The map* (4.9) *induces an isomorphism*

$$N^*_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)} \simeq \mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}}.$$

Let us consider a particular example of $\check{\lambda}=-\check{\rho}$. In this case $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{I}$, and

$$\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{J}}/B \simeq \mathrm{pt}\,.$$

Therefore, the map

$$\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\check{\rho},\mathrm{nilp}} \to T(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\rho},\mathrm{nilp}})$$

is surjective. Therefore by Proposition 4.6.1, the map

$$N^*_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)} \to T(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\rho},\mathrm{nilp}}),$$

given by the Poisson structure, is surjective as well. By Serre's duality, the map

$$\Omega^1(\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}}) \to N_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}$$

is injective. This means that the map $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-\check{\rho},\mathrm{nilp}} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}|_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-\check{\rho},\mathrm{nilp}}}$ is an isomorphism. In fact, it is easy to see that the map $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{-\check{\rho},\mathrm{nilp}} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}|_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-\check{\rho},\mathrm{nilp}}}$ is an isomorphism.

Finally, let us consider the case of $\check{\lambda}$ which is integral and dominant. We have the subscheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{reg}} \subset \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}}$, and we claim that the behavior of the groupoid $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and the algebroid $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, restricted to it, are the same as in the $\check{\lambda}=0$ case. In particular, the analogs of Corollaries 4.5.3 and 4.5.4 hold, when we replace $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$ by $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\check{\lambda},\mathrm{nilp}}$.

4.7. **Grading on the Lie algebroid.** Recall the action of the group-scheme $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ on the scheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D})$ and the ind-scheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{D}^{\times})$. It is is easy to see that this action lifts to a map from $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ to the groupoids $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and $\mathsf{Isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, respectively. In particular, we obtain a map

$$\mathrm{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}) \simeq \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})) \to \mathsf{isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}.$$

We choose a coordinate on $\mathcal{D}$ and consider two distinguished elements $L_0 = t\partial_t$ and $L_{-1} = \partial_t$ in $\mathrm{Der}(\hat{\mathcal{O}})$. The action of L_0 integrates to an action of $\mathbb{G}_m$, thus defining a grading on $\mathsf{isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$. Recall also that this choice of a coordinate trivializes the B-bundle $\mathcal{P}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ on $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$.

Proposition 4.7.1.

(1) *The image of* L_{-1} *under*

$$\mathsf{isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \simeq \mathrm{At}(\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}) \to \mathrm{At}(\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}})/\,\mathrm{At}(\mathcal{P}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}) \simeq (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$$

identifies, under the trivialization of $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ *corresponding to the above choice of a coordinate, with the element* $p_{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$.

(2) *Under the above trivialization of* $\mathcal{P}_B$*, the subspace* $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ *is* L_0*-stable, and grading arising on it equals the one induced by* $\mathrm{ad}_{\check{\rho}}$.

Proof. The proof is essentially borrowed from [BD1], Prop. 3.5.18.

By definition, the action of L_{-1} on $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \hat{\times} \mathcal{D}$ lifts onto the triple $(\mathcal{F}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}, \nabla_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}, \mathcal{F}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}})$. The lift of L_{-1} onto the G-bundle $\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ is obtained via the identification of the latter with the space of horizontal (with respect to ∇) sections of $\mathcal{F}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$. This lift does not preserve the reduction of $\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ to B; the resulting element in $\mathrm{At}(\mathcal{P}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}})/\,\mathrm{At}(\mathcal{P}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}})$, which is the element appearing in point (1) of the proposition, equals, by definition, to the value of

$$\langle \nabla_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}/\mathcal{F}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}, \partial_t \rangle \in (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})_{\mathcal{F}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}}$$

at $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \times x \subset \mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \hat{\times} \mathcal{D}$.

When the triple $(\mathcal{F}_{G,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}, \nabla_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}, \mathcal{F}_{B,\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}})$ is written as a connection on the trivial B-bundle in the form $\nabla^0 + p_{-1}dt + \mathbf{q}(t)dt, \mathbf{q}(t) \in \mathfrak{b}[[t]]$, the above value equals p_{-1}, as required.

The second point of the proposition follows immediately from Lemma 1.4.1(1).

□

Part II. Categories of representations

This Part of the paper is devoted to the discussion of various categories of representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras of critical level.

In Sect. 5 we recall the results of [FF3, F] about the structure of the center of the completed universal enveloping algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ at the critical level. According to [FF3, F], the spectrum of the center is identified with the space $\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$-opers over the formal punctured disc. This means that the category $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$–mod "fibers" over the affine ind-scheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$. Next, we introduce the categories of representations that we study in this project, and in Sect. 6 we formulate our Main Conjecture 6.1.1 and Main Theorem 6.3.2.

In Sect. 7 we collect some results concerning the structure of the category $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$–mod over its center. In particular, we discuss the various incarnations of the renormalized universal enveloping algebra at the critical level. This renormalization is a phenomenon that has to do with the fact that we are dealing with a one-parameter family of associative algebras (the universal enveloping of the Kac-Moody Lie algebra, depending on the level), which at some special point (the critical level) acquires a large center.

In Sect. 8 we discuss the subcategory $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$–$\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ of representations at the critical level, whose support over $\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ belongs to the subscheme of regular opers. We study its relation with the category of D-modules on the affine Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_G \simeq G((t))/G[[t]]$, and this leads us to Main Conjecture 8.5.2. We prove Theorem 8.7.1 which states that a natural functor in one direction is fully faithful at the level of derived categories. The formalism of convolution action, developed in [BD1], Sect. 7, and reviewed in Part V below, allows us to reduce this assertion to a comparison of self-Exts of a certain basic object in both cases. On one side the required computation of Exts had been performed in [ABG], and on the other side it follows from the recent paper [FT].

Sect. 9 plays an auxiliary role: we give a proof of one of the steps in the proof of Theorem 8.7.1 mentioned above, by analyzing how the algebra of $G[[t]]$-equivariant self-Exts of the vacuum module $\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}$ interacts with G-equivariant cohomology of the point.

5. Definition of categories

5.1. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra. For an invariant inner product κ on $\mathfrak{g}$ (which is unique up to a scalar) define the central extension $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$ of the formal loop algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}((t))$ which fits into the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}((t)) \to 0.$$

This sequence is split as a vector space, and the commutation relations read

$$[x \otimes f(t), y \otimes g(t)] = [x,y] \otimes f(t)g(t) + \kappa(x,y) \cdot \mathrm{Res}(g\, df) \cdot \mathbf{1}, \tag{5.1}$$

and $\mathbf{1}$ is a central element. The Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$ is the *affine Kac-Moody algebra* associated to κ. We will denote by $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$–mod the category of *discrete* representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$ (i.e., such that any vector is annihilated by $\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^n\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ for sufficiently large n), on which $\mathbf{1}$ acts as the identity.

Let $U_\kappa(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ be the quotient of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa)$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$ by the ideal generated by $(\mathbf{1}-1)$. Define its completion $\widetilde{U}_\kappa(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ as follows:

$$\widetilde{U}_\kappa(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) = \underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim}\, U_\kappa(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})/U_\kappa(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})\cdot(\mathfrak{g}\otimes t^n\mathbb{C}[[t]]).$$

It is clear that $\widetilde{U}_\kappa(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a topological algebra, whose discrete continuous representations are the same as objects of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$–mod.

The following theorem, due to [FF3, F], describes the center $Z_\kappa(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ of $\widetilde{U}_\kappa(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Let κ_{crit} be the *critical* inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by the formula

$$\kappa_{\text{crit}}(x,y) = -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{ad}(x)\circ\operatorname{ad}(y)).$$

Denote by $\check{G}$ the group of adjoint type whose Lie algebra $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ is Langlands dual to $\mathfrak{g}$ (i.e., the Cartan matrix of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the transpose of that of $\mathfrak{g}$).

Theorem 5.1.1.
(1) $Z_\kappa(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) = \mathbb{C}$ *if* $\kappa \neq \kappa_{\text{crit}}$.
(2) $Z_{\text{crit}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ *is isomorphic to the algebra* $\operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{Op}_{\check{G}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))$ *of functions on the space of* $\check{G}$*-opers on the punctured disc* $\mathcal{D}^\times$.

From now on we will denote $Z_{\text{crit}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ simply by $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

5.2. Let I be the Iwahori subgroup of the group $G[[t]]$, i.e., the preimage of a fixed Borel subgroup $B\subset G$ under the evaluation homomorphism $G[[t]]\to G$. Let $I^0\subset I$ be the pro-unipotent radical of I. Non-canonically we have a splitting $\operatorname{Lie}(I) = \operatorname{Lie}(I^0)\oplus\mathfrak{h}$, where $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Recall that an object $\mathcal{M}\in\mathfrak{g}_\kappa$–mod is called I-integrable (resp., I^0-integrable) if the action of $\operatorname{Lie}(I)\subset\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$ (resp., $\operatorname{Lie}(I^0)$) on $\mathcal{M}$ integrates to an action of the pro-algebraic group I (resp., I^0). In the case of I^0 this condition is equivalent to saying that $\operatorname{Lie}(I^0)$ acts locally nilpotently, and in the case of I that, in addition, $\mathfrak{h}$ acts semi-simply with eigenvalues corresponding to integral weights. (The latter condition is easily seen to be independent of the choice of the splitting $\mathfrak{h}\to\operatorname{Lie}(I)$).

Following the conventions of Sect. 20.2, we will denote the corresponding subcategories of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}}$–mod by $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}}\text{–mod}^I$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}}\text{–mod}^{I^0}$, respectively. We will denote by $D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa\text{–mod})^I$ and $D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa\text{–mod})^{I^0}$ the corresponding triangulated categories, see Sect. 20.5. Moreover, the functor $D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa\text{–mod})^{I^0}\to D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa\text{–mod})$ is fully-faithful.

Recall also that an object $\mathcal{M}\in\mathfrak{g}_\kappa$–mod is called I-monodromic if it is $\operatorname{Lie}(I^0)$-integrable and $\mathfrak{h}$ acts locally finitely with generalized eigenvalues corresponding to integral weights. It is evident that a module $\mathcal{M}$ is I-monodromic if and only if it has an increasing filtration with successive quotients being I-integrable. We will denote the subcategory of monodromic modules by $\mathfrak{g}_\kappa\text{–mod}^{I,m}$. We will denote by $D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa\text{–mod})^{I,m}$ the full subcategory of $D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa\text{–mod})$ consisting of complexes with I-monodromic cohomology.

Let us note that the above notions make sense more generally for an arbitrary category $\mathcal{C}$ endowed with a Harish-Chandra action of I (see Sect. 20.4). Namely, we have the full subcategories

$$\mathcal{C}^I\subset\mathcal{C}^{I^0}\subset\mathcal{C}$$

along with the equivariant categories $D(\mathcal{C})^I$, $D(\mathcal{C})^{I,m}$, $D(\mathcal{C})^{I_0}$. Since the group I^0 is pro-unipotent, the functor

$$D^+(\mathcal{C})^{I_0}\to D^+(\mathcal{C})$$

is fully faithful and its image consists of complexes, whose cohomologies are I^0-equivariant. We also introduce the I-monodromic category $\mathcal{C}^{I,m}$ as the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$, consisting of objects that admit a filtration, whose subquotients belong to $\mathcal{C}^I$; we let $D(\mathcal{C})^{I,m}$ to be the full subcategory of $D(\mathcal{C})$, which consists of complexes, whose cohomologies belong to $\mathcal{C}^{I,m}$.

From now on let us take $\kappa = \kappa_{\mathrm{crit}}$. Recall the subscheme $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \subset \mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$, see Sect. 2.5. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}$ be the subcategory consisting of modules, on which the action of the center $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))$ factors through the quotient $\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}})$. This is a category endowed with an action of $G((t))$, and in particular, of I.

Our main object of study is the category $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$, where we follow the above conventions regarding the notion of the I-monodromic subcategory. In other words,

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m} \cap \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}\,.$$

The following will be established in Sect. 7.7:

Lemma 5.2.1. *The inclusion functor*

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m} \cap \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I^0} \cap \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$$

is an equivalence.

(In other words, any module in $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}$, which is I^0-integrable, and on which the center acts via $\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, is automatically I-monodromic.)

By the above lemma, the inclusion

$$D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I,m} \hookrightarrow D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}$$

is in fact an equivalence, and both these categories identify with the full subcategory of $D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})$, consisting of complexes, whose cohomologies belong to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$.

The following assertion seems quite plausible, but we are unable to prove it at the moment:

Conjecture 5.2.2. *The natural functor $D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}) \to D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}$ is an equivalence.*

We will not need it in what follows.

6. The main conjecture

6.1. We shall now formulate our main conjecture. Recall the scheme $\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$, see Sect. 3.6. Let $D^b\left(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}})\right)$ be the bounded derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves on $\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

Our main conjecture is as follows:

Main Conjecture 6.1.1. *We have an equivalence of triangulated categories*

$$D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0} \simeq D^b\left(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}})\right).$$

In what follows we will provide some motivation for this conjecture. We will denote a functor establishing the conjectural equivalence $D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0} \to D^b\left(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}})\right)$ by F.

Note that both categories $D^b(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}))$ and $D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}$ come equipped with natural t-structures. The functor F will not be exact, but we expect it to be of bounded cohomological amplitude, and hence to extend to an equivalence of the corresponding unbounded derived categories.

Recall the ind-scheme $\operatorname{MOp}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}},\mathrm{gen}}(D^\times)$ from Sect. 3.2. Following [FF2, F], to a quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{R}$ on $\operatorname{MOp}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}},\mathrm{gen}}(D^\times) \simeq \operatorname{Conn}_{\check{H}}(\omega^{\rho}_{\mathcal{D}^\times})$ one can attach a Wakimoto module $\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R}) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}$ (see Sect. 13.1 for a review of this construction).

It turns out that if $\mathcal{R}$ is supported on the closed subscheme $\operatorname{MOp}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}},\mathrm{gen}}(D^\times) \underset{\operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}{\times} \operatorname{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$, then $\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R})$ belongs to the subcategory $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$. The main compatibility property that we expect from the functor F is that $\mathsf{F}(\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R}))$ will be isomorphic to the direct image of $\mathcal{R}$ under the morphism

$$\operatorname{MOp}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}},\mathrm{gen}}(D^\times) \underset{\operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}{\times} \operatorname{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \operatorname{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \tag{6.1}$$

of Theorem 3.6.2.

In view of this requirement, the functor F^{-1}, inverse to F, should be characterized by the property that it extends the Wakimoto module construction from quasi-coherent sheaves on $\operatorname{MOp}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}},\mathrm{gen}}(D^\times) \underset{\operatorname{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)}{\times} \operatorname{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$ to those on $\operatorname{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$. This was in fact the main motivation for Main Conjecture 6.1.1.

6.2. In this subsection we would like to explain a point of view on Conjecture 6.1.1 as a localization-type statement for affine algebras at the critical level that connects D-modules on the affine flag variety to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}$.

This material will not be used in what follows, and for that reason we shall allow ourselves to appeal to some results and constructions that are not available in the published literature. One set of such results is Bezrukavnikov's theory of perverse sheaves on the affine flag scheme (see [Bez]) and another the formalism of triangulated categories over stacks (to be developed in [Ga2]).

Let Fl_G be the affine flag scheme corresponding to G, i.e., $\operatorname{Fl}_G \simeq G(\!(t)\!)/I$. Let $\mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}$ denote the category of right D-modules on Fl. Let $\mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}^I$, $\mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}^{I^0}$ and $\mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}^{I,m}$ be the subcategories of I-equivariant, I^0-equivariant and I-monodromic D-modules, respectively. One easily shows that the inclusion functor

$$\mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}^{I,m} \to \mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}^{I^0}$$

is in fact an equivalence of categories.

Let $D\left(\mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}\right)^I$ and $D\left(\mathfrak{D}(\operatorname{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}\right)^{I^0}$ denote the corresponding triangulated categories.

Recall the Grothendieck alteration $\widetilde{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \check{\mathfrak{g}}$ from Sect. 3.6. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}$ be the Springer resolution of the nilpotent cone $\mathcal{N}_{\check{G}} \subset \check{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $\operatorname{St}_{\check{G}}$ be the "thickened" Steinberg variety

$$\operatorname{St}_{\check{G}} := \widetilde{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \underset{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}{\times} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}.$$

Note that the scheme $\widetilde{\check{\mathfrak{n}}} := \check{\mathfrak{n}} \underset{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}{\times} \widetilde{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$ introduced in Sect. 3.6 equals the preimage of $\check{G}/\check{B}^- \times \{\mathfrak{b}\}$ under the natural map $\operatorname{St}_{\check{G}} \to \check{G}/\check{B}^- \times \check{G}/\check{B}^-$, and we have natural isomorphisms of stacks:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G} \simeq \check{\mathfrak{n}}/\check{B} \text{ and } \operatorname{St}_{\check{G}}/\check{G} \simeq \widetilde{\check{\mathfrak{n}}}/\check{B}.$$

The next lemma insures that the definition of the scheme $\widetilde{\check{\mathfrak{n}}}$ (and, hence, of $\operatorname{St}_{\check{G}}$) is not too naive, i.e., that we do not neglect lower cohomology:

Lemma 6.2.1. *The derived tensor product*

$$\mathrm{Fun}(\widetilde{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}) \underset{\mathrm{Fun}(\check{\mathfrak{g}})}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} \mathrm{Fun}(\check{\mathfrak{n}}) \in \mathrm{QCoh}(\widetilde{\check{\mathfrak{g}}})$$

is concentrated in cohomological dimension 0.

Proof. Consider the vector space $\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}}$. It is enough to show that the composed map

$$\widetilde{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \check{\mathfrak{g}} \to \check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}}$$

is flat near $0 \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}}$. Since the varieties we are dealing with are smooth, it is enough to check that the dimension of the fibers is constant. The latter is evident.

□

According to [AB], there exists a natural tensor functor

$$D^b\left(\mathrm{Coh}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G})\right) \to D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod})^I.$$

In particular, using the convolution action of the monoidal category $D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod})^I$ on the entire $D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod})$, we obtain a monoidal action of $D^b\left(\mathrm{Coh}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G})\right)$ on $D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod})$. This construction can be upgraded to a structure on $D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod})$ of triangulated category over the stack $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}$, see [Ga2]. In particular, it makes sense to consider the base-changed triangulated category

$$D^b\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}\right) \underset{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}, \tag{6.2}$$

where we are using the map $\mathrm{Res}^{\mathrm{nilp}} : \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \check{\mathfrak{n}}/\check{B} \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}$.

A far-reaching generalization of Conjecture 6.1.1 is the following statement in the spirit of the localization theorem of [BB]:

Conjecture 6.2.2. *There is an equivalence of triangulated categories*

$$D^b\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}\right) \underset{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \simeq D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}).$$

A version of this conjecture concerning $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$, rather than $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$, can be made precise without the machinery of categories over stacks, and it will be discussed in Sect. 8.

Let us explain the convection between the above Conjecture 6.2.2 and Conjecture 6.1.1. Namely, we claim that the latter is obtained from the former by passing to the corresponding I^0-equivariant categories on both sides. In order to explain this, we recall the main result of Bezrukavnikov's theory:

Theorem 6.2.3. *There is a natural equivalence*

$$D^b\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}\right)^{I^0} \simeq D^b\Big(\mathrm{Coh}\left(\mathrm{St}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}\right)\Big).$$

This theorem implies that the base-changed category

$$D^b\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}\right)^{I_0} \underset{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$$

is equivalent to

$$D^b\left(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathrm{St}_{\check{G}}/\check{G} \underset{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}})\right),$$

which by Corollary 3.6.3 is the same as $D^b(\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathrm{MOp}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}))$.

6.3. We shall now formulate one of the main results of this paper, which amounts to an equivalence as in Main Conjecture 6.1.1, but at the level of certain quotient categories. This result provides us with the main supporting evidence for the validity of Main Conjecture 6.1.1. Before stating the theorem, let us give some motivation along the lines of Theorem 6.2.3.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an I^0-integrable D-module on Fl_G. We will say that it is partially integrable if $\mathcal{F}$ admits a filtration $\mathcal{F} = \underset{k\geq 0}{\cup} \mathcal{F}_k$ such that each successive quotient $\mathcal{F}_k/\mathcal{F}_{k-1}$ is equivariant with respect to a parahoric subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}^\iota = \mathrm{Lie}(I) + \mathfrak{sl}_2^\iota$ for some vertex of the Dynkin graph $\iota \in \mathcal{I}$.

Similarly, we will call an object $\mathcal{M}$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I^0}$ partially integrable if there exists a filtration $\mathcal{M} = \underset{k\geq 0}{\cup} \mathcal{M}_k$ such that for each successive quotient $\mathcal{M}_k/\mathcal{M}_{k-1}$ there exists a parahoric subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}^\iota$ as above such that its action integrates to an action of the corresponding pro-algebraic group. More generally, the notion of partial integrability makes sense in any category equipped with a Harish-Chandra action of $G((t))$ (see Sect. 22, where the latter notion is introduced).

In both cases it is easy to see that partially integrable objects form a Serre subcategory. Let ${}^f\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}^{I^0}$ (resp., ${}^f\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}^{I,m}$) denote the quotient category of $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}^{I^0}$ (resp., $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}^{I,m}$) by the subcategory of partially integrable objects. We will denote by ${}^fD\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}\right)^{I^0}$ (resp., ${}^fD^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}$) the triangulated quotient categories by the subcategories consisting of objects whose cohomologies are partially integrable.

Let us recall the statement from [Bez] that describes the category ${}^fD^b\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}\right)^{I^0}$ in terms of quasi-coherent sheaves.

Let h_0 denote the algebra of functions on the scheme $\varpi^{-1}(0)$, where ϖ is the natural projection $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{h}^*/\!/W$. This is a nilpotent algebra of length $|W|$.

Recall also that $\mathfrak{h}^* \simeq \check{\mathfrak{h}}$. We have a natural map

$$\mathrm{St}_{\check{G}} \simeq \widetilde{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \underset{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}{\times} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}} \to \check{\mathfrak{h}} \underset{\check{\mathfrak{h}}/\!/W}{\times} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}} \simeq \mathrm{Spec}(h_0) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}.$$

Theorem 6.3.1. *There is a canonical equivalence*

$${}^fD^b\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}\right)^{I^0} \simeq D^b\left(\mathrm{QCoh}\left(\mathrm{Spec}(h_0)\times \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}\right)\right),$$

so that under the equivalence of Theorem 6.2.3 the functor

$$D^b\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}\right)^{I^0} \to {}^fD^b\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}\right)^{I^0}$$

corresponds to the direct image under the projection $\mathrm{St}_{\check{G}}/\check{G} \to \mathrm{Spec}(h_0)\times \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}$.

Combining this with the Conjecture 6.2.2, we arrive at the following statement, which is proved in Part IV of this paper and is one of our main results.

Main Theorem 6.3.2. *We have an equivalence:*

$${}^f\mathsf{F} : {}^fD^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0} \to D^b\left(\mathrm{QCoh}\left(\mathrm{Spec}(h_0)\times \mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}\right)\right).$$

Moreover, this functor is exact in the sense that it preserves the natural t-structures on both sides.

7. GENERALITIES ON $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-MODULES

7.1. Recall that the ind-scheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ contained the following subschemes:

$$\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \subset \mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \subset \mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{RS}} = \mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{ord}_1} \subset \mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k} \text{ for } k \geq 1.$$

Let us denote by

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}},$$

respectively, the corresponding quotients of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))$.

Let us denote by $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}\text{–mod}$ the category of discrete $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules. By definition, any object of this category is a union of subobjects, each of which is acted on by $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ via the quotient $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$ for some k.

Let $\imath^{\mathrm{reg}}$ (resp., $\imath^{\mathrm{nilp}}$, $\imath^{\mathrm{RS}}$, $\imath^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$) denote the closed embedding of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}})$ (resp., $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}})$, $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}})$, $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k})$) into the ind-scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}})$, and let $\imath_!^{\mathrm{reg}}$ (resp., $\imath_!^{\mathrm{nilp}}$, $\imath_!^{\mathrm{RS}}$, $\imath_!^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$) denote the corresponding direct image functor on the category of modules.

It is easy to see that at the level of derived categories we have well-defined right adjoint functors from $D^+(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}\text{–mod})$ to $D^+(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}\text{–mod})$, $D^+(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}\text{–mod})$, $D^+(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}\text{–mod})$ and $D^+(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}\text{–mod})$, denoted $\imath^{\mathrm{reg}!}$, $\imath^{\mathrm{nilp}!}$, $\imath^{\mathrm{nilp}!}$ and $\imath^{\mathrm{ord}_k!}$, respectively.

7.2. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ (resp., $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{RS}}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{ord}_k}$) denote the subcategory of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}$ whose objects are modules on which $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts through the corresponding quotient.

The following basic result was established in [BD1], Theorem 3.7.9.

Theorem 7.2.1. *The induced module* $\mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{t^k\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(\mathbb{C})$ *belongs to* $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{ord}_k}$.

Here and below, when considering the induced modules such as $\mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{t^k\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(\mathbb{C})$, we will assume that $\mathbf{1}$ acts as the identity. We will also need the following:

Lemma 7.2.2. *The module* $\mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{t^k\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(\mathbb{C})$ *is flat over* $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$.

Proof. By construction, the PBW filtration on $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ induces a filtration on $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$ such that

$$\mathrm{gr}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}) \simeq \left(\mathrm{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{g}((t))/t^k\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\right)\right)^{G[[t]]}.$$

This filtration is compatible with the natural filtration on $\mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{t^k\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(\mathbb{C})$, and it suffices to check the flatness on the associated graded level.

This reduces the assertion to showing that the algebra $\mathrm{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{g}((t))/t^k\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\right)$ is flat over $\left(\mathrm{Sym}\left(\mathfrak{g}((t))/t^k\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\right)\right)^{G[[t]]}$. However, the multiplication by t^{-k} reduces us to the situation when $k = 0$, in which case the required assertion is proved in [EF].

□

Let us denote by $\imath_!^{\mathrm{reg}}$ (resp., $\imath_!^{\mathrm{nilp}}$, $\imath_!^{\mathrm{RS}}$, $\imath_!^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$) the evident functor from $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ (resp., $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{RS}}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{ord}_k}$) to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}$. It is easy to show that each of these functors admits adjoint, denoted $\imath^{\mathrm{reg}!}$ (resp., $\imath^{\mathrm{nilp}!}$, $\imath^{\mathrm{RS}!}$, $\imath^{\mathrm{ord}_k!}$), defined on $D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod})$.

From Lemma 7.2.2 and Lemma 23.2.2 we obtain the following:

Lemma 7.2.3. *The functor* $\imath^{\mathrm{reg}!} : D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}) \to D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})$ *commutes in the natural sense with the forgetful functors* $D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}) \to D^+(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}\text{–mod})$ *and* $D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}) \to D^+(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}\text{–mod})$*, and similarly for the* $\mathrm{nilp}, \mathrm{RS}$ *and* ord_k *versions.*

7.3. Let now K be a group-subscheme of $G[[t]]$. Following our conventions, we will denote by $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^K$ (resp., $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^K_{\mathrm{reg}}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^K_{\mathrm{nilp}}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^K_{\mathrm{RS}}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^K_{\mathrm{ord}_k}$) the corresponding abelian categories of K-equivariant objects, see Sect. 20.4. We will denote by $D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod})^K$ (resp., $D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^K$, $D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^K$, $D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{RS}})^K$, $D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{ord}_k})^K$) the corresponding triangulated categories.

The functors $\imath_!^{\mathrm{reg}}$ (resp., $\imath_!^{\mathrm{nilp}}$, $\imath_!^{\mathrm{RS}}$, $\imath_!^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$) extend to the K-equivariant setting in a straightforward way. By Proposition 23.3.1, we have:

Lemma 7.3.1. *There exist functors* $\imath^{\mathrm{reg}!} : D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod})^K \to D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^K$ *(resp.,* $\imath^{\mathrm{nilp}!} : D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod})^K \to D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^K$, $\imath^{\mathrm{RS}!} : D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod})^K \to D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{RS}})^K$, $\imath^{\mathrm{ord}_k!} : D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod})^K \to D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{ord}_k})^K$*), that are right adjoint to the functors* $\imath_!^{\mathrm{reg}}$ *(resp.,* $\imath_!^{\mathrm{nilp}}$, $\imath_!^{\mathrm{RS}}$, $\imath_!^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$*), and which commute with the forgetful functors to the corresponding derived categories* $D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod})$, $D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})$, $D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})$, $D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{RS}})$ *and* $D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{ord}_k})$*.*

This lemma implies that if $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$ are two objects of, say $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}^K$, then there exists a spectral sequence, converging to $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod})^K}(\imath_!^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathcal{M}_1), \imath_!^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathcal{M}_2))$, and whose second term $E_2^{p,q}$ is given by

$$\mathrm{Ext}^p_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^K}(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2) \underset{\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} \Lambda^q(N_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}), \tag{7.1}$$

where $N_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ denotes the normal bundle to $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}})$ inside $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}})$. The same spectral sequence exists when we replace the index reg by either of nilp, RS or ord_k.

7.4. We shall now recall a construction related to that of the *renormalized* universal enveloping algebra at the critical level, following [BD1], Sect. 5.6.

The main ingredient is the action of the algebra $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ by *outer* derivations. Let us recall the construction:

Let us pick a non-zero (symmetric, invariant) pairing $\kappa : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{C}$, and using it construct a 1-parameter deformation of the critical pairing: $\kappa_\hbar = \kappa_{\mathrm{crit}} + \hbar \cdot \kappa$. We obtain a 1-parametric family of topological associative algebras $\widetilde{U}_\hbar(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. For an element $a \in \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, and its lift $a_\hbar \in \widetilde{U}_\hbar(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $b \in \widetilde{U}_\hbar(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$, the element $[a_\hbar, b] \in \widetilde{U}_\hbar(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is 0 modulo $\hbar$.

Hence, the operation $b \mapsto \frac{[a_\hbar, b]}{\hbar} \mod \hbar$ is a derivation of $\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. It does not depend on the choice of the lifting $a_\hbar$ up to inner derivations. This construction has the following properties:

Lemma 7.4.1.

(a) *The constructed map* $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathrm{Der}^{\mathrm{out}}(\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$ *is a derivation, i.e., it extends to a (continuous) map of (topological)* $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$*-modules* $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \to \mathrm{Der}^{\mathrm{out}}(\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$*.*

(b) *Each of the above derivations preserves the subalgebra* $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$*, i.e.,* $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ *is a topological Poisson algebra and* $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ *is an algebroid over* $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}})$*.*

The following result, which relates the Poisson algebra structure on $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ with Langlands duality, is crucial for this paper:

Recall from Sect. 4.1 that $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$ denotes the groupoid over the ind-scheme $\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$, whose fiber over $\chi, \chi' \in \mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times)$ is the scheme of isomorphisms of $\check{G}$-local systems on $\mathcal{D}^\times$, corresponding to χ and χ', respectively, and $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$ denotes its algebroid. One of the key properties of the the isomorphism Theorem 5.1.1, proved in [FF3, F], is that it respects the Poisson structures. In other words, in terms of the corresponding Lie algebroids (see Sect. 4.1) we have the following

Theorem 7.4.2. *Under the isomorphism* $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(D^\times))$*, we have a canonical identification of the Lie algebroids* $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \simeq \mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$*.*

Let us now derive some consequences from the construction described above. By Lemma 4.4.1 and its variant for the nilp, RS and ord_k cases, we obtain the following

Corollary 7.4.3. *The ideal of each of the quotient algebras* $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ *(resp.,* $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$, $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}$, $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}$*) is stable under the Poisson bracket, i.e.,* $N^*_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ *(resp.,* $N^*_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, $N^*_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, $N^*_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{ord}_k}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$*) is an algebroid over the corresponding algebra.*

Let observe that for any $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ we obtain a map

$$\Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}). \tag{7.2}$$

This map is functorial in the sense that for a morphism of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$crit-modules $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$, the two compositions

$$\Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$$

and

$$\Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}}(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}') \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$$

coincide.

The next series of remarks is stated for the subscheme $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}) \subset \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}})$; however, they equally apply to the cases when reg is replaces by either of nilp, RS or ord_k.

Note that the Poisson structure, viewed as a map $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \to T(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ gives rise to a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & N^*_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}})|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}})} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & T(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}) \arrow[r] & T(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}})|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}})} \arrow[r] & N_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$ be two objects of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$. Note that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} N_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to$$
$$\to \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}').$$

It is easy to see that the composed map

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} N_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$$

comes from the map $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \to N_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ from the above commutative diagram. Thus, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} N^*_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} \Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}})|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}})} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} \Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} N_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{7.3}$$

and a natural map

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} \Big(N_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} / \Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}) \Big) \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'). \tag{7.4}$$

Let us now consider once again the family $\widetilde{U}_\hbar(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$, and inside $\widetilde{U}_\hbar(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \underset{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((\hbar))$ consider the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-subalgebra generated by $\widetilde{U}_\hbar(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ and elements of the form

$$\frac{a_\hbar}{\hbar} \text{ for } a_\hbar \bmod \hbar \in \ker(\mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{reg}}).$$

Taking this algebra modulo $\hbar$, we obtain an algebra, denoted $U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})$, and called the renormalized enveloping algebra at the critical level. The algebra $U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})$ has a natural filtration, with the 0-th term isomorphic to $\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \underset{\mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{reg}}$, and the first associated graded quotient isomorphic to

$$\left(\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \underset{\mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{reg}}\right) \underset{\mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\widehat{\otimes}} N^*_{\mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Let us $U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})\text{-mod}$ denote the category of (discrete) $U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})$-modules. We have a tautological homomorphism $\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \to U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})$, whose restriction to $\mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}$ factors through $\mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{reg}}$; thus we have a restriction functor $U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})\text{-mod} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$. In addition, the adjoint action of the algebra $\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \underset{\mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ on itself extends to an action of the first term of the above-mentioned filtration on $U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})$.

Let now $\mathcal{M}_\hbar$ be an $\hbar$-family of modules over $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\hbar$ such that the action of $\mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_\hbar/\hbar\cdot\mathcal{M}_\hbar$ factors through $\mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{reg}}$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is naturally acted on by $U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})$. This construction provides a supply of objects of $U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})\text{-mod}$.

Lemma 7.4.4. *Let* $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}'$ *be* $U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})$*-modules. Then*

(a) *The map* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}') \underset{\mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} N^*_{\mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$ *vanishes.*

(b) *We have a natural action of the algebroid* $N^*_{\mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{Z}_\mathfrak{g}}$ *on* $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$.

Finally, let us note that the category of $U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})$-modules carries a Harish-Chandra action of $G((t))$. In particular, if K is a group-subscheme of $G[[t]]$, we can introduce the categories $U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})\text{-mod}^K$ and $D(U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}))^K$. In addition, analogs of the diagrams appearing above remain valid for $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$ replaced by $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^K}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod})^K}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$, respectively.

7.5. For the rest of this section we will be concerned with the category $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{ord}_1}$, denoted also by $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{RS}}$.

Consider now the functor $\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}$ given by

$$M \mapsto \mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(M), \tag{7.5}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}[[t]]$ acts on M via the evaluation map $\mathfrak{g}[[t]] \to \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ acts as identity.

By definition.

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(U(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{t\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(\mathbb{C}),$$

and by Theorem 7.2.1, this module belongs to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{RS}}$. This implies that the module $\mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(M) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{RS}}$ for any M.

In what follows we will need the following technical assertions, in which we use the notion of quasi-perfectness introduced in Sect. 19.9.

Proposition 7.5.1.

(1) *Representations of the form* $\mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(M)$ *for* $M \in \mathfrak{g}$–mod *are quasi-perfect as objects of* $D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod})$.

(2) *Any object* $\mathcal{M} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{RS}}$*, which is quasi-perfect in* $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$–mod*, is also quasi-perfect in* $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{RS}}$*. The same is true when the RS condition is replaced by any of* ord_k*,* nilp *or* reg.

Proof. Since the induction functor is exact, by adjunction,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod})}(\mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(M), \mathcal{M}_1^\bullet) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\text{–mod})}(M, \mathcal{M}_1^\bullet).$$

When $\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet$ is bounded from below the latter is computed by the standard cohomological complex of $\mathfrak{g}[[t]]$ (see Sect. 19.8), which manifestly commutes with direct sums. This proves the first point of the proposition.

The second point follows from Proposition 23.2.6.

□

7.6. Denote by $\mathbb{M}_\lambda$ (resp., $\mathbb{M}_\lambda^\vee$, $\mathbb{L}_\lambda$) the $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-module induced from the Verma module M_λ (resp., the contragredient Verma module $M_\lambda^\vee$, the irreducible module L_λ) with highest weight λ over $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\mathbb{M}_\lambda = \mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(M_\lambda), \quad \mathbb{M}_\lambda^\vee = \mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(M_\lambda^\vee), \quad \mathbb{L}_\lambda = \mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(L_\lambda).$$

Recall that we have the natural residue map $\mathrm{Res}^{\mathrm{RS}} : \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \check{\mathfrak{h}}//W \simeq \mathfrak{h}^*//W$. At the level of algebras of functions we have therefore a map

$$\mathrm{Res}^{\mathrm{RS}\,*} : \mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{h})^W \to \mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}. \tag{7.6}$$

Thus, for every $M \in \mathfrak{g}$–mod we obtain two a priori different actions of $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{h})^W$ on $\mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(M)$:

One action corresponds to the map $\mathrm{Res}^{\mathrm{RS}\,*}$ and the action of $\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on objects of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{RS}}$. Another action comes from the Harish-Chandra isomorphism [7]

$$\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{h})^W \simeq Z(U(\mathfrak{g})), \tag{7.7}$$

the action of $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ by endomorphisms on M, and, hence, by functoriality, its action on $\mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(M)$.

Let τ be the involution of $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$, induced by the anti-involution $x \mapsto -x$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Alternatively, τ can be thought of as induced by the outer involution of $\mathfrak{g}$ that acts on the weights as $\lambda \mapsto -w_0(\lambda)$.

Proposition 7.6.1. *The above two actions of* $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{h})^W$ *on* $\mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(M)$ *differ by* τ.

Proof. It is enough to consider the universal example of $M = U(\mathfrak{g})$. In the course of the proof of the proposition we will essentially reprove Theorem 7.2.1.

Consider the grading on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$ induced by the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $\mathcal{D}$ by loop rotations. Then all our objects, such as $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{t\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(\mathbb{C})$ acquire a natural grading; the degree i subspace will be denoted by the subscript i, i.e., $(\cdot)_i$.

Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}\cdot(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}})_{>0}$ in $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ generated by elements of positive degree. From Sect. 1.4.1, we know that the quotient $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}\cdot(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}})_{>0}$ is precisely $\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Since the grading on $\mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{t\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(\mathbb{C})$ is non-positive and the module is generated by the subspace of degree 0, the above ideal annihilates this module.

---

[7] which we normalize so that the central character of M_λ equals $\varpi(\lambda+\rho)$

Consider now the subalgebra of degree 0 elements $(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot (\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}})_{>0})_0 \subset \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}}$. According to Sect. 1.4.1, it is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{h})^W$ and the resulting embedding

$$\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{h})^W \to \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS}} \tag{7.8}$$

is the homomorphism $\mathrm{Res}^{\mathrm{RS}\,*}$.

The action of $(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot (\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}})_{>0})_0$ on $\mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{t\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(\mathbb{C})$ preserves the subspace of degree 0 elements. However, the latter subspace is isomorphic to $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Therefore, $(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot (\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}})_{>0})_0$ acts on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ commuting with both left and right module structure; hence it comes from a homomorphism $(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot (\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}})_{>0})_0 \to Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$.

It remains to compare the resulting homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{h})^W \to (\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot (\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}})_{>0})_0 \to Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$$

with the Harish-Chandra isomorphism. This has been proved in [F], Sect. 12.6. Let us repeat the argument for completeness:

It is enough to show that for any weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, the two characters, corresponding to $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{h})^W$ acting in the two ways on the module $\mathbb{M}^\vee_\lambda$, differ by τ.

Let $\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}$ be the Wakimoto module corresponding to the weight λ, as in Sect. 11.5. By Lemma 13.1.1, the character of $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{h})^W$, acting on $\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}$ via (7.8), is given by $\varpi(-\lambda-\rho)$.

By Sect. 11.5, we have have a non-trivial homomorphism $\mathbb{M}^\vee_\lambda \to \mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}$, and hence the center $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts on both modules by the same character.

□

Recall that for $\chi \in \mathfrak{h}^*/\!/W \simeq \check{\mathfrak{h}}/\!/W$ we have a subscheme $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{RS},\chi}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \subset \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$; if $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is integral and anti-dominant, then $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(\mu)}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \simeq \mathrm{Op}^{-\mu-\rho,\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$; if, moreover, $\mu+\rho$ is anti-dominant, then the latter scheme contains the subscheme $\mathrm{Op}^{-\mu-\rho,\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

Let us denote by $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{RS},\chi}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\mathfrak{z}^{-\mu-\rho,\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathfrak{z}^{-\mu-\rho,\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, respectively, the corresponding quotients of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{RS},\chi}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{-\mu-\rho,\mathrm{nilp}}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{-\mu-\rho,\mathrm{reg}}$ be the corresponding subcategories of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}$. The general results stated in this section, concerning the behavior of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{RS}}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{ord}_k}$, are equally applicable to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{RS},\chi}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{-\mu-\rho,\mathrm{nilp}}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{-\mu-\rho,\mathrm{reg}}$.

From Proposition 7.6.1 we obtain the following:

Corollary 7.6.2. *The modules* $\mathbb{M}_\lambda$, $\mathbb{M}^\vee_\lambda$ *and* $\mathbb{L}_\lambda$ *belong to* $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(-\lambda-\rho)}$.

For a dominant integral weight λ, let V^λ be the corresponding irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-module. Let $\mathbb{V}^\lambda_{\mathrm{crit}}$ denote the corresponding induced module at the critical level. In Sect. 13.3 we will also establish the following:

Proposition 7.6.3. *The module* $\mathbb{V}^\lambda_{\mathrm{crit}}$ *belongs to* $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\lambda,\mathrm{reg}}$.

7.7. Recall now that the subscheme $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \subset \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$ is the preimage of $\varpi(-\rho) \in \mathfrak{h}^*/\!/W$ under the map $\mathrm{res} : \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \mathfrak{h}^*/\!/W$.

In particular, if we denote by $\mathcal{O}_0$ the subcategory of the usual category $\mathcal{O}$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}$-modules with central character equal to $\varpi(-\rho)$, we obtain that the induction (7.5) defines a functor $\mathcal{O}_0 \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$. In particular, the modules $\mathbb{M}_{w(\rho)-\rho}$, $\mathbb{M}^\vee_{w(\rho)-\rho}$ for $w \in W$ all belong to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$.

In what follows we will consider sections of right D-modules on the affine flag variety Fl_G. Instead of ordinary right D-modules, we will consider the ones twisted by a line bundle, which is the tensor product of the critical line bundle on Gr_G and the $G((t))$-equivariant line bundle, corresponding to the weight 2ρ (this choice is such that the twisting induced on $G/B \subset \mathrm{Fl}_G$ corresponds to *left* D-modules on G/B.)

We will denote the resulting category by $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}$, and by a slight abuse of language we will continue to call its objects D-modules. Of course, as an abstract category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}$ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{–mod}$, but the functor of global sections is different. We have

$$\mathrm{R}\,\Gamma : D^+\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}\right) \to D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}).$$

In particular, $\Gamma(\mathrm{Fl}_G, \delta_{1_{\mathrm{Fl}_G}}) \simeq \mathbb{M}_{-2\rho}$.

As usual, if K is a subgroup of $G[[t]]$, we will denote by $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^K$ the abelian category of K-equivariant D-modules, and by $D\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^K\right)^K$ the corresponding triangulated category.

For $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^+\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}\right)$, we have

$$\Gamma(\mathrm{Fl}_G, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \simeq \mathcal{F}^\bullet \star \mathbb{M}_{-2\rho}.$$

Hence, we obtain the following

Corollary 7.7.1. *The functor of global sections gives rise to a functor*

$$D^+\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}\right) \to D^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}).$$

7.8. Let us now prove Lemma 5.2.1.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an I^0-integrable module. Then it admits a filtration whose subquotients are quotients of modules of the form $\mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(M)$, where M is an N-integrable $\mathfrak{g}$-module,

If we impose the condition that $\mathcal{M} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$, then by Proposition 7.6.1, we can assume that the above M has central character $\varpi(-\rho)$. But, as is well-known, this implies that $M \in \mathcal{O}_0$. □

In addition, we have the following result:

Lemma 7.8.1. *Any object* $\mathcal{M} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$ *admits a non-zero map* $\mathbb{L}_{w(\rho)-\rho} \to \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. By definition, any $\mathcal{M}$ contains a vector, annihilated by $\mathrm{Lie}(I^0)$, and which is an eigenvector of $\mathfrak{h}$. Hence, we have a non-trivial map $\mathbb{M}_\lambda \to \mathcal{M}$. By Proposition 7.6.1, λ must be of the form $w(\rho) - \rho$ for some $w \in W$.

The Verma module $M_{w(\rho)-\rho}$ admits a filtration, whose subquotients are the irreducibles $L_{w'(\rho)-\rho}$, $w' \geq w$. Since the induction functor is exact, $\mathbb{M}_{w(\rho)-\rho}$ admits a filtration with subquotients isomorphic to $\mathbb{M}_{w'(\rho)-\rho}$.

Let w' be the maximal element such that corresponding term of the filtration on $\mathbb{M}_{w(\rho)-\rho}$ maps non-trivially to $\mathcal{M}$. This gives the desired map. □

8. The case of regular opers

8.1. Recall that the pre-image of $\mathrm{pt}/\check{B} \hookrightarrow \check{\mathfrak{n}}/\check{B}$ under $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \check{\mathfrak{n}}/\check{B}$ is the scheme $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$ of regular $\check{G}$-opers on the disc $\mathcal{D}$. From the point of view of representations, the algebra $\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}})$ is characterized as follows. Let

$$\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \simeq \mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(\mathbb{C})$$

be the vacuum Verma module of critical level. According to [FF3, F], the action of the center $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))$ on $\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}$ factors through its quotient $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}})$. Moreover, the latter algebra is isomorphic to the algebra of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}$.

In this section we will be concerned with the category $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ and its derived category $D\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}\right)$. We will see that there are many parallels between the categories $D\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}\right)$ and $D\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}\right)$, but the structure of the former is considerably simpler.

Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ denote the full subcategory of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ equal to the intersection $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}} \cap \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}$; we let $D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{I^0} := D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{I_0}$ denote the corresponding full triangulated category.

In this section we will formulate a conjecture that describes these categories in terms of D-modules on the affine Grassmannian.

8.2. Before stating the conjecture we would like to motivate it by Bezrukavnikov's theory in the spirit of Sect. 6.2. In this subsection the discussion will be informal.

Let $\mathrm{Gr}_G = G(\!(t)\!)/G[[t]]$ be the affine Grassmannian of the group G. We will consider right D-modules on Gr_G and denote this category by $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{-mod}$. As before, we have the subcategories $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{-mod}^I$, $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{-mod}^{I_0} \simeq \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{-mod}^{I,m}$ and the corresponding triangulated categories $D\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{-mod}\right)^I$, $D\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{-mod}\right)^{I_0} \subset D\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{-mod}\right)$.

Consider the two categories appearing in Conjecture 6.2.2, and let us apply a further base change with respect to the map $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$. We obtain an equivalence:

$$D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}) \underset{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}}{\times} (\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \underset{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}) \simeq D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}) \underset{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}. \tag{8.1}$$

The right-hand side is by definition equivalent to $D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})$. The left-hand side can be rewritten as

$$\left(D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}) \underset{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}}{\times} \mathrm{pt}/\check{B}\right) \underset{\mathrm{pt}/\check{B}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}.$$

The theory of spherical sheaves on the affine Grassmannian implies that $D^b\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{-mod}\right)$ is naturally *a category over the stack* $\mathrm{pt}/\check{G}$ in the sense explained in Sect. 0.3. It follows from Bezrukavnikov's theory [Bez] that the categories $D^b\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{-mod}\right)$ and $D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod})$ are related as follows:

$$D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Fl}_G)\text{-mod}) \underset{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\check{G}}/\check{G}}{\times} \mathrm{pt}/\check{B} \simeq D^b\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{-mod}\right) \underset{\mathrm{pt}/\check{G}}{\times} \mathrm{pt}/\check{B}.$$

Hence, from (8.1) we obtain the following conjecture:

$$D^b\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{-mod}\right) \underset{\mathrm{pt}/\check{G}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \simeq D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}).$$

Our Conjecture 8.5.2 below reformulates the last statement in terms that do not require the formalism of categories over a stack.

8.3. Recall from Sect. 4.4 the groupoid $\mathsf{Isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$ on $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and the corresponding Lie algebroid $\mathsf{isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$, which is the Atiyah algebroid of the principal $\check{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{P}_{\check{G},\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$. For $V \in \mathcal{R}ep(\check{G})$ we will denote by $V_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$ the corresponding (projective) module over $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}})$.

Using Theorem 5.1.1 we can transfer these objects to $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}})$, and we will denote them by $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$, $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$, $\mathcal{P}_{\check{G},\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and $V_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, respectively. From Theorem 7.4.2 and Sect. 4.4, we obtain the following

Corollary 8.3.1.

(a) *Under the isomorphism* $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}})$*, we have a canonical identification of Lie algebroids* $N^*_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \simeq \mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$.

(b) *We have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d] & \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & N^*_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}})|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}})} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & T(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & T(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}})|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}})} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & N_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 \arrow[r] & \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d] & \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \arrow[d] & \\
 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

8.4. In what follows will work not with usual right D-modules on Gr_G, but rather with the D-modules twisted by the critical line bundle, as in Sect. 7.7. We will denote the corresponding category by $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{–mod}$. We have the following result, established in [FG]:

Theorem 8.4.1. *The functor of global sections* $\Gamma : \mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{–mod} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}$ *is exact and faithful. Moreover, it factors canonically through a functor* $\Gamma^{\mathrm{ren}} : \mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{–mod} \to U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})\text{–mod}$*, and the latter functor is fully-faithful.*

Consider the category $\mathrm{Sph}_G := \mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{–mod}^{G[[t]]}$. According to the results of Lusztig, Drinfeld, Ginzburg and Mirković-Vilonen (see [MV]), this is a tensor category under the convolution product, which is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{R}ep(\check{G})$ of representations of the algebraic group $\check{G}$. For $V \in \mathcal{R}ep(\check{G})$ we will denote by $\mathcal{F}_V$ the corresponding (critically twisted) $G[[t]]$-equivariant D-module on Gr_G.

Let us recall the basic result of [BD1], Sect. 5.5 and 5.6, that describes global sections of the (critically twisted) D-modules $\mathcal{F}_V$.

Theorem 8.4.2.

(a) *We have a canonical isomorphism of* $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$*-modules*

$$\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}_V) \simeq \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} V_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}},$$

compatible with tensor product of representations.

(b) *The isomorphism of (a) and that of Corollary 8.3.1 are compatible in the sense that the* $N^*_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$*-action on* $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}, \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}_V))$*, coming from Theorem 8.4.1 and Sect. 7.4, corresponds to the canonical* $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$*-action on* $V_{\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$.

We can take the convolution product of any D-module on Gr_G with a spherical one. A priori, this will be a complex of D-modules on Gr_G, but as in [Ga] one shows that this is a single D-module. (Alternatively, this follows from the lemma below, using Theorem 8.4.1). Thus, we obtain an action of the tensor category $\mathcal{R}ep(\check{G})$ on $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{–mod}$:

$$\mathcal{F}, V \mapsto \mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{F}_V.$$

Lemma 8.4.3. *For* $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{–mod}$ *and* $V \in \mathcal{R}ep(\check{G})$ *we have a canonical isomorphism:*

$$\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{F}_V) \simeq \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} V_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}.$$

Proof. Let us recall the formalism of the convolution action (see Sect. 22.5). We have the functors

$$D^b(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{–mod}) \times D^b(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{–mod})^{G[[t]]} \to D^b(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{–mod})$$

and

$$D^b(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{–mod}) \times D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{G[[t]]} \to D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}),$$

which are intertwined by the functor Γ. Note that $\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \delta_{1_{\mathrm{Gr}_G}}) \simeq \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}$, and $\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{F} \star \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}$.

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{F}_V) \simeq (\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{F}_V) \star \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \simeq \mathcal{F} \star (\mathcal{F}_V \star \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \simeq \mathcal{F} \star \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}_V) \simeq \\ &\simeq \mathcal{F} \star (\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} V_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}) \simeq (\mathcal{F} \star \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} V_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \simeq \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} V_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second-to-last isomorphism is given by Theorem 8.4.2.

□

8.5. After these preparations we introduce the category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}$ which is conjecturally equivalent to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$.

Its objects are (critically twisted) D-modules $\mathcal{F}$ on Gr_G, endowed with an action of the algebra $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ by endomorphisms, and a family of functorial isomorphisms

$$\alpha_V : \mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{F}_V \simeq V_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} \mathcal{F}, \quad V \in \mathcal{R}ep(\check{G}),$$

compatible with tensor products of representations in the sense that for $U, V \in \mathcal{R}ep(\check{G})$ the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{F}_U) \star \mathcal{F}_V \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{F} \star (\mathcal{F}_U \star \mathcal{F}_V) \arrow[d] \\
(U_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} \mathcal{F}) \star \mathcal{F}_V \arrow[d] & (U_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} V_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}) \underset{\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{reg}})}{\otimes} \mathcal{F} \arrow[d] \\
U_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} (\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{F}_V) \arrow[r] & U_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} (V_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} \mathcal{F})
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, and that α_V, for V being the trivial representation, is the identity map.

In fact, one can show as in [AG2] that it is sufficient to give a family of *morphisms* $\{\alpha_V\}$ satisfying the above conditions; the fact that they are isomorphisms is then automatic. Morphisms in this category are maps of D-modules that commute with the action of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ and the data of α_V.

Note that the category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}$ is precisely the category

$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{–mod} \underset{\mathrm{pt}/\check{G}}{\times} \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$$

introduced above.

Consider the groupoid $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$ and note that the algebra $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}})$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{V\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{R}ep(\check{G}))} V_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} V^*_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}},$$

and the unit section corresponds to the map

$$V_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} V^*_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to V_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \underset{\mathrm{Fun}(\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}})}{\otimes} V^*_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \mathrm{Fun}(\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}).$$

Let us consider the space of global sections of an object $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}$. From Lemma 8.4.3 we obtain the following

Lemma 8.5.1. *For an object $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}$, the action of $\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F})$ by $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-endomorphisms, canonically extends to an action of $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}})$.*

Consider the functor $\Gamma^{\mathrm{Hecke}} : \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ given by

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}, \mathcal{F}) \underset{\mathrm{Fun}(\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}})}{\otimes} \mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

where $\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is considered as a $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}})$-algebra via the unit section.

We propose the following:

Main Conjecture 8.5.2. *The above functor Γ^{Hecke} is exact and defines an equivalence of categories $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$.*

Note that by definition, the category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}$ carries a Harish-Chandra action of $G((t))$ at the critical level. By construction, the functor Γ^{Hecke} preserves this structure. In particular, we can consider the subcategories of I^0-equivariant objects on both sides. As a consequence we obtain another conjecture:

Main Conjecture 8.5.3. *The category $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^{I_0}$.*

8.6. We now present another way of formulating Main Conjecture 8.5.2. Recall from [Ga1], that if $\mathcal{Y}$ is an affine variety, $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{Y})$-linear abelian category and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is an affine groupoid over $\mathcal{Y}$, it then makes sense to speak about a lift of the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-action on $\mathcal{Y}$ to $\mathcal{C}$.

We take $\mathcal{Y} = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}})$, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$. One can show that Main Conjecture 8.5.2 is equivalent to the following one:

Conjecture 8.6.1. *The action of $\mathsf{Isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$ on $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ lifts to an action on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ in such a way that:*

(1) *This structure commutes in the natural sense with the Harish-Chandra action of $G((t))$ on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$.*

(2) *The functor Γ establishes an equivalence between the category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}$ and the category of $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$-equivariant objects in $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$.*

Remark 8.6.2. If we had an action of $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$ on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$, as conjectured above, then at the infinitesimal level we would have functorial maps

$$\mathsf{isom}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}} \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}),$$

for any $\mathcal{M} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$. However, the latter maps are known to exist, as follows from (7.3) in Sect. 7.4.

8.7. Although we are unable to prove Main Conjecture 8.5.2 at the moment, we will establish one result in its direction, which we will use later on.

Let us denote by $\mathrm{L}\,\Gamma^{\mathrm{Hecke}} : D^-\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}\right) \to D^-\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}\right)$ the functor given by

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}) \underset{\mathrm{Fun}(\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}})}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} \mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

where $\overset{L}{\otimes}$ is defined using a left resolution of $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ by projective $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}})$-modules.

One easily shows (and we will see this in the course of the proof of the next theorem) that $\mathrm{L}\,\Gamma^{\mathrm{Hecke}}$ is in fact the left derived functor of Γ^{Hecke}.

Theorem 8.7.1. *The functor* $\mathrm{L}\,\Gamma^{\mathrm{Hecke}}$*, restricted to* $D^b\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}\right)$*, is fully faithful.*

Before giving the proof, we need some preparations.

8.8. Let us observe that the obvious forgetful functor $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod} \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}$ admits a left adjoint, which we will denote by $\mathrm{Ind}^{\mathrm{Hecke}}$. Indeed, it is given by

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \underset{V \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{R}ep(\check{G}))}{\oplus} (\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{F}_{V^*}) \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} V_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}.$$

Evidently, we have

Lemma 8.8.1.

$$\mathrm{L}\,\Gamma^{\mathrm{Hecke}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathrm{Ind}^{\mathrm{Hecke}}(\mathcal{F})) \simeq \Gamma^{\mathrm{Hecke}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathrm{Ind}^{\mathrm{Hecke}}(\mathcal{F})) \simeq \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}).$$

Therefore, Theorem 8.7.1 implies the following:

Theorem 8.8.2. *For* $\mathcal{F}^\bullet_1, \mathcal{F}^\bullet_2, \in D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}})$ *and* $\mathcal{M}^\bullet_i = \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}^\bullet_i) \in D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})$ *the map, given by the functor* $\mathrm{L}\,\Gamma^{\mathrm{Hecke}}$,

$$R\,\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod})}\left(\mathcal{F}^\bullet_1, \underset{V \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{R}ep(\check{G}))}{\oplus} (\mathcal{F}^\bullet_2 \star \mathcal{F}_{V^*}) \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} V_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\right) \to$$
$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})}(\mathcal{M}^\bullet_1, \mathcal{M}^\bullet_2)$$

is an isomorphism.

From this theorem we obtain that all $\mathrm{R}^i\,\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})}(\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}^\bullet_1), \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}^\bullet_2))$, viewed as quasi-coherent sheaves on $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}})$, are equivariant with respect to the groupoid $\mathsf{Isom}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$. We claim that we know a priori that the above R^i Hom is acted on by the algebroid $\mathsf{isom}_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}} \simeq N^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, and the map in Theorem 8.8.2 is compatible with the action of $N^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$. This follows from Lemma 7.4.4 and Theorem 8.4.2.

8.9. **Proof of Theorem 8.7.1.** It is clear that any object of $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}$ admits a surjection from an object of the form $\mathrm{Ind}^{\mathrm{Hecke}}(\mathcal{F})$ for some $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}$. Therefore, any bounded from above complex in $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}$ admits a left resolution by a complex consisting of objects of this form. Hence, it is sufficient to show that for $\mathcal{F}_1 \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}$ and $\mathcal{F}^\bullet_2 \in D^+\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}\right)$ the map

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}\right)}\left(\mathrm{Ind}^{\mathrm{Hecke}}(\mathcal{F}_1), \mathcal{F}^\bullet_2\right) \to \tag{8.2}$$

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})}\left(\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}_1), \mathrm{L}\,\Gamma^{\mathrm{Hecke}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}^\bullet_2)\right). \tag{8.3}$$

is an isomorphism. Note that by adjunction the LHS of the above formula is isomorphic to $\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod})}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2^\bullet)$, where we regard $\mathcal{F}_2^\bullet$ just as an object of $D^+(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod})$.

Without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathcal{F}_1$ is finitely generated, and is equivariant with respect to some congruence subgroup $K \subset G[[t]]$. By Sect. 20.7, we can replace $\mathcal{F}_2^\bullet$ by $\mathrm{Av}_K(\mathcal{F}_2^\bullet)$, i.e., without restriction of generality, we can assume that $\mathcal{F}_2^\bullet$ is also K-equivariant.

We will use the Harish-Chandra action of $G((t))$ on $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$. Namely, we will interpret $\mathcal{F}_1$ as $\mathcal{F}_1 \star \delta_{1_{\mathrm{Gr}_G}} \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^K$, and hence

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{\mathrm{Hecke}}(\mathcal{F}_1) \simeq \mathcal{F}_1 \star (\mathrm{Ind}^{\mathrm{Hecke}}(\delta_{1_{\mathrm{Gr}_G}})) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^K .$$

Similarly, $\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}_1) \simeq \mathcal{F}_1 \star \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_1}$ be the dual D-module in $\mathfrak{D}(G((t))/K)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^{G[[t]]}$, see Sect. 22.10.1. Set

$$\mathcal{F}^\bullet := \widetilde{\mathcal{F}_1} \star \mathcal{F}_2 \in D^+\left(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}\right)^{G[[t]]}.$$

By Sect. 22.10.1, we have

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod})}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2^\bullet) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod})^{G[[t]]}}\left(\delta_{1_{\mathrm{Gr}_G}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet\right)$$

and $\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})}\left(\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}_1), \mathrm{L}\,\Gamma^{\mathrm{Hecke}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}_2^\bullet)\right)$ is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{G[[t]]}}\left(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}, \mathrm{L}\,\Gamma^{\mathrm{Hecke}}(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)\right).$$

Evidently, we can assume that $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ is an object, denoted $\mathcal{F}$, of the abelian category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^{G[[t]]}$.

Since the category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^{G[[t]]}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{R}ep(\check{G})$, we obtain that the category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathrm{Hecke}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^{G[[t]]}$ is equivalent to the category of $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules, with the functor being given by

$$\mathcal{L} \mapsto \mathrm{Ind}^{\mathrm{Hecke}}(\delta_{1_{\mathrm{Gr}_G}}) \otimes \mathcal{L}.$$

Therefore, the D-module $\mathcal{F}$ above has such a form for some $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $\mathcal{L}$.

By a reg- and $G[[t]]$-equivariant version of Proposition 7.5.1, we can assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is finitely presented. Since $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a polynomial algebra, every finitely presented module admits a finite resolution by projective ones. This reduces us to the case when $\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Thus, we obtain that it is enough to show the following:

(*) *The map*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod})^{G[[t]]}}\left(\delta_{1_{\mathrm{Gr}_G}}, \mathrm{Ind}^{\mathrm{Hecke}}(\delta_{1_{\mathrm{Gr}_G}})\right) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}, \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}})$$

is an isomorphism.

To establish (*) we proceed as follows. It is known from [ABG], Theorem 7.6.1, that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr})_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod})^{G[[t]]}}\left(\delta_{1_{\mathrm{Gr}_G}}, \underset{V \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{R}ep(\check{G}))}{\oplus} \mathcal{F}_V \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} V^*\right) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{g}}),$$

viewed as a graded algebra with an action of $\check{G}$, where the generators $\check{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{g}})$ have degree 2.

Hence, the left-hand side in (*) is isomorphic to the graded algebra over $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ obtained from the $\check{G}$-torsor $\mathcal{P}_{\check{G}, \mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and the $\check{G}$-algebra $\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{g}})$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{P}_{\check{G}, \mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \overset{\check{G}}{\times} \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{g}}) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}). \tag{8.4}$$

Now we claim that the right-hand side in (*) is also isomorphic to the algebra appearing in (8.4):

Theorem 8.9.1. *There exists a canonical isomorphism of algebras*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\mathrm{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}},\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}),$$

compatible with the action of $N^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, *where the generators* $\check{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{g}})$ *have degree* 2.

8.10. **Proof of Theorem 8.9.1.** From Corollary 8.3.1 and (7.4) we obtain a map

$$\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\mathrm{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}},\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}), \tag{8.5}$$

compatible with the action of $N^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$. We are going to show that this map induces the isomorphism stated in the theorem. We will do it by analyzing the spectral sequence of Sect. 7.3.

Since the $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-action on $\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}$ can be canonically extended to an action of $U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})$, from Lemma 7.4.4 and (7.3), we obtain a map

$$\Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\mathrm{-mod})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}},\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}).$$

We will use the following result of [FT]:

Theorem 8.10.1. *The cup-product induces an isomorphism of algebras*

$$\Omega^\bullet(\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\mathrm{-mod})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}},\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}).$$

Recall that $\imath^{\mathrm{reg}}$ denotes the embedding $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Consider the object $\imath^{\mathrm{reg}!}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \in D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\mathrm{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{G[[t]]}$, see Sect. 7.3. By *loc.cit.*, the j-th cohomology of this complex is isomorphic to $\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \Lambda^j(N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}})$.

Consider the cohomological truncation of $\imath^!(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}})$, leaving the segment in the cohomological degrees j and $j+1$. It gives rise to a map in the derived category

$$\phi_j : \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \Lambda^{j+1}(N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \to \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \Lambda^{j}(N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}})[2]. \tag{8.6}$$

Lemma 8.10.2. *The map* ϕ_j *equals the composition*

$$\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \Lambda^{j+1}(N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \to \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \Lambda^{j}(N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \overset{\phi_1\otimes\mathrm{id}}{\to} \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \Lambda^{j}(N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}})[2].$$

By Sect. 7.3, we obtain a spectral sequence, converging to $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\mathrm{-mod})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}},\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}})$, whose second term is given by

$$E_2^{i,j} = \mathrm{Ext}^i_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\mathrm{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}},\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \Lambda^{j}(N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}).$$

Note also that by Lemma 8.10.2, the differential in the above spectral sequence, which maps $E_2^{i-2,j+1} \to E_2^{i,j}$ can be expressed through the case when $j=0$ as

$$E_2^{i-2,j+1} \simeq E_2^{i-2,0} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \Lambda^{j+1}(N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \to E_2^{i-2,0} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \Lambda^{j}(N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \simeq$$

$$\simeq E_2^{i-2,1} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \Lambda^{j}(N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \to E_2^{i,0} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \Lambda^{j}(N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \simeq E_2^{i,j}.$$

Let us observe that the canonical map

$$\mathrm{Ext}^j_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\mathrm{-mod})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}},\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \to E_2^{0,j} \tag{8.7}$$

identifies by construction with the map $\Omega^j(\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \to \Lambda^j(N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}})$ coming from of (7.3); in particular, it is injective.

We will prove by induction on $i = 1, 2, ...$ the following statements:

- (i) $E_2^{2i-1,0} = 0$,
- (ii) $E_2^{2i,0} \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^i(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}})$ such that the differential $E_2^{2i-2,1} \to E_2^{2i,0}$ identifies with the map $\mathrm{Sym}^{i-1}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \mathrm{Sym}^i(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}})$.

Note that item (i) above implies that $E_2^{2i-1,j} = 0$ for any j and that item (ii) implies that $E_2^{2i,j} \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^i(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \Lambda^j(N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}})$ such that the differential identifies with the Koszul differential $\mathrm{Sym}^{i-1}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \Lambda^j(N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \to \mathrm{Sym}^i(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \Lambda^{j-1}(N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}})$.

Consider first the base of the induction, i.e., the case $i = 1$. In this case we know a priori that $E_2^{1,0} = 0$. We obtain that $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ maps isomorphically onto the kernel of the map $N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \simeq E_2^{0,1} \to E_2^{2,0}$. In particular, the map of (8.5) $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \hookrightarrow E_2^{2,0}$ is injective. We claim that the latter map is surjective as well. Indeed, if it were not, the map in (8.7) would not be injective for $j = 2$.

Hence, the differential $E_2^{0,j} \to E_2^{2,j-1}$ does identify with the corresponding term of the Koszul differential. In particular, $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}, \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}})$ maps isomorphically to $E_3^{0,j} \simeq \ker(E_2^{0,i} \to E_2^{2,i-1})$. This implies, in particular, that all the higher differentials $E_k^{0,j} \to E_k^{k,j-k-1}$ for $k \geq 3$ vanish.

Let us now perform the induction step. Observe that the induction hypothesis, all the terms of the spectral sequence $E_k^{i',j}$ for $0 < i' \leq 2i-2$ with $k \geq 3$ vanish. Therefore, the term $E_2^{2i+1,0}$ injects into

$$\ker\left(\mathrm{Ext}^{2i+1}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}, \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \to E_2^{0,2i+1}\right),$$

and as the latter map is injective, we obtain that $E_2^{2i+1,0} = 0$.

By a similar argument we obtain that $E_3^{2i,1} = E_3^{2i+2,0} = 0$. Hence, $E_2^{2i+2,0}$ identifies with

$$\mathrm{coker}\left(\mathrm{Sym}^{i-1}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \Lambda^2(N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \to \mathrm{Sym}^i(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\right) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^{i+1}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}).$$

To finish the proof of theorem it remains to remark that, by construction, the cup-product map

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Ext}^2_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}, \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^{2i}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}, \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \to \\ &\mathrm{Ext}^{2i+2}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}, \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}})\end{aligned}$$

identifies with the multiplication map $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^i(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \to \mathrm{Sym}^{i+1}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}})$.

8.11. Thus, the two graded algebras appearing in (*) are abstractly isomorphic to one-another. It remains to see that the existing map indeed induces an isomorphism. Since both algebras are freely generated by their degree 2 part, it is sufficient to show that the map

$$\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^2_{D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod})^{G[[t]]}}\left(\delta_{1_{\mathrm{Gr}_G}}, \underset{V \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{R}ep(\check{G}))}{\oplus} \mathcal{F}_V \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} V^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\right) \to \tag{8.8}$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}, \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \simeq \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \tag{8.9}$$

is an isomorphism. Since the map of Theorem 8.8.2 is compatible with the action of the algebroid $N^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, and since $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ is irreducible as a $N^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$-module, if the map in (8.8) were not an isomorphism, it would be zero. We claim that this leads to a contradiction:

Consider the canonical maps of $H^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}/G) \simeq H^\bullet_{G[[t]]}(\mathrm{pt})$ to both the LHS and RHS of (*). Note that we have a canonical identification

$$H^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}/G) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*)^W \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{h}})^W \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{g}})^{\check{G}}.$$

By the construction of the isomorphism in [ABG], Theorem 7.6.1,

$$H^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}/G) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr})_{\mathrm{crit}}\,\text{-mod})^{G[[t]]}}\left(\delta_{1_{\mathrm{Gr}}}, \mathrm{Ind}^{\mathrm{Hecke}}(\delta_{1_{\mathrm{Gr}}})\right)$$

corresponds to the canonical embedding $\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{g}})^{\check{G}} \to \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{g}})$. Therefore, if the map of (*) was 0 on the generators, it would also annihilate the augmentation ideal in $H^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}/G)$. However, we have the following assertion:

Theorem 8.11.1. *The map*

$$H^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}/G) \simeq H^\bullet_{G[[t]]}(\mathrm{pt}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\,\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}, \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}})$$

corresponds under the isomorphism of Theorem 8.9.1 to the map

$$H^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}/G) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{g}})^{\check{G}} \overset{\tau}{\simeq} \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{g}})^{\check{G}} \to \mathcal{P}_{\check{G},\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \overset{\check{G}}{\times} \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{g}}) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}),$$

where τ is as in Sect. 7.6.

The proof of this theorem will be given in the next section.

9. A manipulation with equivariant cohomology: proof of Theorem 8.11.1

9.1. We will consider the algebra of self-Exts of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}$ in a category bigger than $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$, namely, in the category $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\check{B},\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$ be the canonical $\check{B}$-torsor on the scheme $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$, and let $\mathcal{P}_{\check{B},\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$ be its restriction to $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$. We will denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\check{B},\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\check{B},\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ the corresponding $\check{B}$-torsors on $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}})$, respectively. If V is a representation of $\check{B}$ (in practice we will take $V = \check{\mathfrak{b}}, \check{\mathfrak{n}}, \check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}}$, etc.), we will denote by $V_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, $V_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ the corresponding modules over $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, respectively.

Recall that by Corollary 4.5.4, the image of the normal $N_{\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}\,/\,\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}}$ in the quotient

$$N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}/\Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}})$$

identifies with $\check{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \subset \check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$. From the proof of Theorem 8.9.1 we obtain the following statement.

Lemma 9.1.1. *The natural map*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\,\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}, \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\,\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}, \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}})$$

induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet\left((\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\right) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\,\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}, \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}).$$

By the equivariance of the map in (*) with respect to the algebroid $N^*_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, the image of $H^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}/G)$ in $\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}})$ is *a priori* contained in the subalgebra $\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{g}})^{\check{G}}$. Hence, it is sufficient to show that the composition

$$\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{h}})^W \simeq H^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}/G) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}},\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet\left((\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\right)$$

equals the natural map

$$\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{h}})^W \overset{\tau}{\simeq} \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{h}})^W \to \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{h}}) \to \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet\left((\check{\mathfrak{b}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet\left((\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\right).$$

9.2. Consider now the module $\mathbb{M}_0 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}^I_{\mathrm{nilp}}$. Since $\mathrm{Av}_{G[[t]]/I}(\mathbb{M}_0) \simeq \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}$, by Sect. 22.10, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}},\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I}}(\mathbb{M}_0,\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}).$$

It is easy to see that the composition

$$H^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}/G[[t]]) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}},\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I}}(\mathbb{M}_0,\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}})$$

equals the map

$$H^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}/G[[t]]) \to H^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}/I) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I}}(\mathbb{M}_0,\mathbb{M}_0) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I}}(\mathbb{M}_0,\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}).$$

By Corollary 13.3.2, the module $\mathbb{M}_0$ is flat over $\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Hence, by Lemma 23.1.2

$$\mathrm{RHom}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I}}(\mathbb{M}_0,\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{I}}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathcal{M})$$

for any $\mathcal{M} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}^I_{\mathrm{reg}}$, where $\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}} := \mathbb{M}_0 \underset{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Moreover, the map

$$H^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}/I) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I}}(\mathbb{M}_0,\mathbb{M}_0) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I}}(\mathbb{M}_0,\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}})$$

that appears above, equals the map

$$\begin{gathered} H^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}/I) \to \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{I}}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}}) \to \\ \to \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{I}}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I}}(\mathbb{M}_0,\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}). \end{gathered}$$

Thus, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}/G[[t]]) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}/I) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet\left((\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\right) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{I}}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

and it is easy to see that the resulting map

$$\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet(\check{\mathfrak{h}}) \simeq H^\bullet(\mathrm{pt}/I) \to \mathrm{Sym}^\bullet\left((\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\right)$$

is a homomorphism of algebras.

Therefore, it suffices to show that the map

$$\begin{gathered} \check{\mathfrak{h}} \simeq H^2(\mathrm{pt}/I) \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{I}}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}}) \to \\ \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{I}}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \simeq (\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \end{gathered}$$

equals the negative of the tautological map $\check{\mathfrak{h}} \to (\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an arbitrary I-equivariant object of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$. One easily establishes the following compatibility of spectral sequences:

Lemma 9.2.1. *The composition*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^I}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})$$

equals the composition

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) \otimes H^2(\mathrm{pt}/I) \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^I}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}).$$

Therefore, to complete the proof of Theorem 8.11.1, it is sufficient to construct a map $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}})$ such that the composition

$$\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}}) \otimes H^2(\mathrm{pt}/I)$$

comes from the natural isomorphism $\mathfrak{h}^* \to H^2(\mathrm{pt}/I)$, and the composition

$$\check{\mathfrak{h}} \simeq \mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to$$

$$\to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} (\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$$

equals the negative of the embedding $\check{\mathfrak{h}} \to (\check{\mathfrak{b}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

9.3. The required map $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}})$ is constructed as follows. By deforming the highest weight, we obtain the "universal" Verma module $U(\mathfrak{g}) \underset{U(\mathfrak{n})}{\otimes} \mathbb{C} =: M_{\mathrm{univ}}$, and the corresponding induced module $\mathbb{M}_{\mathrm{univ}}$ over $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$. In particular, we have a map $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{M}_0,\mathbb{M}_0)$.

Evidently, the composition $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{M}_0,\mathbb{M}_0) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{M}_0,\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}})$ factors canonically through $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}})$.

The fact that the composition $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}}) \otimes H^2(\mathrm{pt}/I)$ comes from $\mathfrak{h}^* \to H^2(\mathrm{pt}/I)$ follows from the corresponding property of the composition $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}}(M_0,M_0) \to \mathrm{Hom}(M_0,M_0) \otimes H^2(\mathrm{pt}/I)$.

Consider the composition $\check{\mathfrak{h}} \simeq \mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} (\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$. This map is equivariant with respect to the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$. In particular, if we choose a coordinate on $\mathcal{D}$, the above map has degree 0 with respect to the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ by loop rotations. Since $\check{\mathfrak{h}}$ equals the degree 0 subspace of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}},\mathbb{M}_{0,\mathrm{reg}}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} (\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ (see Sect. 4.7), we obtain that the map in question factors through *some* map $\check{\mathfrak{h}} \to \check{\mathfrak{h}}$.

To prove that the latter map is in fact the negative of the identity, we proceed as follows. By Sect. 2.5, we have an identification $\mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$. Moreover, by Lemma 4.5.5 and Proposition 7.6.1 the composition

$$\mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}})} \to N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}})} \to (\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}},$$

maps identically onto $\mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset (\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

Now, our assertion follows from the fact, that the map

$$\mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{M}_0,\mathbb{M}_0) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{M}_0,\mathbb{M}_0) \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$$

equals the negative of

$$\mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \xrightarrow{1\otimes \mathrm{id}} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{M}_0,\mathbb{M}_0) \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{RS}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{M}_0,\mathbb{M}_0) \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$$

by Proposition 7.6.1.

Part III. **Wakimoto modules**

In this Part we review the Wakimoto modules which were introduced for an arbitrary affine Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in [FF1, FF2, F] following the work of Wakimoto [W] in the case of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$. On the intuitive level, Wakimoto modules are sections of certain D-modules on the Iwahori orbits on the semi-infinite flag manifold $G(\!(t)\!)/B(\!(t)\!)$. The construction of [FF1, FF2, F] may be phrased in terms of a kind of semi-infinite induction functor, as we explain below. This approach to the Wakimoto modules is similar to the one discussed in [Ar, Vor, GMS]. It uses the formalism of chiral algebras, and in particular, the chiral algebra of differential operators on the group G. It also uses the language of semi-infinite cohomology, which was introduced by Feigin [Fe] and, in the setting of chiral algebras, by Beilinson and Drinfeld [CHA].

Let $\overset{\circ}{G}$ be the big cell $B \cdot w_0 \cdot B \subset G$, and for an arbitrary level κ we consider the chiral algebra $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G})_\kappa$ of chiral differential operators on it. In Sect. 10 we define the chiral algebra $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/N})_\kappa$ as a BRST reduction of $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G})_\kappa$ with respect to $\mathfrak{n}(\!(t)\!)$. This chiral algebra can be thought of as governing D-modules on the big cell in $G(\!(t)\!)/N(\!(t)\!)$; we show that the natural homomorphism to it from the chiral algebra, corresponding to the Kac-Moody Lie algebra, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$ coincides with the free field realization homomorphism of Feigin and Frenkel.

By construction, any chiral module over $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/N})_\kappa$ is a bi-module over $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$ and the Heisenberg algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_{-\kappa+\kappa_{\mathrm{crit}}}$. In Sect. 11 for any such module, we define the induction functor from the category $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\kappa-\kappa_{\mathrm{crit}}}$–mod to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$–mod. The resulting $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$-modules are by definition the Wakimoto modules. Thus, Wakimoto modules can be viewed as induced from $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\kappa-\kappa_{\mathrm{crit}}}$ to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$, using certain bi-modules.

In Sect. 12 we study cohomological properties of Wakimoto modules and, in particular, their behavior with respect to the convolution functors. The crucial result that we need below is Proposition 12.5.1 that states that Wakimoto modules are essentially invariant under convolution with "lattice" elements in the Iwahori-Hecke algebra.

In Sect. 13 we specialize to the case $\kappa = \kappa_{\mathrm{crit}}$. The crucial result here, due to [F], is that certain Wakimoto modules are isomorphic to Verma modules over $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$. This fact will allow us to obtain information about the structure of Verma modules that will be used in the subsequent sections.

10. FREE FIELD REALIZATION

In what follows we will use the language of chiral algebras on a curve X, developed in [CHA]. We will fix a point $x \in X$ and identify $\mathfrak{D}_X$-modules supported at this point with underlying vector spaces. We will identify the formal disc $\mathcal{D}$ with the formal neighborhood of x in X.

10.1. Let L be a Lie-* algebra, which we assume to be projective and finitely generated as a $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module. Recall that there exists a canonical Tate central extension of L, which is a Lie-* algebra $\widehat{L}^{\mathrm{Tate}}$

$$0 \to \omega_X \to \widehat{L}^{\mathrm{Tate}} \to L \to 0,$$

see [CHA], Sect. 2.7. The key property of $\widehat{L}^{\mathrm{Tate}}$ is that if $\mathcal{M}$ is a chiral module over $\widehat{L}^{-\,\mathrm{Tate}}$ (here "$-$" signifies the Baer negative central extension), then we have a well-defined complex of $\mathfrak{D}_X$-modules, denoted $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L, \mathcal{M})$, which we will refer to as the semi-infinite complex of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to L. We will denote by $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L, \mathcal{M})$ (resp., $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(L, \mathcal{M})$) the 0-th (resp., i-th) cohomology of this complex.

If $\mathcal{M}$ is supported at the point $x \in X$, by definition, $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L, \mathcal{M})$ is given by the semi-infinite complex of the Tate Lie algebra $H^0_{DR}(\mathcal{D}^\times, L)$ with respect to the lattice $H^0_{DR}(\mathcal{D}, L) \subset H^0_{DR}(\mathcal{D}^\times, L)$.

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a chiral algebra with a homomorphism $\widehat{L}^{-\,\mathrm{Tate}} \to \mathcal{A}$, then $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L, \mathcal{A})$ has a natural structure of a DG chiral algebra

Let now L' and L'' be two central extensions of L by ω_X, whose Baer sum is identified with $\widehat{L}^{-\,\mathrm{Tate}}$, and let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$ be L'- and L''-modules, respectively. Then $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$ is a module over $\widehat{L}^{-\,\mathrm{Tate}}$, and in this case we will use the notation

$$\mathcal{M} \overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\underset{L}{\otimes}} \mathcal{M}' \text{ or } \mathcal{M} \overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\underset{H^0_{DR}(\mathcal{D}^\times, L), H^0_{DR}(\mathcal{D}, L)}{\otimes}} \mathcal{M}'$$

instead of $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}')$. If the latter is acyclic away from cohomological degree 0 we will denote by the same symbol the corresponding 0-th cohomology.

Finally, let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a finite-dimensional subspace in $H^0_{DR}(X, L)$. In this case, $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L, \mathcal{M})$ admits a subcomplex $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L; \mathfrak{h}, \mathcal{M})$ of relative cochains. We will sometimes also use the notation $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L; \mathfrak{h}, \cdot)$ and $\cdot \overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\underset{L;\mathfrak{h}}{\otimes}} \cdot$.

10.2. Let $L_{\mathfrak{g}}$, $L_{\mathfrak{b}}$ and $L_{\mathfrak{n}}$ be the Lie-* algebras, corresponding to the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$, respectively. For a level κ, we will denote by $L_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa}$ the corresponding Kac-Moody extension of $L_{\mathfrak{g}}$ by ω_X, and by $L_{\mathfrak{b},\kappa}$ the induced central extension of $L_{\mathfrak{b}}$. Let $\widehat{L}^{\mathrm{Tate}}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ be the Tate extension of $L_{\mathfrak{b}}$, and let $\widehat{L}'_{\mathfrak{b},\kappa}$ be the Baer sum of $\widehat{L}^{\mathrm{Tate}}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ and $L_{\mathfrak{b},\kappa'}$, where $\kappa' = -\kappa - 2\kappa_{\mathrm{crit}}$; let $\widehat{L}_{\mathfrak{b},\kappa}$ be the Baer negative of $\widehat{L}'_{\mathfrak{b},\kappa}$.

Since $\kappa'|_{\mathfrak{n}} = 0$, the extension induced by $L_{\mathfrak{b},\kappa'}$ on $L_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is canonically trivialized. The extension induced by $\widehat{L}^{\mathrm{Tate}}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is also canonically trivialized, since $\mathfrak{n}$ is nilpotent. Hence, $\widehat{L}_{\mathfrak{b},\kappa}$ comes from a well-defined central extension $\widehat{L}_{\mathfrak{h},\kappa}$ of the commutative Lie-* algebra $L_{\mathfrak{h}}$. We will denote by $\widehat{L}'_{\mathfrak{h},\kappa}$ the Baer negative of $\widehat{L}_{\mathfrak{h},\kappa}$.

Note that when κ is integral, the above central extensions of Lie algebras $H^0_{DR}(\mathcal{D}^\times, ?)$ all come from the corresponding central extensions of loop groups.

We will denote by $\mathfrak{H}_\kappa$ (resp., $\mathfrak{H}'_\kappa$) the reduced universal enveloping chiral algebra of $\widehat{L}_{\mathfrak{h},\kappa}$ (resp., $\widehat{L}'_{\mathfrak{h},\kappa}$). We will denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa}$ the reduced universal enveloping chiral algebra of $L_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a chiral $L_{\mathfrak{b},\kappa'}$-module. Since the Tate extension of $L_{\mathfrak{b}}$, induced by the adjoint action equals the extension induced by the adjoint action on $L_{\mathfrak{n}}$, the complex $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_{\mathfrak{n}}, \mathcal{M})$ carries a chiral action of $\widehat{L}'_{\mathfrak{b},\kappa}$. The resulting action of $L_{\mathfrak{n}} \subset \widehat{L}'_{\mathfrak{b},\kappa}$ on the individual semi-infinite cohomologies $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(L_{\mathfrak{n}}, \mathcal{M})$ is trivial. Hence, we obtain that each $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(L_{\mathfrak{n}}, \mathcal{M})$ is a chiral $\mathfrak{H}'_\kappa$-module. If $\mathcal{R}$ is an $\mathfrak{H}_\kappa$-module, regarded as a $\widehat{L}_{\mathfrak{b},\kappa}$-module, $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_{\mathfrak{b}}, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{R})$ makes sense. If we suppose, moreover, that $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_{\mathfrak{n}}, \mathcal{M})$ is acyclic away from degree 0, then

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_{\mathfrak{b}}, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{R}) \simeq H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_{\mathfrak{n}}, \mathcal{M}) \overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\underset{L_{\mathfrak{h}}}{\otimes}} \mathcal{R}.$$

10.3. Recall now that for any level κ we can introduce the chiral algebra of differential operators (CADO) $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(G)_\kappa$, which admits two mutually commuting homomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(G)_\kappa \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa'} : \mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Let $\overset{\circ}{G}$ denote the open Bruhat cell $B \cdot w_0 \cdot B \subset G$, where w_0 is the longest element of the Weyl group. We will denote by $\overset{\circ}{G/N}$, $\overset{\circ}{G/B}$ the corresponding open subsets in G/N and G/B, respectively.

Let $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G})_\kappa$ be the induced CADO on $\overset{\circ}{G}$. Consider the chiral DG algebra $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_{\mathfrak{n}}, \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G})_\kappa)$, where we take $L_{\mathfrak{n}}$ mapping to $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G})_\kappa$ via

$$\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G})_\kappa \leftarrow \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(G)_\kappa \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa'} \leftarrow L_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa'} \leftarrow L_{\mathfrak{n}}.$$

Since $\overset{\circ}{G} \to \overset{\circ}{G/N}$ is a principal N-bundle, from [CHA], Sect. 2.8.16 we obtain the following

Lemma 10.3.1. *The complex* $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_{\mathfrak{n}}, \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G})_\kappa)$ *is acyclic away from degree zero, and the resulting chiral algebra is a CADO on* $\overset{\circ}{G/N}$*.*

Let us denote $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_{\mathfrak{n}}, \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G})_\kappa)$ by $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/N})_\kappa$. By construction, we have a homomorphism of chiral algebras

$$\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/N})_\kappa \leftarrow \mathfrak{H}'_\kappa,$$

which we will denote by $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{h}}$. We define the chiral algebra $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_\kappa$ as the Lie-* centralizer of $\mathfrak{H}'_\kappa$ in $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/N})_\kappa$. The map $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(G)_\kappa$ induces a homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_\kappa. \tag{10.1}$$

Again, by construction, we have a canonical map

$$\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_\kappa \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/N})_\kappa \overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\underset{L_{\mathfrak{h}};\mathfrak{h}}{\otimes}} \mathfrak{H}_\kappa. \tag{10.2}$$

Lemma 10.3.2. *The map in* (10.2) *is an isomorphism.*

The proof will become clear from the discussion in the next section.

10.4. Note that $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_\kappa$ is not a CADO on $\overset{\circ}{G/B}$. We will now give a more explicit, even if less canonical, description of the chiral algebras $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/N})_\kappa$, $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_\kappa$ and the free field realization homomorphism.

Let us choose a representative of w_0 in W and identify the variety $\overset{\circ}{G} \simeq N \cdot w_0 \cdot B$ with the product $N \times B$, endowed with the action on N on the left and of B on the right. Then $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G})_\kappa$ becomes a CADO on this group, isomorphic to $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N) \otimes \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(B)_{\kappa'}$. We will denote the existing maps

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N) \subset \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G})_\kappa \text{ and } \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{b},\kappa'} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(B)_{\kappa'} \subset \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G})_\kappa$$

by $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{b}}$, respectively, and the "new" maps, as in [AG1],

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N) \subset \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G})_\kappa \text{ and } \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathfrak{b},\kappa} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(B)_{\kappa'} \subset \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G})_\kappa$$

by $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{n}}$, $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{b}}$, respectively, where $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathfrak{b},\kappa}$ is the reduced chiral universal envelope of $\widehat{L}_{\mathfrak{b},\kappa}$.

Then

$$\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G}/N)_\kappa \simeq \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N) \otimes \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ch}}(H)_\kappa, \tag{10.3}$$

where $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ch}}(H)_\kappa$ is a CADO on H with the maps

$$\mathfrak{H}_\kappa \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{h}} \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ch}}(H)_\kappa \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{r}_\mathfrak{h}} \mathfrak{H}'_\kappa.$$

As usual, the centralizer of $\mathfrak{H}'_\kappa$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ch}}(H)_\kappa$ is $\mathfrak{H}_\kappa$, and we obtain that

$$\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G}/B)_\kappa \simeq \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N) \otimes \mathfrak{H}_\kappa.$$

The above isomorphism makes the assertion of Lemma 10.3.2 manifest: indeed, it follows from the fact that $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ch}}(H)_\kappa \underset{L_\mathfrak{h};\mathfrak{h}}{\overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\otimes}} \mathfrak{H}_\kappa \simeq \mathfrak{H}_\kappa$, see Sect. 22.6.

Homomorphism (10.1) therefore gives rise to a homomorphism from the affine Kac-Moody algebra to the tensor product of the chiral algebras $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N)$ and $\mathfrak{H}_\kappa$:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N) \otimes \mathfrak{H}_\kappa. \tag{10.4}$$

This is the *free field realization* homomorphism of [FF2, F].

The CADO $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N)$ may be identified with what physicists call the free field $\beta\gamma$ system, and $\mathfrak{H}_\kappa$ is a twisted form of a Heisenberg algebra, which is also related to a free bosonic system. That is why the homomorphism (10.4) is referred to as free field realization.

10.5. Let us now explain in what sense the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G}/B)_\kappa \simeq \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N) \otimes \mathfrak{H}_\kappa \tag{10.5}$$

above is an affine analog (i.e., chiralization) of a well-known phenomenon for finite-dimensional Lie algebras. We will appeal to notations introduced in [AG1].

Consider the variety $\overset{\circ}{G} \simeq N \times B$ with an action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on the left. This action defines a map $\mathfrak{g} \to T(\overset{\circ}{G}/N)$, whose image consists of vector fields, that are invariant with respect to the action of $H \simeq B/N$ on the right. Since the Lie algebra of such vector fields is isomorphic to $T(N) \oplus (\mathrm{Fun}(N) \otimes \mathfrak{h})$, we obtain a map

$$\mathfrak{g} \to T(N) \oplus (\mathrm{Fun}(N) \otimes \mathfrak{h})\,. \tag{10.6}$$

The restriction of this map to $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the homomorphism $\mathfrak{l}_n \to T(N)$. The restriction to $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the sum of two maps: one is $\mathfrak{h} \to T(N)$, corresponding to the natural adjoint of H on N, and the other is the identity map $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathrm{Fun}(N) \otimes \mathfrak{h}$, twisted by w_0.

The map of (10.6) can be chiralized in a straightforward way, and we obtain a map of Lie-* algebras

$$L_\mathfrak{g} \to \Theta(N) \oplus \big(\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Jets}(N)) \otimes L_\mathfrak{h}\big), \tag{10.7}$$

where for an affine scheme Y, we denote by $\mathrm{Jets}(Y)$ the $\mathfrak{D}_X$-scheme of jets into Y, and $\Theta(Y)$ denotes the tangent algebroid on this $\mathfrak{D}_X$-scheme. By construction, we have

Lemma 10.5.1. *The image of $L_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa}$ under (10.5) belongs to*

$$\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N)^{\leq 1} \oplus \big(\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Jets}(N)) \otimes (\mathfrak{H}_\kappa)^{\leq 1}\big),$$

where $(\cdot)^{\leq i}$ *denotes the PBW filtration. The composition*

$$\begin{aligned} &L_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N)^{\leq 1} \oplus \big(\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Jets}(N)) \otimes (\mathfrak{H}_\kappa)^{\leq 1}\big) \to \\ &\big(\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N)^{\leq 1}/\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N)^{\leq 0}\big) \oplus \big(\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Jets}(N) \otimes \big((\mathfrak{H}_\kappa)^{\leq 1}/(\mathfrak{H}_\kappa)^{\leq 0}\big)\big) \simeq \\ &\simeq \Theta(N) \oplus \big(\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Jets}(N)) \otimes L_{\mathfrak{h}}\big) \end{aligned}$$

factors through $L_{\mathfrak{g}}$ *and equals the map of* (10.7).

10.6. For the remainder of this section we will specialize to the case when $\kappa = \kappa_{\mathrm{crit}}$. The following basic fact is established in [CHA], Sect. 2.8.17.

Proposition 10.6.1. *The Lie-* algebra* $\widehat{L}'_{\mathfrak{h},\kappa}$ *is commutative if and only if* $\kappa = \kappa_{\mathrm{crit}}$*. In this case there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \simeq \mathrm{Conn}_{\check{H}}(\omega_X^{\rho})^{\mathfrak{D}},$$

respecting the torsor structure on both sides with respect to the D-scheme of $\mathfrak{h}^*$*-values* 1*-forms on* X*.*

Since $\mathfrak{H}'_{\mathrm{crit}}$ is commutative, it is contained as a chiral subalgebra in $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_{\mathrm{crit}}$, moreover from (10.2) we infer:

$$\mathfrak{H}'_{\mathrm{crit}} \simeq \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_{\mathrm{crit}}). \tag{10.8}$$

Since $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}}$ is commutative as well, from the isomorphism (10.2) we obtain that there exists a homomorphism (which is easily seen to be an isomorphism) from $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}}$ to $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_{\mathrm{crit}})$.

Lemma 10.6.2. *The resulting homomorphism* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}} \to \mathfrak{H}'_{\mathrm{crit}}$ *comes from the sign-inversion isomorphism* $L_{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{crit}} \to L'_{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{crit}}$ *of commutative Lie-* algebras.*

10.7. We will now study the homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{crit}} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_{\mathrm{crit}}. \tag{10.9}$$

Proposition 10.7.1. *The centralizer of* $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},crit}$ *in* $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_{\mathrm{crit}}$ *equals* $\mathfrak{H}'_{\mathrm{crit}}$*.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{H}'_{\mathrm{crit}}$ is the center of the chiral algebra $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_{\mathrm{crit}}$, the fact that it centralizes the image of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},crit}$ is evident.

To prove the inclusion in the opposite direction, we will establish a stronger fact. Namely, that the centralizer in $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_{\mathrm{crit}}$ of the image of $L_{\mathfrak{n}} + \mathfrak{h}$ is already contained in $\mathfrak{H}'_{\mathrm{crit}}$.

Using the description of $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_{\mathrm{crit}}$ given in Sect. 10.4, we obtain that the centralizer of $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}})$ in it equals $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}) \otimes \mathfrak{H}'_{\mathrm{crit}}$, in the notation of *loc.cit.*

Consider now the action of $\mathfrak{h} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{crit}})$ on $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/N})_{\mathrm{crit}} \simeq \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N) \otimes \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ch}}(H)_{\mathrm{crit}}$. By Sect. 10.5, this action decomposes as a tensor product of the natural adjoint action on $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N)$, and the action on $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ch}}(H)_\kappa$ given by $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{h}}$, twisted by w_0. Since $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ch}}(H)_\kappa$ is commutative, the resulting action of $\mathfrak{h}$ on $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_{\mathrm{crit}} \simeq \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N) \otimes \mathfrak{H}'_{\mathrm{crit}}$ is the adjoint action along the first factor.

This implies our assertion since $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}})^{\mathfrak{h}} \simeq \mathbb{C}$, as $\mathfrak{h}$ acts on $\mathfrak{n}$, and hence on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{n}}$, by characters, which belong to the positive span of Δ^+.

□

10.8. Consider now the composition

$$\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{crit}}) \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_{\mathrm{crit}}.$$

From Proposition 10.7.1 we immediately obtain the following result.

Corollary 10.8.1. *The image of* $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ *is contained in* $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_{\mathrm{crit}}) = \mathfrak{H}'_{\mathrm{crit}}$.

Thus, we obtain a homomorphism of commutative chiral algebras

$$\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{H}'_{\mathrm{crit}} \simeq \mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}}. \tag{10.10}$$

Let us now recall that ultimate form of the isomorphism statement of [FF3, F] (see Theorem 11.3 of [F]):

Theorem 10.8.2. *There exists a canonical isomorphism of commutative chiral algebras* $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(X))$ *such that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(X)^{\mathfrak{D}}) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{MT}^*"'] \\
\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}} \arrow[r, "\sim"] & \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Conn}_{\check{H}}(\omega_X^{\rho})^{\mathfrak{D}})
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, where the left vertical arrow is the map of (10.10)*, the right vertical arrow is the Miura transformation of* (3.3)*, and the bottom horizontal arrow is the isomorphism of Proposition 10.6.1, composed with the automorphism, induced by the automorphism* $\tau := \check{\lambda} \mapsto -w_0(\check{\lambda})$ *of* $\check{H}$.

10.9. To conclude this section let us return to the set-up of Sect. 10.5. Consider the map $\mathfrak{g} \to T(N)$, obtained by composing the map of (10.6) with the projection on the $T(N)$-factor.

It is well-known that lifts of this map to a homomorphism of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{D}(N)^{\leq 1}$, which on $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ induce the map $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{n}} : \mathfrak{n} \to T(N)$, are classified by characters of $\mathfrak{h}$ (and correspond to G-equivariant twistings on G/B). We would like to establish an affine analog of this statement.

The analog of characters of $\mathfrak{h}$ will be played by the set of chiral algebra homomorphisms $\psi : \mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}} \to \mathcal{O}_X$. For any such ψ, the composition

$$\phi : L_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{crit}} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N) \otimes \mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N)$$

is a Lie-* algebra homomorphism, satisfying:

- The image of ϕ belongs to $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N)^{\leq 1}$,
- The composition $L_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{crit}} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N) \to \Theta(N)$ equals the composition of the map (10.7), followed by the projection on the $\Theta(N)$-factor,
- The restriction of ϕ to $L_{\mathfrak{n}}$ equals $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Proposition 10.9.1. *Let* $L'_{\mathfrak{g}}$ *be a central extension of* $L_{\mathfrak{g}}$ *by means of* ω_X*, split over* $L_{\mathfrak{n}}$*, and let* $\phi : L'_{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N)$ *be a homomorphism of Lie-* algebras, satisfying the three properties above. Then* $L'_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq L_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{crit}}$ *and* ϕ *is obtained from some* $\psi : \mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}} \to \mathcal{O}_X$ *in the manner described above.*

Proof. First, since $L'_{\mathfrak{g}}$ splits over $L_{\mathfrak{n}}$, we obtain that as a $\mathfrak{D}_X$-module $L'_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq L_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \omega_X$. Let us show that the bracket on $L'_{\mathfrak{g}}$ corresponds to the critical pairing. For this, it is sufficient to calculate the bracket on $L_{\mathfrak{h}} \subset L_{\mathfrak{g}}$. However, since $L_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is commutative, the latter bracket is independent of the choice of a pair $(L'_{\mathfrak{g}}, \phi)$. Hence, we may choose the pair $L'_{\mathfrak{g}} = L_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{crit}}$ and a homomorphism corresponding to some homomorphism $\psi : \mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}} \to \mathcal{O}_X$. In the latter case, our assertion is clear.

Consider the set of all homomorphisms of chiral algebras $\psi : \mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}} \to \mathcal{O}_X$. By definition, this is a torsor over $\Gamma(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathfrak{h}^*)$. Consider now the space of homomorphisms $\phi_{\mathfrak b} : L_{\mathfrak{b},\mathrm{crit}} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N)^{\leq 1}$, satisfying the same three conditions as ϕ. This set is also a torsor over $\Gamma(X, \omega_X \otimes \mathfrak{h}^*)$. Moreover, it is easy to see that the map $\psi \mapsto \phi \mapsto \phi|_{L_{\mathfrak b}} =: \phi_{\mathfrak b}$ is a map of torsors.

Hence, for any ϕ as in the proposition, there exists a ψ, such that the two homomorphism $L_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{crit}} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N)^{\leq 1}$ coincide, when restricted to $L_{\mathfrak{b},\mathrm{crit}}$. We claim that in this case the two homomorphisms in question coincide on the entire of $L_{\mathfrak{g},\mathrm{crit}}$.

Indeed, let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be two such homomorphisms. Then $\phi_1 - \phi_2$ is a map $L_{\mathfrak g}/L_{\mathfrak b} \to \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Jets}(N)) \otimes \omega_X$. Let f be a section on $L_{\mathfrak{n}^-}$, and let e be a section of $L_{\mathfrak n}$ such that $[\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{f}] \in L_{\mathfrak h}$. We obtain that $[\phi_1(\mathsf{f}) - \phi_2(\mathsf{f}), \phi_{\mathfrak b}(\mathsf{e})] = 0$. Hence, the image of $\phi_1 - \phi_2$ consists of $L_{\mathfrak n}$-invariant sections of $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Jets}(N)) \otimes \omega_X$, and the latter subspace is ω_X.

Again, for f above, let h be a section of $L_{\mathfrak h}$ such that $[\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{h}] = c \cdot \mathsf{f}$, where c is a non-zero scalar. We obtain $[\phi_1(\mathsf{f}) - \phi_2(\mathsf{f}), \phi_{\mathfrak b}(\mathsf{h})] = c \cdot (\phi_1(\mathsf{f}) - \phi_2(\mathsf{f}))$. However, by the above, $\phi_1(\mathsf{f}) - \phi_2(\mathsf{f})$ is central. Hence, $c \cdot (\phi_1(\mathsf{f}) - \phi_2(\mathsf{f})) = 0$, implying our assertion.

□

11. Construction of Wakimoto modules

11.1. Homomorphism (10.1) allows us to produce representations of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa}$, i.e., $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$-modules, by restricting modules of $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_\kappa$. This should be regarded as a chiral analog of the construction of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules by taking sections of twisted D-modules on the big Schubert cell $\overset{\circ}{G/B}$.

In the applications, modules over $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_\kappa$ that we will consider are obtained using (10.2), from pairs of modules: $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/N})_\kappa$–mod, and $\mathcal{R} \in \mathfrak{H}_\kappa$–mod by taking $\mathcal{M} \overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\underset{L_{\mathfrak h}}{\otimes}} \mathcal{R}$. Let us describe the examples of $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_\kappa$-modules that we will consider.

11.2. First, note that if $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(Y)$ is a CADO on (the scheme of jets corresponding to) a smooth affine X-scheme Y, any left D-module on the scheme $Y[[t]]$ gives rise to a chiral module over $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(Y)$.

Indeed, if $\mathcal{F}$ is such a D-module, it (or, rather, the space of its global sections) is naturally a chiral module over $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Jets}(Y))$ and a Lie-* module over Θ_Y. In this case we can induce it and obtain a chiral module over $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(Y)$.

If $Y' \subset Y$ is a smooth locally closed subvariety, let us denote by $\mathrm{Dist}_Y(Y')$ the left D-module of distributions on Y' (i.e., the $*$-extension of the D-module $\mathrm{Fun}(Y')$), and let $\mathrm{Dist}_{Y[[t]]}(\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(Y'))$ denote the corresponding left D-module on $Y[[t]]$, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Dist}_{Y[[t]]}(\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(Y')) \simeq \mathrm{ev}^*(\mathrm{Dist}_Y(Y')).$$

Finally, let $\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_Y(\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(Y'))$ denote the resulting $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(Y)$-module.

Let us take $Y = \overset{\circ}{G}$ and for each element $w \in W$ consider $Y' = \mathrm{Ad}_{w_0 w^{-1}}(N) \cdot w_0 \cdot N \subset \overset{\circ}{G}$. For example, if $w = w_0$ we get the D-module of functions on $N \cdot w_0 \cdot N$, and if $w = 1$ we get the δ-function at $w_0 \cdot N$.

Note that $\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(N) = I^0$, so we obtain a left D-module $\mathrm{Dist}_{G[[t]]}(\mathrm{Ad}_{w_0 w^{-1}}(I^0) \cdot w_0 \cdot I^0)$ on $G[[t]]$ and $\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(\mathrm{Ad}_{w_0 w^{-1}}(I^0) \cdot w_0 \cdot I^0)_\kappa \in \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G})_\kappa$–mod. Consider the chiral $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/N})_\kappa$-module

$$\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{\overset{\circ}{G/N}}(\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}_{w_0 w^{-1}}(N) \cdot w_0))_\kappa := H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_{\mathfrak n}, \mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(\mathrm{Ad}_{w_0 w^{-1}}(I^0) \cdot w_0 \cdot I^0)_\kappa). \tag{11.1}$$

In other words, $\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{\overset{\circ}{G/N}}(\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}_{w_0w^{-1}}(N)\cdot w_0))_\kappa$ is obtained by the above construction for $Y=\overset{\circ}{G/N}$ and $Y'=\mathrm{Ad}_{w_0w^{-1}}(N)\cdot w_0\subset\overset{\circ}{G/N}$. From Sect. 10.4 we obtain that $\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{\overset{\circ}{G/N}}(\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}_{w_0w^{-1}}(N)\cdot w_0))_\kappa$ is indeed acyclic away from degree 0.

Moreover, as a module over $H^0_{DR}(\mathcal{D}^\times, L_{\mathfrak{n}}\oplus\widehat{L}'_{\mathfrak{h},\kappa})$, it is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_N(\mathrm{Ad}_{w_0w^{-1}}(N)\cap N)\otimes\mathrm{Ind}^{H^0_{DR}(\mathcal{D}^\times,\widehat{L}'_{\mathfrak{h},\kappa})}_{t\mathfrak{h}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}}\Big(\mathrm{Fun}\,(H(t\mathbb{C}[[t]]))\Big).$$

In particular, as a $H^0_{DR}(\mathcal{D}^\times,\widehat{L}'_{\mathfrak{h},\kappa})$-module, it is $H(t\mathbb{C}[[t]])$-integrable, and injective as a $H(t\mathbb{C}[[t]])$-representation. Furthermore, it is free over over $\mathfrak{h}[t^{-1}]$ for any choice of a splitting $\mathfrak{h}[t^{-1}]\to H^0_{DR}(\mathcal{D}^\times,\widehat{L}'_{\mathfrak{h},\kappa})$.

11.3. Now, for $w\in W$ and an $\mathfrak{H}_\kappa$-module $\mathcal{R}$ we define the (complex of) $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_\kappa$-modules

$$'\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R}):=\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{\overset{\circ}{G/N}}(\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}_{w_0w^{-1}}(N)\cdot w_0))_\kappa\overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\underset{\mathfrak{h}((t)),t\mathfrak{h}[[t]]}{\otimes}}\mathcal{R}. \tag{11.2}$$

Note that by the $H(t\mathbb{C}[[t]])$-integrability of $\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{\overset{\circ}{G/N}}(\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}_{w_0w^{-1}}(N)\cdot w_0))_\kappa$, we have

$$'\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R})\simeq{}'\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathrm{Av}_{H(t\mathbb{C}[[t]])}(\mathcal{R})), \tag{11.3}$$

where $\mathrm{Av}_{H(t\mathbb{C}[[t]])}$ denotes the averaging functor with respect to $H(t\mathbb{C}[[t]])$, see Sect. 20.7. Therefore, with no restriction of generality, we can (and will) assume that $\mathcal{R}$ is $H(t\mathbb{C}[[t]])$-integrable. Under this assumption, as a $\mathfrak{n}((t))$-module

$$'\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R})\simeq\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_N(\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(\mathrm{Ad}_{w_0w^{-1}}(N)\cap N))\otimes\mathcal{R}. \tag{11.4}$$

In particular, it is acyclic away from degree 0.

We restrict $'\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R})$ to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa}$ and obtain an object of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$–mod, denoted by the same symbol. Note that when defining $'\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R})$, we can avoid mentioning the chiral algebra $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_\kappa$. Namely,

$$'\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R})\simeq\Big(\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(\mathrm{Ad}_{w_0w^{-1}}(I^0)\cdot w_0\cdot I^0)_\kappa\Big)\overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\underset{\mathfrak{b}((t)),\mathfrak{n}[[t]]+t\mathfrak{h}[[t]]}{\otimes}}\mathcal{R}.$$

The $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(G)_\kappa$-module $\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(\mathrm{Ad}_{w_0w^{-1}}(I^0)\cdot w_0\cdot I^0)_\kappa$ is by construction equivariant with respect to the group $\mathrm{Ad}_{w_0w^{-1}}(I^0)$, when we think of the action on $G((t))$ on itself by left multiplication. Let $\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0\cdot w\cdot I^0)_\kappa$ be the chiral $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\kappa}$-module, obtained from the module $\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(\mathrm{Ad}_{w_0w^{-1}}(I^0)\cdot w_0\cdot I^0)_\kappa$ by applying the left shift by $w\cdot w_0$.

Set

$$\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R}):=\Big(\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0\cdot w\cdot I^0)_\kappa\Big)\overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\underset{\mathfrak{b}((t)),\mathfrak{n}[[t]]+t\mathfrak{h}[[t]]}{\otimes}}\mathcal{R}. \tag{11.5}$$

This is what we will call the Wakimoto module of type w corresponding to the $\mathfrak{H}_\kappa$-module $\mathcal{R}$.

Tautologically, as a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$-module, $\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R})$ is obtained from $'\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R})$ by the automorphism Ad_{ww_0} of $\mathfrak{g}$, and it is I^0-equivariant. Note, however, that $\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R})$ does not come by restriction from a $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(\overset{\circ}{G/B})_\kappa$-module, unless $w=w_0$.

We have a description of $\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R})$ similar to (11.4), but with respect to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}^{ww_0}((t))$, where we set $\mathfrak{n}^w:=\mathrm{Ad}_w(\mathfrak{n})$, $N^w=\mathrm{Ad}_w(N)$. Namely,

$$\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R})\simeq\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{N^{ww_0}}\left(\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(N^{ww_0}\cap N)\right)\otimes\mathcal{R} \tag{11.6}$$

11.4. Assume now that the $\mathfrak{H}_\kappa$-module $\mathcal{R}$ is $H[[t]]$-integrable. (Having already the assumption that it is $H(t\mathbb{C}[[t]])$-integrable, this amounts to requiring that $\mathfrak{h}$ acts semi-simply with eigenvalues corresponding to integral weights.) We claim that in this case the module $\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R})$ is I-integrable.

Indeed, let us instead of $\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0\cdot w\cdot I^0)_\kappa$ take the chiral $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(G)_\kappa$-module

$$\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0\cdot w\cdot I)_\kappa.$$

As an object of $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(G)_\kappa$ –mod, it is clearly I-integrable with respect to both left and right action of $G((t))$ on itself.

Consider $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_{\mathfrak{n}},\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}(I^0\cdot w\cdot I)_\kappa)$. This is a $\mathfrak{H}'_\kappa$-module, which is $H[[t]]$-integrable and injective as an $H[[t]]$-module.

One easily checks that $\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R})$ is isomorphic to

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}\left(L_{\mathfrak{n}},\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}(I^0\cdot w\cdot I)_\kappa\right)\overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\underset{L_{\mathfrak{h}};\mathfrak{h}}{\otimes}}\mathcal{R},$$

which is manifestly I-integrable.

11.5. For a weight $\lambda\in\mathfrak{h}^*$ consider the 1-dimensional Lie-* module over $\widehat{L}_{\mathfrak{h},\kappa}$ corresponding to the character λ. Let us denote by π_λ the induced chiral module over $\mathfrak{H}_\kappa$.

For future use we introduce the notation

$$\mathbb{W}^w_{\kappa,\lambda}:=\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\pi_{w^{-1}(\lambda+\rho)+\rho}).\tag{11.7}$$

Observe that the definition of $\mathbb{W}^w_{\kappa,\lambda}$ can be rewritten as

$$\left(H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}\left(\mathfrak{n}((t)),\mathfrak{n}[[t]],\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0\cdot w\cdot I^0)_\kappa\right)^{t\mathfrak{h}[[t]]}\right)\underset{\mathfrak{h}}{\otimes}\mathbb{C}^{w^{-1}(\lambda+\rho)+\rho}.$$

Let M^w_λ be the $\mathfrak{g}$-module equal to $\mathrm{Dist}_G(N\cdot w\cdot N)\underset{\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{n}}{\otimes}\mathbb{C}^{w^{-1}(\lambda+\rho)+\rho}$. Note that when $w=1$, M^w_λ is the Verma module M_λ, and when $w=w_0$, M^w_λ is the dual Verma $M^\vee_\lambda$. In general, M^w_λ has always highest weight λ, and it is characterized by the property that it is free with respect to the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}^{ww_0}\cap\mathfrak{n}^-$ and co-free with respect to $\mathfrak{n}^{ww_0}\cap\mathfrak{n}$.

Set $\mathbb{M}^w_{\kappa,\lambda}:=\mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa}_{\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(M^w_\lambda)$ be the induced $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$-module. We claim that we always have a map

$$\mathbb{M}^w_{\kappa,\lambda}\to\mathbb{W}^w_{\kappa,\lambda}.\tag{11.8}$$

This amounts to constructing a map of $\mathfrak{g}[[t]]$-modules $M^w_\lambda\to\mathbb{W}^w_{\kappa,\lambda}$. We have

$$M^w_\lambda\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Dist}_{G[[t]]}(I^0\cdot w\cdot I^0)\underset{\mathfrak{b}[[t]],\mathfrak{n}[[t]]+t\mathfrak{h}[[t]]}{\otimes}\mathbb{C}^{w^{-1}(\lambda+\rho)+\rho}\hookrightarrow$$
$$\left(\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0\cdot w\cdot I^0)_\kappa\right)^{\mathfrak{n}[[t]]+t\mathfrak{h}[[t]]}\underset{\mathfrak{h}}{\otimes}\mathbb{C}^{w^{-1}(\lambda+\rho)+\rho},$$

which maps to the required semi-infinite cohomology.

12. Convolution action on Wakimoto modules

12.1. In this section we will apply the formalism of convolution functors

$$\star : \mathfrak{D}(G/K)_\kappa\text{–mod}^{K'} \times D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa\text{–mod})^K \to D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa\text{–mod})^{K'},$$

where K, K' are subgroups of $G[[t]]$ to derive some additional properties of Wakimoto modules.

The subgroups that we will use will be either I^0 or $G^{(1)}$, the first congruence sub group in $G[[t]]$, and if κ is integral, also I. When a confusion is likely to occur, we will use the notation $\cdot \underset{K}{\star} \cdot$ to emphasize which equivariant derived category we are working in, see Sect. 22.5. We will identify D-modules on G (resp., G/N, G/B) with the corresponding κ-twisted D-modules on $G((t))/G^{(1)}$ (resp., $\widetilde{\mathrm{Fl}}_G = G((t))/I^0$, $\mathrm{Fl}_G = G((t))/I$).

Another two pieces of notation that we will need are as follows. If $\mathbf{g}$ is a point of $G((t))$, and $\mathcal{F}$ an object of an arbitrary category with a Harish-Chandra action of $G((t))$ at level κ, we will denote by $\delta_{\mathbf{g}} \star \mathcal{F}$ the twist of $\mathcal{F}$ by $\mathbf{g}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is equivariant with respect to a congruence subgroup $K \subset G[[t]]$, then

$$\delta_{\mathbf{g}} \star \mathcal{F} \simeq \delta_{\mathbf{g}_{G((t))/K}} \underset{K}{\star} \mathcal{F},$$

where $\delta_{\mathbf{g}_{G((t))/K}}$ is the unique κ-twisted D-module on $G((t))/K$, whose !-fiber at the point $\mathbf{g}_{G((t))/K} \in G((t))/K$ is $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $\mathbf{U}$ be a pro-unipotent subgroup such that $\kappa|_{\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{U})}$ is trivial. Then for $\mathcal{F}$ as above, $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbf{U}} \star \mathcal{F}$ will denote the same thing as $\mathrm{Av}_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{F})$. In other words, if $\mathcal{F}$ is equivariant with respect to some unipotent $K \subset G[[t]]$ containing a congruence subgroup, and $\mathbf{U}' = \mathbf{U} \cap K$, then

$$\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbf{U}} \star \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathrm{Dist}_{G((t))/K}(\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{U}')_\kappa \underset{K}{\star} \mathcal{F} \otimes \det\left(\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{U})/\,\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{U}')[1]\right)^{\otimes -1},$$

where $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{U}'}$ denotes the cohomologically shifted D-module on $\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{U}'$, corresponding via Riemann-Hilbert to the *constant sheaf* on $\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{U}'$, and $\mathrm{Dist}_{G((t))/K}(\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{U}')_\kappa$ is the unique κ-twisted D-module on $G((t))/K$, supported on $\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{U}' \subset G((t))/K$, and whose !-restriction to this subscheme is $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{U}')$, see Sect. 21.6.

We will use the following observation:

Lemma 12.1.1. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{U}$ *contains two subgroups* $\mathbf{U}_1$ *and* $\mathbf{U}_2$ *such that the multiplication map defines an isomorphism* $\mathbf{U}_1 \times \mathbf{U}_2 \to \mathbf{U}$. *Then*

$$\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbf{U}} \star \mathcal{F} \simeq \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbf{U}_1} \star (\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbf{U}_2} \star \mathcal{F}).$$

For $\widetilde{w} \in W_{\mathrm{aff}}$ we will denote by $\widetilde{j}_{\kappa,\widetilde{w}}$ the unique κ-twisted I^0-equivariant D-module on $\widetilde{\mathrm{Fl}}_G$, supported on $I^0 \cdot \widetilde{w} \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{Fl}}_G$, whose !-restriction to this subscheme is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Fun}(I^0 \cdot \widetilde{w})$, as an I^0-equivariant quasi-coherent sheaf. Of course, the isomorphism class of this D-module depends on the choice of a representative of $\widetilde{w}$ in $G((t))$.

Since $\widetilde{j}_{\kappa,\widetilde{w}} \simeq \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{I^0} \star \delta_{\widetilde{w}_{G((t))/I^0}} \otimes \det\big(\mathrm{Lie}(I^0)/\,\mathrm{Lie}(I^0) \cap \mathrm{Ad}_{\widetilde{w}}(\mathrm{Lie}(I^0))[1]\big)$, from Lemma 12.1.1 we obtain the following:

Lemma 12.1.2. *For* $\widetilde{w} \in W_{\mathrm{aff}}$ *assume that* I^0 *can be written as a product of subgroups* $\mathbf{U}_1 \cdot \mathbf{U}_2$ *such that* $\mathrm{Ad}_{\widetilde{w}^{-1}}(\mathbf{U}_2) \subset I^0$. *Then for an* I^0*-equivariant object* $\mathcal{F}$ *of a category with a Harish-Chandra action of* $G((t))$*, we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$\widetilde{j}_{\kappa,\widetilde{w}} \underset{I^0}{\star} \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathrm{Av}_{\mathbf{U}_1}\left(\delta_{\widetilde{w}} \star \mathcal{F}\right) \otimes \det\left(\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{U}_1)/\,\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{U}_1) \cap \mathrm{Ad}_{\widetilde{w}}(\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{U}_1))[1]\right).$$

Suppose that κ is integral, i.e., comes from a group ind-scheme extension $\widehat{G((t))}$ of $G((t))$ split over $G[[t]]$; let us denote by $\mathcal{P}^\kappa$ the resulting line bundle on $\mathrm{Gr}_G = G((t))/G[[t]]$. In this case we will denote by $j_{\widetilde{w},*}$ (resp., $j_{\widetilde{w},!}$) the I-equivariant κ-twisted D-modules on Fl_G given by the $*$-extension (resp., !-extension) of the twisted right D-module on $I\cdot\widetilde{w}\subset \mathrm{Fl}_G$, corresponding to the restriction of the line bundle $\widehat{G((t))}/I \to G((t))/I$ to this subscheme. If κ is not integral the above I-equivariant D-modules still make sense for $w\in W$.

If $l(\widetilde{w}_1)+l(\widetilde{w}_2)=l(\widetilde{w}_1\cdot\widetilde{w}_2)$, then

$$j_{\widetilde{w}_1,*}\underset{I}{\star} j_{\widetilde{w}_2,*}\simeq j_{\widetilde{w}_1\cdot\widetilde{w}_2,*} \text{ and } j_{\widetilde{w}_1,!}\underset{I}{\star} j_{\widetilde{w}_2,!}\simeq j_{\widetilde{w}_1\cdot\widetilde{w}_2,!}.$$

Since the functor $j_{\widetilde{w},*}\underset{I}{\star}\cdot$ is right exact, the above isomorphism implies that the functor $j_{\widetilde{w},!}\underset{I}{\star}\cdot$, being its quasi-inverse, is left exact.

Let us observe that the definition of $j_{\widetilde{w},*}$ (resp., $j_{\widetilde{w},!}$) is evidently independent of the choice of representatives $\widetilde{w}$ in $G((t))$. The direct image of $\widetilde{j}_{\kappa,\widetilde{w}}$ under $\widetilde{\mathrm{Fl}}_G\to\mathrm{Fl}_G$ is isomorphic to $j_{\widetilde{w},*}\otimes(\mathfrak{l}_\kappa^{\widetilde{w}})^{\otimes -1}$, where $\mathfrak{l}_\kappa^{\widetilde{w}}$ is the line defined as

$$\mathfrak{l}_\kappa^{\widetilde{w}} := \Gamma\left(I\cdot\widetilde{w}, \Omega^{\mathrm{top}}(I^0\cdot\widetilde{w})\otimes\mathcal{P}^\kappa|_{I^0\cdot\widetilde{w}}\right)^{I_0}. \tag{12.1}$$

12.2. Let us first observe that for $w\in W$

$$\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0\cdot w\cdot I^0)_\kappa\simeq \widetilde{j}_{\kappa,w}\underset{I^0}{\star}\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa.$$

Hence, we obtain the following

Lemma 12.2.1. $\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R})\simeq\widetilde{j}_{\kappa,w}\underset{I^0}{\star}\mathbb{W}^1_\kappa(\mathcal{R})$.

If $\mathcal{R}$ is integrable with respect to $H[[t]]$, the above lemma implies that

$$\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R})\simeq j_{w,*}\star\mathbb{W}^1(\mathcal{R}), \tag{12.2}$$

which, in turn, implies that

$$j_{w,!}\star\mathbb{W}^{w^{-1}}(\mathcal{R})\simeq\mathbb{W}^1(\mathcal{R}), \tag{12.3}$$

and if $l(w_1\cdot w_2)=l(w_1)+l(w_2)$, then

$$j_{w_1,*}\star\mathbb{W}^{w_2}(\mathcal{R})\simeq\mathbb{W}^{w_1\cdot w_2}(\mathcal{R}). \tag{12.4}$$

12.3. For $w\in W$ recall that $\mathfrak{n}^w$ (resp., $\mathfrak{b}^w$) denotes the subalgebra $\mathrm{Ad}_w(\mathfrak{n})\subset\mathfrak{g}$ (resp., $\mathrm{Ad}_w(\mathfrak{b})\subset\mathfrak{g}$). Note that the Cartan quotient of $\mathfrak{b}^w$ is still canonically identified with $\mathfrak{h}$. For $w=w_0$ we will sometimes also write $\mathfrak{n}^-$, $\mathfrak{b}^-$.

Proposition 12.3.1. *For any chiral $\mathfrak{H}_\kappa$-module $\mathcal{R}$,*

$$\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R})\simeq\left(\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa\right)\overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\underset{\mathfrak{b}^w((t)),t\mathfrak{b}^w[[t]]+\mathfrak{n}\cap\mathfrak{n}^w}{\otimes}}\mathcal{R}.$$

Proof. It is enough to show that

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}((t)),\mathfrak{n}[[t]],\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0\cdot w\cdot I^0)_\kappa)\simeq H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}^w((t)),t\mathfrak{n}^w[[t]]+\mathfrak{n}^w\cap\mathfrak{n},\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa),$$

in a way compatible with the $\mathfrak{H}_\kappa$-actions.

Again, we have $\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0\cdot w\cdot I^0)_\kappa\simeq\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa\underset{I^0}{\star}\widetilde{j}_{\kappa,w}$, where we are using the action of $G((t))$ on itself by right translations. We have $I^0=(I^0\cap B^-[[t]])\cdot(I^0\cap N[[t]])$. By Lemma 12.1.2, we obtain that

$$\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0\cdot w\cdot I^0)_\kappa\simeq\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa\star\delta_w\star\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{N[[t]]}\otimes\det\left(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^{w^{-1}}\cap\mathfrak{n}[1]\right).$$

Hence, by Sect. 22.7.2

$$\begin{aligned}&H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}(\!(t)\!), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0 \cdot w \cdot I^0)_\kappa) \simeq \\ &\simeq H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}(\!(t)\!), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa \star \delta_w) \otimes \det\left(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^{w^{-1}} \cap \mathfrak{n}[1]\right),\end{aligned}$$

which, in turn, is isomorphic to $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}^w(\!(t)\!), \mathfrak{n}^w[[t]], \mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa) \otimes \det(\mathfrak{n}^w/\mathfrak{n}^w \cap \mathfrak{n}[1])$. The determinant line exactly accounts for the change of the lattice $\mathfrak{n}^w[[t]] \mapsto t\mathfrak{n}^w[[t]] + \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{n}^w$.

□

As a corollary, we obtain the following characterization of the Wakimoto modules $\mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R})$:

Corollary 12.3.2. *For an I^0-integrable $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\kappa'}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ and a chiral $\mathfrak{H}_\kappa$-module $\mathcal{R}$, we have a quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{M} \underset{\mathfrak{g}(\!(t)\!),\mathrm{Lie}(I^0)}{\overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\otimes}} \mathbb{W}^w_\kappa(\mathcal{R}) \simeq \mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{b}^w(\!(t)\!), t\mathfrak{b}^w[[t]] + \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{n}^w, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{R}).$$

Proof. In view of Proposition 12.3.1, it suffices to show that for any $\mathcal{M}$ as in the proposition,

$$\mathcal{M} \underset{\mathfrak{g}(\!(t)\!),\mathrm{Lie}(I^0)}{\overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\otimes}} \left(\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa\right) \simeq \mathcal{M}$$

as $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa$-modules. But this follows from Sect. 22.6.

□

12.4. We will now show that Wakimoto modules of type $w \cdot w_0$ are well-behaved with respect to the functor of semi-infinite cohomology of the algebra $\mathfrak{n}^w(\!(t)\!)$. This is in fact a fundamental property of Wakimoto modules which was found in [FF2].

Namely, let $\mathcal{L}$ be a module over $\mathfrak{n}^w(\!(t)\!)$, on which the subalgebra $t\mathfrak{n}^w[[t]] + \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{n}^w$ acts locally nilpotently. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an $\mathfrak{H}_\kappa$-module, on which $t\mathfrak{h}[[t]]$ acts locally nilpotently. (By (11.3) the latter is not really restrictive.)

Proposition 12.4.1. *Under the above circumstances,*

$$\mathcal{L} \underset{\mathfrak{n}^w(\!(t)\!),t\mathfrak{n}^w[[t]]+\mathfrak{n}\cap\mathfrak{n}^w}{\overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\otimes}} \mathbb{W}^{ww_0}_\kappa(\mathcal{R})$$

is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{R}$.

Proof. By (11.6), it suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{L} \underset{\mathfrak{n}^w(\!(t)\!),t\mathfrak{n}^w[[t]]+\mathfrak{n}\cap\mathfrak{n}^w}{\overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\otimes}} \mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{N^w}\left(\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(N^w \cap N)\right) \simeq \mathcal{L}.$$

However, this readily follows from Corollary 22.7.1(2).

□

12.5. For an integral coweight $\check{\lambda}$ let us consider the corresponding point $t^{\check{\lambda}} \in G(\!(t)\!)$. We will also think of $\check{\lambda}$ as an element of W_{aff} corresponding to this orbit. Note that if λ is dominant, the orbit of $I \cdot t^{\check{\lambda}} \subset \mathrm{Fl}_G$ has the property that under the projection $\mathrm{Fl}_G \to \mathrm{Gr}_G$ it maps one-to-one.

We have already established the transformation property of Wakimoto modules with respect to convolution with $\widetilde{j}_{\kappa,w}$ for $w \in W$, see Lemma 12.2.1. Now we would like to study their behavior with respect to convolution with $\widetilde{j}_{\kappa,\check{\lambda}}$.

Note that we have a natural adjoint action of $H(\!(t)\!)$ on $H^0_{DR}(\mathcal{D}^\times, \widehat{L}_{\mathfrak{h},\kappa})$, and similarly for the Baer negative extension. Thus, we obtain that $H(\!(t)\!)$ acts on the categories $\mathfrak{H}_\kappa$–mod and $\mathfrak{H}'_\kappa$–mod. For $t^{\check{\lambda}} \in H(\!(t)\!)$ we will denote the corresponding functor by $\mathcal{R} \mapsto t^{\check{\lambda}} \star \mathcal{R}$.

The following property of Wakimoto modules will play a crucial role:

Proposition 12.5.1. *For a dominant* λ *we have*

$$\widetilde{j}_{\kappa,\check{\lambda}} \underset{I^0}{\star} \mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{R}) \simeq \mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\kappa}(t^{w_0(\check{\lambda})} \star \mathcal{R}).$$

12.6. **Proof of Proposition 12.5.1.** Consider the subscheme $I^0 \cdot t^{\check{\lambda}} \cdot I^0 \subset G((t))$. Clearly, there exists a unique irreducible object of $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(G)_\kappa\text{–mod}^{I^0,I^0}$, supported on this subset. Let us denote it by $\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0 t^{\check{\lambda}} I^0)_\kappa$. In particular, for $\check{\lambda} = 0$ we recover $\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa$.

We have

$$\widetilde{j}_{\kappa,\check{\lambda}} \underset{I^0}{\star} \mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa \simeq \mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0 t^{\check{\lambda}} I^0)_\kappa \simeq \mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa \underset{I^0}{\star} \widetilde{j}_{\kappa',\check{\lambda}}.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 12.3.1, we have to show that

$$\left(\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa \underset{I^0}{\star} \widetilde{j}_{\kappa',\check{\lambda}}\right) \underset{\mathfrak{b}^-((t)),t\mathfrak{b}^-[[t]]}{\overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\otimes}} \mathcal{R} \simeq \left(\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa\right) \underset{\mathfrak{b}^-((t)),t\mathfrak{b}^-[[t]]}{\overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\otimes}} (t^{w_0(\check{\lambda})} \star \mathcal{R}).$$

Let us write $I^0_+ = I^0 \cap B[[t]]$ and $I^0_- = I^0 \cap N^-[[t]]$, and recall that the product map defines an isomorphism $I^0 = I^0_+ \cdot I^0_-$. Note also that $\mathrm{Ad}_{t^{\check{\lambda}}}(I^0_+) \subset I^0$.

Hence,

$$\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa \underset{I^0}{\star} \widetilde{j}_{\kappa',\check{\lambda}} \simeq \mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa \star \delta_{t^{\check{\lambda}}} \star \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{I^0_-} \otimes \det\left(t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]]/t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]] \cap \mathrm{Ad}_{t^{-\check{\lambda}}}(t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]])[1]\right).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 12.1.2 we obtain that

$$\left(\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa \star \widetilde{j}_{\kappa',\lambda}\right) \underset{\mathfrak{b}^-((t)),t\mathfrak{b}^-[[t]]}{\overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\otimes}} \mathcal{R} \simeq$$

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}\left(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \left(\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa \star \delta_{t^{\check{\lambda}}}\right)\right) \underset{\mathfrak{h}((t)),t\mathfrak{h}[[t]]}{\overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\otimes}} \mathcal{R} \otimes \det\left(t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]]/\,\mathrm{Ad}_{t^{-\check{\lambda}}}(t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]])[1]\right).$$

For a $L'_{\mathfrak{b}^-,\kappa}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ we have

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], t^{\check{\lambda}} \star \mathcal{M}) \simeq t^{\check{\lambda}} \star H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), \mathrm{Ad}_{t^{\check{\lambda}}}(t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]]), \mathcal{M}),$$

as $\mathfrak{H}'_\kappa$-modules.

Hence, the expression above can be rewritten as

$$\left(H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}\left(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa\right) \star t^{\check{\lambda}}\right) \underset{\mathfrak{h}((t)),t\mathfrak{h}[[t]]}{\overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\otimes}} \mathcal{R},$$

where we have absorbed the determinant line into changing the lattice $\mathrm{Ad}_{t^{-\check{\lambda}}}(t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]]) \mapsto t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]]$. The latter can, in turn, be rewritten as

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}\left(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_G(I^0)_\kappa\right) \underset{\mathfrak{h}((t)),t\mathfrak{h}[[t]]}{\overset{\frac{\infty}{2}}{\otimes}} (t^{w_0(\check{\lambda})} \star \mathcal{R}),$$

which is what we had to show. [8]

---

[8] The replacement of $\check{\lambda}$ by $w_0(\check{\lambda})$ comes from the fact that the identifications $B/N \simeq H \simeq B^-/N^-$ differ by the automorphism w_0 of H.

13. Wakimoto modules at the critical level

13.1. In this section we will consider in more detail Wakimoto modules at the critical level. By Proposition 10.6.1 and using the isomorphism $\mathfrak{H}'_{\mathrm{crit}} \simeq \mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}}$, if $\mathcal{R}$ is a quasi-coherent sheaf on $\mathrm{Conn}_{\check{H}}(\omega^{\rho}_{\mathcal{D}^\times})$, we can define Wakimoto modules $\mathbb{W}^w_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R})$ for $w \in W$.

Note that for any $\mathcal{R}$, the Wakimoto module $\mathbb{W}^w_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R})$ carries an action of $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}}$ by transport of structure, and the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{W}^w_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R}) \simeq \mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{N^{ww_0}}\left(\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(N^{ww_0} \cap N)\right) \otimes \mathcal{R} \tag{13.1}$$

of (11.6) is compatible with the $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-actions.

In particular, from Theorem 10.8.2 combined with Proposition 3.5.1 and (12.4), we obtain the following result:

Recall that a weight λ is called anti-dominant, if $\langle \lambda, \check{\alpha} \rangle \notin \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, or equivalently, if the intersection of the two sets $\{\lambda - \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}^+}(\Delta^+)\}$ and $\{w(\lambda),\, w \in W\}$ consists only of the element α.

Corollary 13.1.1. *The action of the center* $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{D}^\times))$ *on* $\mathbb{W}^w_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}$ *factors through* $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(-\lambda-\rho)} \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(-\lambda-\rho)})$*. Moreover, if* $w^{-1}(\lambda+\rho)$ *is dominant, then* $\mathbb{W}^w_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}$ *is flat over* $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(-\lambda-\rho)}$.

Another useful observation is the following:

Proposition 13.1.2. *Let* $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2$ *be two* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}}$*-modules, on which* $\mathfrak{h} \subset \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}})$ *acts by the same scalar. Then for any* $w \in W$ *the map*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}}}(\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}(\mathbb{W}^w_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R}_1), \mathbb{W}^w_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R}_2))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{W}^w_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R})$, the fact that the map in question is injective is evident. Let us prove the surjectivity.

It will be more convenient to work with ${}'\mathbb{W}^w_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R}_i)$ instead of $\mathbb{W}^w_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. As in (13.1), we have an identification

$${}'\mathbb{W}^w_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R}_i) \simeq \mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{N}\left(\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(N \cap N^{w_0 w^{-1}})\right) \otimes \mathcal{R}_i,$$

respecting the actions of $\mathfrak{n}((t))$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}}$.

Let us first analyze the space of endomorphisms $\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{N}\left(\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(N \cap N^{w_0 w^{-1}})\right)$ as a $\mathfrak{n}((t))$-module. We obtain, as in Sect. 22.7.1, that the map $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{n}} : L_{\mathfrak{n}} \to \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{ch}}(N)$ has the property that the image of $U\left(\mathfrak{n}((t))\right)$ is dense in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{n}((t))}\Big(\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{N}\left(\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(N \cap N^{w_0 w^{-1}})\right)\Big)$.

By the assumption on the $\mathfrak{h}$-action, and arguing as in the proof of Proposition 10.7.1, we obtain that any map of vector spaces ${}'\mathbb{W}^w_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R}_1) \to {}'\mathbb{W}^w_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R}_2)$, compatible with the action of $\mathfrak{n}((t))$ and $\mathfrak{h} \subset \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},crit})$ has the form

$$\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{Dist}^{\mathrm{ch}}_{N}\left(\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(N \cap N^{w_0 w^{-1}})\right)} \otimes \varphi,$$

where φ is some map $\mathcal{R}_1 \to \mathcal{R}_2$ as vector spaces. To prove that φ is a map of $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-modules, we argue as follows:

Recall that for a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-module $\mathcal{M}$, the semi-infinite cohomology

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}((t)), t\mathfrak{n}[[t]] + \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{n}^{w_0 w^{-1}}, \mathcal{M})$$

is naturally a $\mathfrak{H}'_{\mathrm{crit}}$-module. We will regard it as a $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-module via the isomorphism $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}} \simeq \mathfrak{H}'_{\mathrm{crit}}$. Recall the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{R} \simeq H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}((t)), t\mathfrak{n}[[t]] + \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{n}^{w_0 w^{-1}}, {}'\mathbb{W}^w_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R})) \tag{13.2}$$

given by Proposition 12.4.1. From Lemma 10.6.2 we obtain the following

Lemma 13.1.3. *The isomorphism* (13.2) *respects the* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}}$*-module structures.*

From the construction of the isomorphism of Proposition 12.4.1 it is easy to see that any map ${}'\mathbb{W}^w_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R}_1) \to {}'\mathbb{W}^w_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R}_2)$ of the form $\mathrm{Id} \otimes \phi$ induces on the left-hand side of (13.2) the endomorphism equal to φ. Hence, the above lemma implies that ϕ respects the $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-actions. $\square$

13.2. We will now recall a crucial result of [F] (see Proposition 6.3 and Remark 6.4) that establishes isomorphisms between Wakimoto modules and Verma modules. Note that in [F] the module $\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}$ is denoted by W_{λ,κ_c}.

Proposition 13.2.1. *Let* λ *be such that* $\lambda + \rho$ *is anti-dominant. Then* $\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda} \simeq \mathbb{M}_\lambda$.

Proof. First, we claim that when λ is anti-dominant, the $\mathfrak{g}$-module $M^{w_0}_\lambda$ (see Sect. 11.5) is in fact isomorphic to the Verma module M_λ.

Indeed, let us note first that $M^{w_0}_\lambda$ has a vector of highest weight λ, i.e., there is a morphism $M_\lambda \to M^{w_0}_\lambda$. Now, it is well-known that the anti-dominance condition on $\lambda + \rho$ implies that M_λ is irreducible, hence the above map is injective. The assertion follows now from the fact that the two modules have the same formal character. This is a prototype of the argument proving the proposition.

By Sect. 11.5 we have a map in one direction

$$\mathbb{M}_\lambda \to \mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda} \tag{13.3}$$

and we claim that it is an isomorphism. We will regard both sides of (13.3) as modules over the *Kac-Moody* algebra $\mathbb{C} \cdot t\partial_t \ltimes \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$, where we normalize the action of $t\partial_t$ so that it annihilates the generating vector in $\mathbb{M}_\lambda$, and it acts on $\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}$ by loop rotation.

The map (13.3) clearly respects this action. Moreover, both sides have well-defined formal characters with respect to the extended Cartan subalgebra $\mathbb{C} \cdot t\partial_t \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$, and a computation shows that these characters are equal. Therefore, the map (13.3) is surjective if and only if it is injective.

Suppose that the kernel of the map in question is non-zero. Let $v \in \mathbb{M}_\lambda$ be a vector of a *highest weight* with respect to $\mathbb{C} \cdot t\partial_t \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$; let us denote this weight by $\widehat{\mu}$.

Then the quotient $\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda} / \mathrm{Im}\, \mathbb{M}_\lambda$ also contains a vector, call it v', of weight $\widehat{\mu}$. Moreover, by assumption, v' projects non-trivially to the space of coinvariants $\left(\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}\right)_{\mathfrak{n}^-[t^{-1}] \oplus t^{-1}\mathfrak{b}[t^{-1}]}$

However, from (13.1), it follows that the projection

$$\mathrm{Fun}(N[[t]]) \to \left(\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}\right)_{t^{-1}\mathfrak{n}[t^{-1}] \oplus t^{-1}\mathfrak{h}[t^{-1}]}$$

is an isomorphism. Therefore, $\widehat{\mu}$ must be of the form

$$\widehat{\mu} := (-n, \lambda - \beta, -\check{h}),\ n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0},\ \beta \in \mathrm{Span}^+(\Delta^+). \tag{13.4}$$

We will now use the Kac-Kazhdan theorem [KK] that describes the possible highest weights of submodules of a Verma module. This theorem says that there must exist a sequence of weights

$$(0,\lambda,-\check{h})=\widehat{\mu_1},\,\widehat{\mu_2},...,\widehat{\mu}_{n-1},\,\widehat{\mu}_n=\widehat{\mu}$$

and a sequence of positive affine roots $\alpha_{\mathrm{aff},k}$ such that

$$\widehat{\mu}_{k+1}=\widehat{\mu}_k-b_k\cdot\alpha_{\mathrm{aff},k} \tag{13.5}$$

with $b_k\in\mathbb{Z}^{>0}$ and such that

$$b_k\cdot(\alpha_{\mathrm{aff},k},\alpha_{\mathrm{aff},k})=2\cdot(\alpha_{\mathrm{aff},k},\widehat{\mu}_k+\rho_{\mathrm{aff}}),$$

where $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the invariant inner product on the Kac-Moody algebra.

Let us write $\widehat{\mu}_k=(n_k,\mu_k,-\check{h})$ and

$$\begin{cases}\alpha_{\mathrm{aff},k}=(m_k,\epsilon_k\cdot\alpha_k,0),\ m_k\geq 0,\ \alpha_k\in\Delta^+,\ \epsilon_k=\pm1 \text{ if } \alpha_{\mathrm{aff},k} \text{ is real,}\\ \alpha_{\mathrm{aff},k}=(m_k,0,0) \text{ if } \alpha_{\mathrm{aff},k} \text{ is imaginary.}\end{cases}$$

In the latter case we obtain $\mu_{k+1}=\mu_k$. In the former case we have

$$b_k=\langle\widehat{\mu}_k+\rho_{\mathrm{aff}},\check{\alpha}_{\mathrm{aff},k}\rangle,$$

and since $\rho_{\mathrm{aff}}=(0,\rho,\check{h})$ we obtain that $b_k=\epsilon_k\cdot\langle\mu_k+\rho,\alpha_k\rangle$, implying that

$$(\mu_{k+1}+\rho)=s_{\alpha_k}(\mu_k+\rho),$$

regardless of the sign of ϵ_k.

In particular, we obtain that $(\lambda+\rho)-\beta$ belongs to the W-orbit of $\lambda+\rho$, but this contradicts the anti-dominance of $\lambda+\rho$.

□

13.3. We will use the above proposition to derive information about the structure of other Wakimoto and Verma modules.

Corollary 13.3.1. *For λ such that $\lambda+\rho$ is anti-dominant and $w\in W$ we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{W}^{ww_0}_{\mathrm{crit},w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho}\simeq\mathbb{M}_{w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho}.$$

Proof. Let us assume that λ is integral. In this case all $\mathbb{W}^{w}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}$ and $\mathbb{M}_{w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho}$ are I-integrable, and we can use the convolution action of D-modules on $G/B\subset\mathrm{Fl}_G$ to pass from one-another.

(If λ is not integral, the proof is essentially the same, when instead of B-equivariant D-modules on G/B, we will use λ-twisted D-modules and replace the B-equivariant category by a λ-twisted version.)

It is known that for λ anti-dominant, $j_{w,!}\underset{B}{\star}M_\lambda=M_{w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho}$. Hence, $j_{w,!}\underset{I}{\star}\mathbb{M}_\lambda=\mathbb{M}_{w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho}$. This implies the corollary in view of (12.3).

□

Since for every weight λ' there exists an element of the Weyl group such that $\lambda'=w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho$ with λ anti-dominant, every Verma module $\mathbb{M}_{\lambda'}$ is isomorphic to an appropriate Wakimoto module. By combining this with Proposition 3.5.1 and Proposition 13.1.2 we obtain the following statement.

Corollary 13.3.2. *The module $\mathbb{M}_\lambda$ is flat over $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(-\lambda-\rho)}$. The map*

$$\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{RS},\varpi(-\lambda-\rho)}\to\mathrm{End}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}(\mathbb{M}_\lambda)$$

is an isomorphism.

Let us give an additional proof of the second assertion:

Proof. As above, we can reduce the statement to the case when $\lambda+\rho$ is itself anti-dominant, and $\mathbb{M}_\lambda \simeq \mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}$.

In the latter case, we have to show that the embedding of $\pi_{w_0(\lambda)}$ into the subspace of vectors of weight λ in $\left(\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}\right)^{\mathrm{Lie}(I^0)}$ is an isomorphism.

Consider the bigger subspace $\left(\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}\right)^{\mathfrak{n}[[t]]}$. As in the proof of Proposition 10.7.1, this subspace is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ind}^{\mathfrak{n}((t))}_{\mathfrak{n}[[t]]}(\mathbb{C})\otimes\pi_{w_0(\lambda)}$, which implies that the vectors of weight λ belong to $1\otimes\pi_{w_0(\lambda)}$.

□

Next, we shall prove Proposition 7.6.3:

Proof. Consider the Wakimoto module $\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}$ when λ is dominant. We claim that $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts on it via $\mathfrak{Z}^{\lambda,\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. This follows by combining Proposition 3.5.4 with Theorem 10.8.2 and the fact that the isomorphism of Proposition 10.6.1 sends the chiral $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-module π_μ to $\mathrm{Fun}\big(\mathrm{Conn}_{\check{H}}(\omega^{\rho}_X)^{\mathrm{RS},\mu}\big)$.

Composing the map (11.8) with the natural embedding $\mathbb{V}^\lambda_{\mathrm{crit}}\to\mathbb{M}^\vee_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}$ we obtain a map $\mathbb{V}^\lambda_{\mathrm{crit}}\to\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}$, which can be shown to be injective. [9] We obtain that the ideal in the center that annihilates $\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\lambda}$, annihilates $\mathbb{V}^\lambda_{\mathrm{crit}}$ as well, which is what we had to show.

□

13.4. Finally, let us derive a corollary of Proposition 12.5.1 at the critical level. In this case the adjoint action of $H((t))$ on $H^0_{DR}(\mathcal{D}^\times,\widehat{L}_{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{crit}})$ is trivial, and hence we obtain the following

Corollary 13.4.1. *For a dominant coweight $\check{\lambda}$ and a $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-module $\mathcal{R}$ we have an isomorphism*

$$\widetilde{j}_{\check{\lambda}}\underset{I^0}{\star}\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R})\simeq\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R}).$$

Suppose now that $\mathcal{R}$ in $H[[t]]$-integrable. In this case we obtain that

$$\widetilde{j}_{\check{\lambda}}\underset{I^0}{\star}\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R})\simeq j_{\check{\lambda},*}\underset{I}{\star}\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R})\simeq\mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathcal{R}),$$

where both the LHS and RHS are canonically defined, i.e., are independent of the choice of a representative $t^{\check{\lambda}}\in G((t))$. However, the isomorphism between them, given by Corollary 13.4.1, does depend on this choice. In what follows we will need a more precise version of the above result:

Corollary 13.4.2. *We have an isomorphism*

$$j_{\check{\lambda},*}\underset{I}{\star}\mathbb{M}_{-2\rho}\simeq\mathbb{M}_{-2\rho}\otimes\omega_x^{\langle-\rho,\check{\lambda}\rangle},$$

where ω_x is the fiber of ω_X at $x\in X$, compatible with the natural actions of $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}))$ *on both sides.*

Note that $\langle-\rho,\check{\lambda}\rangle$, appearing in the corollary, may be a half-integer. In the above formula the expression $\omega_x^{\langle-\rho,\check{\lambda}\rangle}$ involves a choice of a square root of ω_X, as does the construction of the critical line bundle on $\mathrm{Gr}_{G_{ad}}$. However, the character of $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}))$ on $\omega_x^{\langle-\rho,\check{\lambda}\rangle}$ is, of course, independent of this choice.

---

[9] We will supply a proof in the next paper in the series.

Remark 13.4.3. By considering the action of the renormalized universal enveloping algebra as in Sect. 7.4, one shows that, more generally, there is an isomorphism

$$j_{\check{\lambda},*} \underset{I}{\star} \mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\mu} \simeq \mathbb{W}^{w_0}_{\mathrm{crit},\mu} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle \mu+\rho, \check{\lambda}\rangle},$$

compatible with the $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}))$-actions.

Proof. The existence of an isomorphism stated in the corollary follows by combining Proposition 13.2.1 and Corollary 13.4.1. By Proposition 13.1.2, we obtain that there exists a line, acted on by (a double cover of) $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$, and a canonical isomorphism

$$j_{\check{\lambda},*} \underset{I}{\star} \mathbb{M}_{-2\rho} \simeq \mathbb{M}_{-2\rho} \otimes \mathfrak{l},$$

compatible with the $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}))$-actions.

We have to show that the character of $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}))$, corresponding to $\mathfrak{l}$, equals that of $\omega_x^{\langle -\rho, \check{\lambda}\rangle}$. Let $t\partial_t \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}))$ be the Euler vector field, corresponding to the coordinate t on $\mathcal{D}$. It suffices to show that the highest weight of $j_{\check{\lambda},*} \underset{I}{\star} \mathbb{M}_{-2\rho}$ with respect to $\mathbb{C} \cdot t\partial_t \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ equals $(-\langle \rho, \check{\lambda}\rangle, -2\rho)$.

The module in question identifies with $\Gamma(\mathrm{Fl}_G, j_{\check{\lambda},*})$. The highest weight line in $\Gamma(\mathrm{Fl}_G, j_{\check{\lambda},*})$ consists of I^0-invariant sections of this D-module, that are supported on the I-orbit of $t^{\check{\lambda}}$. Now the fact that $t\partial_t$ acts on this line by the character equal to $-\langle \rho, \check{\lambda}\rangle$ is a straightforward calculation, as in [BD1], Sect. 9.1.

□

Part IV. Proof of Main Theorem 6.3.2

The goal of this Part is to prove Main Theorem 6.3.2:

There is an equivalence of categories

$$^f\mathsf{F} : {}^f\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}} \simeq \mathrm{QCoh}\left(\mathrm{Spec}(h_0) \times \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}\right).$$

In Sect. 14 we introduce the module $\mathbf{\Pi}$ as induced from the big projective module Π over the finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We first review the properties of Π, and the corresponding properties of $\mathbf{\Pi}$, related to the notion of partial integrability. The functor $^f\mathsf{F}$ is then defined as

$$^f\mathsf{F}(M) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{\Pi}, M),$$

and we state Main Theorem 14.4.5 which asserts that this functor is exact.

As we shall see later (see Sect. 16), both Main Theorem 14.4.5 and Main Theorem 6.3.2 follow once we can compute $\mathrm{RHom}_{D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0})$, where $\mathbb{M}_{w_0}$ is the corresponding Verma module over $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$. Also in Sect. 16 (see Proposition 16.1.1) we show that it is sufficient to compute $\mathrm{RHom}_{D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{I^0}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathrm{reg}}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}})$, where $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ and $\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}}$ are the restrictions of the corresponding modules to the subscheme $\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \subset \mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

The computation of $\mathrm{RHom}_{D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{I^0}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathrm{reg}}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}})$ is carried out in Sect. 15. We reduce it to a calculation involving D-modules on the affine Grassmannian once we can identify $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ as sections of some specific critically twisted D-module on Gr. The latter identification is given by Theorem 15.2.1. This theorem is proved in Sect. 17 by a rather explicit argument.

Having proved Main Theorem 6.3.2, we compare in Sect. 18 the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \cdot)$ with the one given by semi-infinite cohomology with respect to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}^-((t))$ against a non-degenerate character. We show that the two functors are isomorphic. We also express the semi-infinite cohomology of $\mathfrak{n}((t))$ with coefficients in a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-module of the form $\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F})$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a critically twisted D-module on Gr_G, in terms of the de Rham cohomologies of the restrictions of $\mathcal{F}$ to $N((t))$-orbits in Gr_G.

14. The module $\mathbf{\Pi}$

14.1. Recall from Sect. 7.7 that $\mathcal{O}_0$ denotes the subcategory of the category $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules, whose objects are modules with central character $\varpi(\rho)$. According to [BB], the functor of global sections induces an equivalence between the category of N-equivariant (or, equivalently, B-monodromic) left D-modules on G/B and $\mathcal{O}_0$.

To simplify our notation slightly, we will use the notation M_w instead of $M_{w(\rho)-\rho}$ and $M^\vee_w$ instead of $M^\vee_{w(\rho)-\rho}$. We will denote by L_w the irreducible quotient of M_w. By $\mathbb{M}_w$, $\mathbb{M}^\vee_w$ and $\mathbb{L}_w$ we will denote the corresponding induced representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ at the critical level.

By definition, objects of $\mathcal{O}_0$ are N-integrable, and the condition on the central character implies that they are in fact B-monodromic. Hence, every object $M \in \mathcal{O}_0$ carries an action of the commutative algebra $\mathfrak{h}$. This is the obstruction to being B-equivariant. (The notions of B-integrability (equivalently, B-equivariance), N-integrability and B-monodromicity are defined as their I- and I^0-counterparts and make sense in any category $\mathcal{C}$ with a Harish-Chandra action of G.)

Lemma 14.1.1. *For every $M \in \mathcal{O}_0$, the action of* $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{h})$ *on M factors through* $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{h}) \to h_0$.

The lemma follows, e.g., from the localization theorem of [BB]. Thus, we obtain that the algebra h_0 maps to the center of $\mathcal{O}_0$. In fact, it follows from [Be] that h_0 is isomorphic to the center of $\mathcal{O}_0$.

As in Sect. 6.3, we will call an object $M \in \mathcal{O}_0$ partially integrable if it admits a filtration such that each successive quotient is integrable with respect to a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{sl}_2^\iota$ for some $\iota \in \mathcal{I}$. This notion makes sense in an arbitrary category with a Harish-Chandra action of G.

We will denote by ${}^f\mathcal{O}_0$ the quotient abelian category of $\mathcal{O}_0$ by the subcategory of partially integrable objects. We will denote by $M \mapsto {}^fM$ the projection functor $\mathcal{O}_0 \to {}^f\mathcal{O}_0$.

Let Π be a "longest" indecomposable projective in $\mathcal{O}_0$. By definition:

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\Pi, L_{w_0}) = \mathbb{C},\ \operatorname{Hom}(\Pi, L_w) = 0 \text{ if } w \neq w_0.$$

Moreover, Π is known to be isomorphic (non-canonically) to its contragredient dual. We have the following result (see [BG]):

Lemma 14.1.2.

1. *The map $h_0 \to \operatorname{End}(\Pi)$ is an isomorphism.*
2. *The functor $M \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}(\Pi, M)$ induces an equivalence ${}^f\mathcal{O}_0 \to h_0$–mod.*

By construction, the image ${}^f\Pi$ of Π in ${}^f\mathcal{O}_0$ identifies with the free h_0-module with one generator. The maps $M_{w_0} \to L_{w_0} \to M^\vee_{w_0}$ induce isomorphisms ${}^fM_{w_0} \to {}^fL_{w_0} \to {}^fM^\vee_{w_0}$, and all identify with the trivial h_0-module $\mathbb{C}$.

We will now recall the construction of Π as

$$\Pi = \Gamma(G/B, \Xi), \tag{14.1}$$

where Ξ is a certain left D-module on G/B.

14.2. To describe Ξ we need to introduce some notation, which will also be used in the sequel. Let $\psi : N^- \to \mathbb{G}_a$ be a non-degenerate character. By a slight abuse of notation, we will denote also by ψ its differential: $\mathfrak{n}^- \to \mathbb{C}$.

Let $\mathbf{e}^\psi$ denote the pull-back of the "e^x" D-module from $\mathbb{G}_a$ to N^-. This is a "character sheaf" in the sense of Sect. 20.12.

If N^- acts (in the Harish-Chandra sense) on a category $\mathcal{C}$, we will denote by $\mathcal{C}^{N^-,\psi}$ the corresponding (N^-,ψ)-equivariant category (see Sect. 20.12), and by $D(\mathcal{C})^{N^-,\psi}$ the corresponding triangulated category. Since N^- is unipotent, the natural forgetful functor $D(\mathcal{C})^{N^-,\psi} \to D(\mathcal{C})$ is fully faithful, see Sect. 20.12.

Following Sect. 20.12, we will denote denote by $\operatorname{Av}_{N^-,\psi}$ the functor

$$\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathbf{e}^\psi \star \mathcal{M} \otimes \det(\mathfrak{n}^-[1])^{-1} : D(\mathcal{C}) \to D(\mathcal{C})^{N^-,\psi}.$$

This functor is the right adjoint and a left quasi-inverse to $D(\mathcal{C})^{N^-,\psi} \to D(\mathcal{C})$.

Lemma 14.2.1. *Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is endowed with a Harish-Chandra action of G, and let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{C}^{B,m}$ be partially integrable, then* $\operatorname{Av}_{N^-,\psi}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$.

Proof. We can assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is an object of $\mathcal{C}$ integrable with respect to a parabolic subgroup P^ι for some $\iota \in \mathcal{I}$. Then the convolution $\mathbf{e}^\psi \star \mathcal{F}$ factors through the direct image of $\mathbf{e}^\psi$ under $N^- \hookrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow G/P^\iota$, and the latter is clearly 0.

□

For example, if N^- acts on a scheme Y, in this way we obtain the category of (N^-, ψ)-equivariant D-modules on Y. In other words, its objects are D-modules $\mathcal{F}$ on Y, together with an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{act}^*(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathbf{e}^\psi \boxtimes \mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{D}(N^- \times Y)\text{–mod},$$

compatible with the restriction to the unit section and associative in the natural sense. One can show that in this case the functor

$$D(\mathfrak{D}(Y)\text{–mod}^{N^-,\psi}) \to D(\mathfrak{D}(Y)\text{–mod})^{N^-,\psi}$$

is an equivalence.

If we restrict ourselves to holonomic D-modules, or, rather, if we take the corresponding triangulated category (which, by definition, is the full subcategory of $D(\mathfrak{D}(Y)\text{–mod})$, consisting of complexes with holonomic cohomologies), then in addition to the functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathbf{e}^\psi \star \mathcal{F}$ we also have a functor

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathbf{e}^\psi \overset{!}{\star} \mathcal{M} : D(\mathfrak{D}(Y)_{\mathrm{hol}}\text{–mod}) \to D(\mathfrak{D}(Y)_{\mathrm{hol}}\text{–mod})^{N^-,\psi},$$

corresponding to taking direct image with compact supports. This functor, tensored with $\det(\mathfrak{n}^-[1])$, is the left adjoint and a left quasi-inverse to the tautological functor $D(\mathfrak{D}(Y)_{\mathrm{hol}}\text{–mod})^{N^-,-\psi} \to D(\mathfrak{D}(Y)_{\mathrm{hol}}\text{–mod})$.

Proposition 14.2.2. *Suppose that Y is acted on by G. Then for $\mathcal{F} \in D(\mathfrak{D}(Y)_{\mathrm{hol}}\text{–mod})^{B,m}$ the canonical arrow: $\mathbf{e}^\psi \overset{!}{\star} \mathcal{F} \to \mathbf{e}^\psi \star \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, the functor*

$$D(\mathfrak{D}(Y)_{\mathrm{hol}}\text{–mod})^{B,m} \to D(\mathfrak{D}(Y)_{\mathrm{hol}}\text{–mod}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{e}^\psi \star \cdot} D(\mathfrak{D}(Y)_{\mathrm{hol}}\text{–mod})^{N^-,-\psi}$$

is exact.

Proof. It is enough to analyze the functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathbf{e}^\psi \star \mathcal{F}$ on the subcategory $\mathfrak{D}(Y)_{\mathrm{hol}}\text{–mod}^B$.

The basic observation is that the D-module

$$\mathrm{Dist}_{G/B}(N^-, \psi) := \mathbf{e}^\psi \star \delta_{1_{G/B}} \in \mathfrak{D}(G/B)\text{–mod}^{B^-,\psi},$$

which is by definition the $*$-extension of $\mathbf{e}^\psi$ under $N^- \cdot 1_{G/B} \hookrightarrow G/B$, is clean. This means that the $*$-extension coincides with the !-extension, or, which is the same, that the arrow $\mathbf{e}^\psi \overset{!}{\star} \delta_{1_{G/B}} \to \mathbf{e}^\psi \star \delta_{1_{G/B}}$ is an isomorphism. (One easily shows that by observing that for any $\mathbf{g} \in G/B \setminus N^-$, the restriction of ψ to its stabilizer in N^- is non-trivial.) In particular, $\mathrm{Dist}_{G/B}(N^-, \psi)$ is the Verdier dual of $\mathrm{Dist}_{G/B}(N^-, -\psi)$.

Note that for $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{D}(Y)_{\mathrm{hol}}\text{–mod}^B$,

$$\mathbf{e}^\psi \star \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathrm{Dist}_{G/B}(N^-, \psi) \underset{B}{\star} \mathcal{F}, \tag{14.2}$$

and similarly for $\mathbf{e}^\psi \overset{!}{\star} \mathcal{F}$. This establishes the assertion of the proposition.

□

14.3. After these preliminaries, we are ready to introduce Ξ:

$$\Xi := \underline{\mathbb{C}}_N \overset{!}{\star} \operatorname{Dist}_{G/B}(N^-, \psi) \otimes \det(\mathfrak{n}[1]) \in D(\mathfrak{D}(G/B)\text{–mod})^N,$$

where

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \underline{\mathbb{C}}_N \overset{!}{\star} \mathcal{F} \otimes \det(\mathfrak{n}[1])^{\otimes 2}$$

is the functor $D(\mathfrak{D}(G/B)_{\mathrm{hol}}\text{–mod}) \to D(\mathfrak{D}(G/B)_{\mathrm{hol}}\text{–mod})^N$, left adjoint to the tautological functor $D(\mathfrak{D}(G/B)_{\mathrm{hol}}\text{–mod})^N \to D(\mathfrak{D}(G/B)_{\mathrm{hol}}\text{–mod})$. Explicitly, $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_N \overset{!}{\star} \cdot \otimes \det(\mathfrak{n}[1])$ is given by convolution with compact supports with the constant D-module on N.

Proposition 14.3.1.

(1) *The complex* Ξ *is concentrated in cohomological degree* 0.

(2) Ξ *is projective as an object of* $\mathfrak{D}(G/B)\text{–mod}^N$

(3) Ξ *is non-canonically Verdier self-dual, i.e.* $\Xi \simeq \underline{\mathbb{C}}_N \star \operatorname{Dist}_{G/B}(N^-, -\psi) \otimes \det(\mathfrak{n}[1])^{-1}$.

(4) Ξ *is canonically independent of the choice of* ψ.

Proof. Consider the functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \operatorname{RHom}(\Xi, \mathcal{F})$ on the category $D(\mathfrak{D}(G/B)\text{–mod})^N$. We have

$$\operatorname{RHom}_{D(\mathfrak{D}(G/B)\text{–mod})^N}(\Xi, \mathcal{F}) \simeq \operatorname{RHom}_{D(\mathfrak{D}(G/B)\text{–mod})}(\mathbf{e}^{\psi} \overset{!}{\star} \delta_{1_{G/B}}, \mathcal{F}) \otimes \det(\mathfrak{n}[1]),$$

which, in turn, is isomorphic to

$$\operatorname{RHom}_{D(\mathfrak{D}(G/B)\text{–mod})}(\delta_{1_{G/B}}, \mathbf{e}^{\psi'} \star \mathcal{F}) \otimes \det(\mathfrak{n}[1]),$$

where $\psi' = -\psi$.

By Lemma 14.2.2, $\mathbf{e}^{\psi'} \star \mathcal{F}$ is concentrated in cohomological degree 0. Moreover, it is lisse near $1_{G/B}$. Hence, the above RHom is concentrated in cohomological degree 0.

Now, we will use the fact that $D(\mathfrak{D}(G/B)\text{–mod})^N$ is equivalent to the derived category of the abelian category $\mathfrak{D}(G/B)\text{–mod}$. Then the above property of RHom implies simultaneously assertions (1) and (2) of the proposition.

The above expression for $\operatorname{RHom}(\Xi, \mathcal{F})$ also implies that it is 0 if $\mathcal{F}$ is partially integrable, and $\operatorname{RHom}(\Xi, \delta_{1_{G/B}})$ is one-dimensional. This implies that Ξ corresponds to a projective cover of $\delta_{1_{G/B}} \in \mathfrak{D}(G/B)\text{–mod}^N$, i.e., $\Gamma(G/B, \Xi) \simeq \Pi$.

Since it is known that contravariant duality on $\mathcal{O}_0$ goes over to Verdier duality on $\mathfrak{D}(G/B)\text{–mod}^N$, assertion (3) of the proposition holds.

The fact that Ξ is *non-canonically* independent of the choice of ψ also follows, since we have shown that (14.1) is valid for any choice of ψ. To establish that it is canonically independent, we argue as follows:

Let ψ' be another non-degenerate character of N^-. Then there exists an element $\mathbf{h} \in H$, which, under the adjoint action of H on N^-, transforms ψ to ψ'.

Since Ξ is B-monodromic, we have a canonical isomorphism of D-modules $\mathbf{h}^*(\Xi) \simeq \Xi$. However, from the construction of Ξ, we have $\mathbf{h}^*(\Xi) \simeq \Xi'$, where the latter is the D-module constructed starting from ψ'.

□

For any category $\mathcal{C}$ with a Harish-Chandra action of G we can consider the functor

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \Xi \underset{B}{\star} \mathcal{F} : D(\mathcal{C})^B \to D(\mathcal{C})^N.$$

Proposition 14.3.2.

(1) *The above functor is exact, and it annihilates an object* $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}$ *if and only if* $\mathcal{F}$ *is partially integrable.*

(2) *For* $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2 \in D(\mathcal{C})^B$ *we have a non-canonical but functorial isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(\Xi \star \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(\mathcal{M}_1, \Xi \star \mathcal{M}_2).$$

Proof. Using Proposition 14.3.1(3), we can rewrite the functor in question as

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \underline{\mathbb{C}}_N \star \mathbf{e}^{\psi} \star \mathcal{F} \otimes \det(\mathfrak{n}[1])^{-1}.$$

Hence, the fact that it annihilates partially integrable objects follows from Lemma 14.2.1.

Recall that the object $\Pi \in \mathcal{O}_0$ is tilting, i.e., it admits two filtrations: one, whose successive quotients are isomorphic to Verma modules, and another, whose successive quotients are dual Vermas. Hence, Ξ also admits such filtrations, with subquotients being $j_{w,!}$ and $j_{w,*}$, respectively. It is clear that convolution with the latter is right exact. The convolution with $j_{w,!}$, being a quasi-inverse of the convolution with $j_{w^{-1},*}$, is therefore left exact.This proves the exactness assertion of the proposition.

Finally, let us show that if $\mathcal{F}$ is not partially integrable, then $\Xi \star \mathcal{F} \neq 0$. Let ${}^f\mathcal{C}$ be the quotient category of $\mathcal{C}$ by the Serre subcategory of partially integrable objects. Let ${}^f\mathcal{F}$ be the image of $\mathcal{F}$ in ${}^f\mathcal{C}$.

We claim that the image of $\Xi \star \mathcal{F}$ in ${}^f\mathcal{C}$ is endowed with an increasing filtration of length $|W|$, whose subquotients are all isomorphic to ${}^f\mathcal{F}$. This follows from the existence of the filtration on Ξ by $j_{w,!}$: Indeed, the cokernel of the map $\delta_{1_{G/B}} \to j_{w,!}$ is partially integrable, hence ${}^f\mathcal{F} \to {}^f(j_{w,!} \underset{B}{\star} \mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism.

Now let us prove assertion (2) of the proposition. By Sect. 22.10.1,

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(\Xi \underset{B}{\star} \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathcal{C})^B}(\mathcal{M}_1, \widetilde{\widetilde{\Xi}} \star \mathcal{M}_2),$$

where $\widetilde{\widetilde{\Xi}}$ is the corresponding dual D-module on $B\backslash G$. Since $\mathcal{M}_2$ was assumed B-equivariant,

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{\Xi}} \star \mathcal{M}_2 \simeq (\widetilde{\widetilde{\Xi}} \star \underline{\mathbb{C}}_B) \underset{B}{\star} \mathcal{M}_2 \otimes \det(\mathfrak{b}[1]).$$

Similarly, by the B-equivariance of $\mathcal{M}_1$,

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(\mathcal{M}_1, \Xi \star \mathcal{M}_2) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathcal{C})^B}(\mathcal{M}_1, (\underline{\mathbb{C}}_B \star \Xi) \star \mathcal{M}_2).$$

Hence, it remains to see that

$$\underline{\mathbb{C}}_B \star \Xi \simeq \widetilde{\widetilde{\Xi}} \star \underline{\mathbb{C}}_B \otimes \det(\mathfrak{b}[1]) \in D(\mathfrak{D}(G/B)\text{–mod})^B \simeq D(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod})^{B\times B}.$$

Using Proposition 14.3.1(3), the left-hand side is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Av}_{B\times B}(\mathrm{Dist}_G(N^-,\psi))$, and using Proposition 14.3.1(4), the right-hand side is isomorphic to the same thing.

□

14.4. Let us return to representations of affine algebras at the critical level. We define the module $\mathbf{\Pi} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$–mod as

$$\mathbf{\Pi} = \mathrm{Ind}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}}_{\mathfrak{g}[[t]]\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}(\Pi). \tag{14.3}$$

By Sect. 7.7, $\mathbf{\Pi}$ belongs to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{–mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$.

From the tilting property of Π, we obtain that $\mathbf{\Pi}$ admits two filtrations: one whose subquotients are modules of the form $\mathbb{M}_w$, and another, whose subquotients are of the form $\mathbb{M}^\vee_w$. Together with Corollary 13.3.2 this implies:

Corollary 14.4.1. *The module* $\mathbf{\Pi}$ *is flat over* $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Using our conventions concerning twisted D-modules on Fl_G, we can rewrite the definition of $\mathbf{\Pi}$ as

$$\Gamma(\mathrm{Fl}_G, \Xi),$$

where we think of Ξ as living on Fl_G via $G/B \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Fl}_G$.

Note that for $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \in D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod})^I$, the convolution $\Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathcal{M}^\bullet$ is tautologically the same as $\Xi \underset{B}{\star} \mathcal{M}^\bullet$, when we think of $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-module via $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$.

Proposition 14.4.2. *If an object* $\mathcal{M}$ *of* $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$ *is partially integrable, then*

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathcal{M}) = 0.$$

Proof. By Proposition 7.5.1, we can assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is I-integrable. In this case the assertion follows readily from Proposition 14.3.2.

□

Obviously, the induction functor $\mathcal{O}_0 \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$ descends to a well-defined functor ${}^f\mathcal{O}_0 \to {}^f\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$. Let

$$\mathcal{M} \mapsto {}^f\mathcal{M}$$

denote the projection functor $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}} \to {}^f\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$. In particular, we obtain the modules ${}^f\mathbb{M}_w$ and ${}^f\mathbf{\Pi}$ in ${}^f\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$.

From Proposition 14.4.2 we obtain the following

Corollary 14.4.3. *The map*

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{RHom}_{{}^fD^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}}({}^f\mathbf{\Pi}, {}^f\mathcal{M})$$

is an isomorphism.

Since we have a surjection $\mathbf{\Pi} \to \mathbb{M}_{w_0}$, we also obtain the following

Corollary 14.4.4. *If an object* $\mathcal{M}$ *of* $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$ *is partially integrable, then* $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{M}_{w_0}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$.

The main theorem in Part IV, from which we will derive Main Theorem 6.3.2 is the following:

Main Theorem 14.4.5. *For any object* $\mathcal{M}$ *of* $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$ *we have*

$$\mathrm{R}^i\,\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathcal{M}) = 0 \textit{ for } i > 0.$$

15. THE MODULE $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ VIA THE AFFINE GRASSMANNIAN

We proceed with the proof of Main Theorem 14.4.5.

15.1. Consider the quotient $\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. We will denote by $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ and $\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}}$ the modules $\mathbf{\Pi} \underset{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathbb{M} \underset{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, respectively. The goal of this section is to express these $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-modules as sections of critically twisted D-modules on the affine Grassmannian.

Consider the element of the extended affine Weyl group equal to $w_0 \cdot \check{\rho} = -\check{\rho} \cdot w_0$. Let $j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},*}$ and $j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},!}$ denote the corresponding critically twisted D-modules on Fl_G.

Note that $w_0 \cdot \check{\rho}$ is minimal in its coset in $W\backslash W_{\mathrm{aff}}/W$, in particular, the orbit $I \cdot (w_0 \cdot \check{\rho}) \subset \mathrm{Fl}_G$ projects one-to-one under $\mathrm{Fl}_G \to \mathrm{Gr}_G$. Hence, $j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},!} \underset{I}{\star} \delta_{1_{Gr_G}}$ it the D-module on Gr_G, obtained as the extension by 0 from the Iwahori orbit of the element $t^{-\check{\rho}} \in \mathrm{Gr}_G$. Let us denote by $\mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G}$ the intersection cohomology D-module corresponding to the above I-orbit.

We have the maps

$$j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},!}\underset{I}{\star}\delta_{1_{Gr_G}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G} \hookrightarrow j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},*}\underset{I}{\star}\delta_{1_{Gr_G}}, \tag{15.1}$$

such that the kernel of the first map and cokernel of the second map are supported on the closed subset $\overline{\mathrm{Gr}_G}^{\check{\rho}} - \mathrm{Gr}_G^{\check{\rho}} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_G$.

Proposition 15.1.1. *The maps*

$$\Xi\underset{I}{\star}j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},!}\underset{I}{\star}\delta_{1_{Gr_G}} \to \Xi\underset{I}{\star}\mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G} \to \Xi\underset{I}{\star}j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},*}\underset{I}{\star}\delta_{1_{Gr_G}}$$

are isomorphisms.

The proposition follows from Proposition 14.3.2, using the following lemma:

Lemma 15.1.2. *Any I-monodromic D-module on Gr_G, supported on $\overline{\mathrm{Gr}_G}^{\check{\rho}} - \mathrm{Gr}_G^{\check{\rho}}$ is partially integrable.*

Proof. Recall that the $G[[t]]$–orbits on Gr_G are labeled by the set of dominant coweights of G; for a coweight $\check{\lambda}$ we will denote by

$$\mathrm{Gr}_G^{\check{\lambda}} \overset{\mathrm{emb}_{\check{\lambda}}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathrm{Gr}_G$$

the embedding of the corresponding orbit. The quotient $G^{(1)}\backslash\mathrm{Gr}_G^{\check{\lambda}}$ is a G-homogeneous space, isomorphic to a partial flag variety. We identify it with with G/P by requiring that the point $w_0\cdot t^{\check{\lambda}} \in G((t))$ project to $1_{G/P} \subset G/P$; we have $P = B$ if and only if $\check{\lambda}$ is regular.

Note that the $G[[t]]$-orbits appearing in $\overline{\mathrm{Gr}_G}^{\check{\rho}} - \mathrm{Gr}_G^{\check{\rho}}$ all correspond to irregular $\check{\lambda}$. Therefore, it is enough to show that an irreducible I-equivariant D-module on $\mathrm{Gr}_G^{\check{\lambda}}$ with irregular $\check{\lambda}$ is partially integrable.

Any such D-module comes as a pull-back from a an irreducible B-equivariant D-module on G/P for some parabolic P, strictly larger than B. By definition, irreducible B-equivariant D-modules on G/P are IC-sheaves of closures of B-orbits on G/P. So, it is enough to show that any such closure is stable under SL_2^{ι} for some $\iota \in \mathcal{I}$. But this is nearly evident:

The orbit of $1_{G/P}$ is clearly P-stable. Any other orbit corresponds to some element $w \in W$ of length more than 1. Hence there exists a simple reflection s_ι such that $s_\iota \cdot w < w$. Then the orbit, corresponding to $s_\iota \cdot w$ is contained in the closure of the one corresponding to w, and their union is SL_2^{ι} stable.

□

Let us denote by $\pi_{\check{\lambda}}$ the map from $\mathrm{Gr}_G^{\check{\lambda}}$ to the corresponding partial flag variety G/P. The following lemma follows directly from definitions.

Lemma 15.1.3. *Let $\widetilde{w}$ be an element of W_{aff} which is minimal in its double coset $W\backslash W_{\mathrm{aff}}/W$, and $\check{\lambda}$ the corresponding dominant coweight. Assume that $\check{\lambda}$ is regular, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a D-module on G/B. We have*

$$\mathcal{F}\star j_{\widetilde{w},!}\star\delta_{1_{\mathrm{Gr}_G}} \simeq (\mathrm{emb}_{\check{\lambda}})_!\circ\pi_{\check{\lambda}}^*(\mathcal{F}) \text{ and } \mathcal{F}\star j_{\widetilde{w},*}\star\delta_{1_{\mathrm{Gr}_G}} \simeq (\mathrm{emb}_{\check{\lambda}})_*\circ\pi_{\check{\lambda}}^*(\mathcal{F}).$$

Therefore, we can rewrite

$$\Xi\underset{I}{\star}j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},!}\underset{I}{\star}\delta_{1_{Gr_G}} \simeq (\mathrm{emb}_{\check{\rho}})_!\circ\pi_{\check{\rho}}^*(\Xi), \text{ and } \Xi\underset{I}{\star}j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},*}\underset{I}{\star}\delta_{1_{Gr_G}} \simeq (\mathrm{emb}_{\check{\rho}})_*\circ\pi_{\check{\rho}}^*(\Xi)$$

Hence, the assertion of Proposition 15.1.1 can be reformulated as cleanness of the perverse sheaf $\pi_{\check{\rho}}^*(\Xi)$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_G^{\check{\rho}}$, i.e., that the map

$$(\mathrm{emb}_{\check{\rho}})_!\circ\pi_{\check{\rho}}^*(\Xi) \to (\mathrm{emb}_{\check{\rho}})_*\circ\pi_{\check{\rho}}^*(\Xi)$$

is an isomorphism.

15.2. Set

$$\mathbf{L}_{w_0} := \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G} \underset{G}{\star} \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} = \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G}).$$

A key result, from which we will derive the main theorem is the following:

Theorem 15.2.1. *There exists a canonically defined map* $\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle} \to \mathbf{L}_{w_0}$ *such that:*

(a) *The above map is surjective and its kernel is partially integrable.*

(b) *The induced map*

$$\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle} \simeq \Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle} \to \Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G} \underset{G}{\star} \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \simeq \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G})$$

is an isomorphism.

This theorem will be proved in Sect. 17. Let us now state a corollary of Theorem 15.2.1 that will be used in the proof of Main Theorem 14.4.5.

Corollary 15.2.2. *For any* $i > 0$, $\mathrm{R}^i\,\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{I^0}}(\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathrm{reg}}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}}) = 0$*, and the natural map* $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathrm{reg}}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}})$ *is an isomorphism.*

Let us prove this corollary. By Proposition 14.4.2, it is sufficient to compute

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}}\left(\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G}), \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G})\right).$$

By Theorem 8.8.2, the latter RHom is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod})}\left(\Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G}, \underset{V\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{R}ep(\check{G}))}{\oplus} \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G} \star \mathcal{F}_{V^*} \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} V_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\right).$$

Let $I^{-,0}$ be the subgroup of $G[[t]]$ equal to the preimage of $N^- \subset G$ under the evaluation map. By composing with $\psi : N^- \to \mathbb{G}_a$, we obtain a character on $I^{-,0}$, denoted in the same way, and we can consider the category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}^{I^{-,0},\psi}$ of $(I^{-,0},\psi)$-equivariant D-modules, and the corresponding triangulated category.

As in Sect. 14.2, the forgetful functor $D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}^{I^{-,0},\psi}) \hookrightarrow D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod})$ admits a right adjoint, which we will denote by $\mathrm{Av}_{I^{-,0},\psi}$, given by convolution with the corresponding D-module on $I^{-,0}$.

From Proposition 14.2.2 we obtain that the composition

$$D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod})^{I,m} \to D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}) \to D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod})^{I^{-,0},\psi},$$

where the last arrow is the functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathrm{Av}_{I^{-,0},\psi}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \det(\mathfrak{n}^-[1])^{-1}$ is exact, and essentially commutes with the Verdier duality on the holonomic subcategory.

By the construction of Ξ, for $\mathcal{F}_1 \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}^I$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}^{I,m}$

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod})}(\Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) \simeq$$

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod})^{I^{-,0},\psi}}\left(\mathrm{Av}_{I^{-,0},\psi}(\mathcal{F}_1), \mathrm{Av}_{I^{-,0},\psi}(\mathcal{F}_2)\right).$$

Using the exactness property of $\mathrm{Av}_{I^{-,0},\psi}$ mentioned above, Corollary 15.2.2 follows from the next general result:

Theorem 15.2.3. *For any two* $\mathcal{F}'_1, \mathcal{F}'_2 \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}^{I^{-,0},\psi}$ *and* $i > 0$

$$\mathrm{R}^i\,\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod})}(\mathcal{F}'_1, \mathcal{F}'_2) = 0.$$

The functor $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}^{G[[t]]} \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-}\mathrm{mod}^{I^{-,0},\psi}$*, given by*

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathrm{Av}_{I^{-,0},\psi}(\mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho}} \star \mathcal{F}),$$

is an equivalence of abelian categories.

The proof of Theorem 15.2.3 is a word-for-word repetition of the proof of the main theorem of [FGV], using the fact that the combinatorics of I^0 (resp., $I^{-,0}$) orbits on Gr_G is the same as that of $N((t))$ (resp., $N^-((t))$) orbits. The main point is that any irreducible object of $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I^{-,0},\psi}$ is a clean extension from a character sheaf on an orbit.

16. Proofs of the main theorems

In this section we will prove Main Theorem 14.4.5 and derive from it Main Theorem 6.3.2, assuming Theorem 15.2.1 (which is proved in the next section).

16.1. In Corollary 15.2.2 we computed the extensions between $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ and $\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}}$ in the category $D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})^{I^0}$. Now we use this result to compute the extensions between $\mathbf{\Pi}$ and $\mathbb{M}_{w_0}$ in the category $D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}$.

Proposition 16.1.1. *The morphism* $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \to \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0})$ *is an isomorphism and* $R^i\,\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0}) = 0$ *for* $i > 0$.

Proof. Let us note that for any two objects $\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet, \mathcal{M}_2^\bullet \in D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})$ the complex $\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})}(\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet, \mathcal{M}_2^\bullet)$ is naturally an object of $D^+(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}\text{-mod})$. Recall also that $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$, as a module over $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$, admits a finite resolution by finitely generated projective modules. Therefore, the functor

$$\mathcal{M}^\bullet \mapsto \mathcal{M}^\bullet \underset{\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$$

is well-defined as a functor $D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}) \to D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})$.

Almost by definition we obtain the following result.

Lemma 16.1.2.

$$\left(\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})}(\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet, \mathcal{M}_2^\bullet)\right) \underset{\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$$

is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})}\left(\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet, (\mathcal{M}_2^\bullet \underset{\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}})\right).$$

Since $\mathbf{\Pi}$ is flat over $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$, by Lemma 23.1.2, we obtain that for any $\mathcal{M} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}}$,

Lemma 16.1.3.

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{reg}})}(\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathrm{reg}}, \mathcal{M}).$$

By combining this with Corollary 15.2.2, we obtain that the natural map

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \to \left(\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0})\right) \underset{\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. We will now derive the assertion of Proposition 16.1.1 by a Nakayama lemma type argument.

Consider the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$, coming from $\mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$. We obtain that $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts weakly on the categories $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}$. Since the objects $\mathbf{\Pi}$ and $\mathbb{M}_{w_0}$ are $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant, the Ext groups

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0}) \text{ and } \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0})$$

acquire an action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ by Lemma 20.3.1.

We claim that the grading arising on $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0})$ is bounded from above. First, let us note that the grading on $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0})$ is non-positive. This is evident since $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0})$ are computed by the standard complex $\mathfrak{C}^\bullet\left(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Pi, \mathbb{M}_{w_0})\right)$, whose terms are non-positively graded. Note also that the algebra $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is non-positively graded, and the grading on $N_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ is such that only finitely many free generators have positive degrees. Now, the spectral sequence of Sect. 7.3 implies by induction on i that the grading on $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}}}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0})$ is bounded from above.

Since the algebra $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}})$ is itself non-positively graded, $\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0})$ can be represented by a complex of graded modules such that the grading on each term is bounded from above, and which lives in non-negative cohomological degrees. Recall again that the ideal of $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}})$ in $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Op}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}})$ is generated by a regular sequence of homogeneous negatively graded elements. The proof is concluded by the following observation:

Lemma 16.1.4. *Let*

$$Q^\bullet := Q^0 \to Q^1 \to ... \to Q^n \to ...$$

be a complex of graded modules over a graded algebra $A = \mathbb{C}[x_1, ..., x_n]$, *where* $\deg(x_i) < 0$ *such that the grading on each* Q^i *is bounded from above. Assume that* $Q^\bullet \overset{L}{\underset{A}{\otimes}} \mathbb{C}$ *is acyclic away from cohomological degree* 0. *Then* $Q^\bullet$ *is itself acyclic away from cohomological degree* 0.

□

Corollary 16.1.5. *For any* $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$*-module* $\mathfrak{L}$

$$\mathrm{R}^i \operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}) = 0$$

for $i > 0$ *and is isomorphic to* $\mathfrak{L}$ *for* $i = 0$.

Proof. Since any module $\mathfrak{L}$ is a direct limit of finitely presented ones, by Proposition 7.5.1, we may assume that $\mathfrak{L}$ is finitely presented. Since $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is isomorphic to a polynomial algebra, any finitely presented module admits a finite resolution by projective ones:

$$\mathfrak{L}_n \to ... \to \mathfrak{L}_1 \to \mathfrak{L}_0 \to \mathfrak{L}.$$

Since $\mathbb{M}_{w_0}$ is flat over $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ (cf, Corollary 13.3.2), we obtain a resolution

$$\mathbb{M}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}_n \to ... \to \mathbb{M}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}_1 \to \mathbb{M}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}_0 \to \mathbb{M}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}.$$

Hence, we obtain a spectral sequence, converging to

$$\mathrm{R}^i \operatorname{Hom}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod})^I}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}),$$

whose first term $E_1^{i,j}$ is given by

$$\mathrm{R}^i \operatorname{Hom}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod})^I}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{L}_{-j}).$$

Since $\mathfrak{L}_\bullet$ are projective, by Proposition 16.1.1, we obtain that $E_1^{i,j} = 0$ unless $i = 0$, and in the latter case, it is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{L}_{-j}$, implying the assertion of the corollary.

□

Corollary 16.1.6. *For any object L of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\text{nilp}}$ and the $\mathfrak{Z}^{\text{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$-module $\mathcal{L} := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{M}_{w_0}, L)$ the kernel of the natural map*

$$\mathbb{M}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{Z}^{\text{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \mathcal{L} \to L$$

is partially integrable.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the kernel of $\mathbb{M}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{Z}^{\text{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \mathcal{L} \to L$, and suppose that it is not partially integrable. Let $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{M}$ be the maximal partially integrable submodule. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'' \to 0.$$

By Lemma 7.8.1, we have a non-zero map $\mathbb{L}_w \to \mathcal{M}''$ for some $w \in W$. We claim that w necessarily equals w_0.

Indeed, all modules $\mathbb{L}_w$ with $w \neq w_0$ are partially integrable, and we would obtain that the preimage in $\mathcal{M}$ of $\text{Im}(\mathbb{L}_w)$ is again integrable, and is strictly bigger than $\mathcal{M}$.

Hence, we have a map $\mathbb{M}_{w_0} \to \mathcal{M}''$, and by composing, we obtain a map $\mathbf{\Pi} \to \mathcal{M}''$. Now, by Proposition 14.4.2, this maps lifts to a map $\mathbf{\Pi} \to \mathcal{M}$, i.e., $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathcal{M}) \neq 0$.

Consider now the exact sequence

$$0 \to \text{Hom}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathcal{M}) \to \text{Hom}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbb{M}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{Z}^{\text{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \mathcal{L}) \to \text{Hom}(\mathbf{\Pi}, L).$$

By Proposition 16.1.5, the middle term is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}$, and it maps injectively to $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{\Pi}, L)$, since

$$\mathcal{L} \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathbb{M}_{w_0}, L) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{\Pi}, L),$$

which is a contradiction.

□

Now we are able to prove Main Theorem 14.4.5:

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an object of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\text{nilp}}$. It admits a filtration $0 = \mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}_2 ....$, whose subquotients $\mathcal{M}_j/\mathcal{M}_{j-1}$ have the property that each is a quotient of the module $\mathbb{L}_w$ for some $w \in W$. By Proposition 7.5.1, to prove that $\text{Ext}^i_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\text{nilp}}}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$ for $i = 0$, by devissage, we can assume that $\mathcal{M}$ itself is a quotient of some $\mathbb{L}_w$.

If $w \neq w_0$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is partially integrable and the vanishing of Exts follows from Proposition 14.4.2. Hence, we can assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is a quotient of $\mathbb{L}_{w_0} = \mathbb{M}_{w_0}$. In this case, the assertion of the theorem follows from Corollary 16.1.5 combined with Corollary 16.1.6.

□

16.2. **Proof of Main Theorem 6.3.2.** Now we derive Main Theorem 6.3.2 from Main Theorem 14.4.5. We define the functor $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}}\text{-mod} \to \mathfrak{Z}^{\text{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes h_0\text{-mod}$ by

$$\mathcal{M} \mapsto \text{Hom}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathcal{M}).$$

Composing with the forgetful functor $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\text{nilp}} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}}\text{-mod}$ we obtain a functor

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\text{nilp}} \to \mathfrak{Z}^{\text{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes h_0\text{-mod}.$$

By Main Theorem 14.4.5, the latter functor is exact, and by Proposition 14.4.2 it factors through ${}^f\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\text{nilp}}$. This defines the desired functor

$${}^f\mathsf{F} : {}^fD^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\text{nilp}})^{I^0} \to D^b(\mathfrak{Z}^{\text{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes h_0\text{-mod}).$$

We define a functor ${}^f\mathsf{G} : \mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes h_0\text{-mod} \to {}^f\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$ by

$$\mathcal{L} \mapsto {}^f\mathbf{\Pi} \underset{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes h_0}{\otimes} \mathcal{L}.$$

From Lemma 14.1.2 and Corollary 13.3.2 it follows that this functor is exact. We will denote by the same character the resulting functor

$$D^b(\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes h_0) \to {}^fD^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}.$$

By Corollary 14.4.3, for $\mathcal{L}^\bullet \in D^-(\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes h_0\text{-mod})$ and $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \in {}^fD^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}$ we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes h_0\text{-mod})}(\mathcal{L}, {}^f\mathsf{F}(\mathcal{M}^\bullet)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{{}^fD^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}}({}^f\mathsf{G}(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{M}^\bullet).$$

Hence, G and F are mutually adjoint. Let us show that they are in fact mutually quasi-inverse.

Let us first show that the adjunction morphism $\mathrm{Id} \to {}^f\mathsf{F} \circ {}^f\mathsf{G}$ is an isomorphism. By exactness, it suffices to show that for a $\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes h_0$-module $\mathcal{L}$, on which the action of h_0 is trivial, the map

$$\mathcal{L} \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}\left({}^f\mathbf{\Pi}, {}^f\mathbf{\Pi} \underset{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes h_0}{\otimes} \mathcal{L}\right) \tag{16.1}$$

is an isomorphism.

We have $\Pi \underset{h_0}{\otimes} \mathbb{C} \simeq M_1^\vee$, and hence $\mathbf{\Pi} \underset{h_0}{\otimes} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{M}_1^\vee$. Since the kernel of $\mathbb{M}_1^\vee \to \mathbb{M}_{w_0}$ is partially integrable, we obtain that

$${}^f\mathbf{\Pi} \underset{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes h_0}{\otimes} \mathcal{L} \simeq {}^f\mathbb{M}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \mathcal{L},$$

and the assertion follows from Corollary 16.1.5.

To show that the adjunction ${}^f\mathsf{G} \circ {}^f\mathsf{F} \to \mathrm{Id}$ is an isomorphism, by exactness, it is again sufficient to evaluate it on a single module $\mathcal{M}$. Since the functor ${}^f\mathsf{F}$ is faithful, it is enough to show that

$${}^f\mathsf{F} \circ {}^f\mathsf{G} \circ {}^f\mathsf{F}(\mathcal{M}) \to {}^f\mathsf{F}(\mathcal{M})$$

is an isomorphism. But we already know that ${}^f\mathsf{F}(\mathcal{M}) \to {}^f\mathsf{F} \circ {}^f\mathsf{G} \circ {}^f\mathsf{F}(\mathcal{M})$ is an isomorphism, and our assertion follows.

This completes the proof of Main Theorem 6.3.2 modulo Theorem 15.2.1. □

17. Proof of Theorem 15.2.1

17.1. Let us first construct the map

$$\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle \rho, \check{\rho} \rangle} \to \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathrm{IC}_{w_0 \cdot \check{\rho}, \mathrm{Gr}_G}), \tag{17.1}$$

whose existence is stated in Theorem 15.2.1.

Consider the $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-module $\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, j_{w_0 \cdot \check{\rho},*} \underset{I}{\star} \delta_{1,\mathrm{Gr}_G})$; it is equivariant with respect to the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ acting by loop rotations. This module contains a unique line, corresponding to those sections of the twisted D-module $j_{w_0 \cdot \check{\rho},*} \underset{I}{\star} \delta_{1,\mathrm{Gr}_G}$, which are scheme-theoretically supported on the closure of the I-orbit of the element $t^{-\check{\rho}} \in \mathrm{Gr}_G$, and which are I^0-invariant.

This line has weight $-2\check{\rho}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$, and has the highest degree with respect to the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action. Moreover, a straightforward calculation (see [BD1], Sect. 9.1.13) shows that this line can be canonically identified with $\omega_x^{\langle \rho, \check{\rho} \rangle}$. This defines a map

$$\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle \rho, \check{\rho} \rangle} \to \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, j_{w_0 \cdot \check{\rho},*} \underset{I}{\star} \delta_{1,\mathrm{Gr}_G}).$$

We claim that the above map factors through $\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check\rho,\mathrm{Gr}_G}) \subset \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, j_{w_0\cdot\check\rho,*} \underset{I}{\star} \delta_{1,\mathrm{Gr}_G})$. Indeed, by Lemma 15.1.2, the quotient module is partially integrable, and from Corollary 14.4.4 we obtain that it cannot receive a non-zero map from $\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}}$.

Proposition 17.1.1. *The map* $\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle\rho,\check\rho\rangle} \to \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check\rho,\mathrm{Gr}_G})$ *constructed above is surjective.*

The proof will be given at the end of this section. We will now proceed with the proof of Theorem 15.2.1.

17.2. We shall now construct a map

$$\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check\rho,\mathrm{Gr}_G}) \to \mathbb{M}_{1,\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle\rho,\check\rho\rangle}. \tag{17.2}$$

First, by Sect. 20.7, for any I-equivariant $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-module $\mathcal{M}$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq R^0\,\mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}\text{-mod}^{G[[t]]}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}, \mathrm{Av}_{G[[t]]/I}(\mathcal{M})).$$

Applying this to $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}}$, we calculate:

$$\mathrm{Av}_{G[[t]]/I}(\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}}) \simeq \mathrm{Av}_{G[[t]]/I}(\mathbb{M}_{w_0}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \simeq \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}[-\dim(G/B)] \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}. \tag{17.3}$$

Hence, the 0-th cohomology of $\mathrm{Av}_{G[[t]]/I}(\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}})$ is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}}_{\dim(G/B)}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}}, \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}) \simeq \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \otimes \mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}}_{\dim(G/B)}(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}, \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}).$$

However, from Corollary 4.5.4 and Proposition 4.7.1(2), it follows that

$$\mathrm{Tor}^{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}}_{\dim(G/B)}(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}, \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}) \simeq \Lambda^{\dim(G/B)}(N^*_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}}) \simeq \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{-\langle 2\rho,\check\rho\rangle}.$$

Hence, the above 0-th cohomology is isomorphic to $\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \otimes \omega_x^{-\langle 2\rho,\check\rho\rangle}$, and we obtain a map

$$\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \to \mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle 2\rho,\check\rho\rangle}.$$

By applying the convolution $j_{w_0\cdot\check\rho,*} \underset{I}{\star} \cdot$ to both sides we obtain a map

$$\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, j_{w_0\cdot\check\rho,*} \underset{I}{\star} \delta_{1,\mathrm{Gr}_G}) \to j_{w_0\cdot\check\rho,*} \underset{I}{\star} \mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle 2\rho,\check\rho\rangle}. \tag{17.4}$$

However, by (13.4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} &j_{w_0\cdot\check\rho,*} \underset{I}{\star} \mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \simeq j_{w_0,!} \underset{I}{\star} j_{w_0,*} \underset{I}{\star} j_{w_0\cdot\check\rho,*} \underset{I}{\star} \mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \simeq \\ &j_{w_0,!} \underset{I}{\star} j_{\check\rho,*} \underset{I}{\star} \mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \simeq j_{w_0,!} \underset{I}{\star} \mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{-\langle\rho,\check\rho\rangle} \simeq \mathbb{M}_{1,\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{-\langle\rho,\check\rho\rangle}, \end{aligned}$$

and by composing with the embedding $\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check\rho,\mathrm{Gr}_G}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, j_{w_0\cdot\check\rho,*} \underset{I}{\star} \delta_{1,\mathrm{Gr}_G})$ we obtain the map of (17.2). By constriction, this map respects the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action.

17.3. Consider now the composition

$$\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle\rho,\check\rho\rangle} \to \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check\rho,\mathrm{Gr}_G}) \to \mathbb{M}_{1,\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle\rho,\check\rho\rangle}. \tag{17.5}$$

Lemma 17.3.1. *The resulting map* $\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \to \mathbb{M}_{1,\mathrm{reg}}$ *is a non-zero multiple of the canonical map, coming from the embedding* $M_{w_0} \to M_1$.

Proof. First, the map in question is non-zero by Proposition 17.1.1. Secondly, our map $\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \to \mathbb{M}_{1,\mathrm{reg}}$ respects the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action by loop rotations. Since $\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}}$ is generated by a vector of degree 0, and the subspace in $\mathbb{M}_{1,\mathrm{reg}}$, consisting of elements of degree 0 is isomorphic to the Verma module M_0, any map $\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \to \mathbb{M}_{1,\mathrm{reg}}$, compatible with the grading, is a scalar multiple of the canonical map.

□

17.4. Let us now derive Theorem 15.2.1 from Lemma 17.3.1.

Let us apply the convolution $\Xi \underset{I}{\star} \cdot$ to the three terms appearing in (17.5). We obtain the maps

$$\mathbf{\Pi} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle} \simeq \Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle} \to \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G}) \to \Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathbb{M}_{1,\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle}. \tag{17.6}$$

However, the canonical map $M_{w_0} \to M_0$ has the property that its cokernel is partially integrable. Hence, the cone of the resulting map $\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \to \mathbb{M}_{1,\mathrm{reg}}$ is also partially integrable.

Hence, by Theorem 17.3.1 and Proposition 14.3.2, the composed map in (17.6) is an isomorphism. In particular, we obtain that $\mathbf{\Pi}$ is a direct summand of $\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G})$.

Lemma 17.4.1. *The map*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \otimes h_0 \to \mathrm{End}(\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G}))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. By Theorem 8.8.2 the assertion of the lemma is equivalent to the fact that $h_0 \simeq \mathrm{End}(\Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G})$, and $\mathrm{Hom}(\Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G}, \Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G} \underset{G[[t]]}{\star} \mathcal{F}_{V^{\check{\lambda}}}) = 0$ for $\check{\lambda} \neq 0$.

The former isomorphism follows from the fact that $h_0 \simeq \mathrm{End}(\Xi)$, combined with Proposition 15.1.1 and the fact that the projection $\mathrm{Gr}_G^{\check{\rho}} \to G/B$ is smooth with connected fibers.

To prove the vanishing for $\check{\lambda} \neq 0$, it is enough to show that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G}, \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G} \underset{G[[t]]}{\star} \mathcal{F}_{V^{\check{\lambda}}}) = 0,$$

because modulo partially integrable objects, Ξ appearing in the right-hand side is an extension of several copies of $\delta_{1_{G/B}}$.

As in the proof of Corollary 15.2.2, the latter Hom is isomorphic to

$$R^0\,\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}-\mathrm{mod})^{I^-,0,\psi}}\left(\mathrm{Av}_{I^-,0,\psi}(\delta_{1_{\mathrm{Gr}_G}}), \mathrm{Av}_{I^-,0,\psi}(\delta_{1_{\mathrm{Gr}_G}}) \underset{G[[t]]}{\star} \mathcal{F}_{V^{\check{\lambda}}}\right),$$

and the latter vanishes, according to Theorem 15.2.3.

□

Thus, we obtain that the ring $\mathrm{End}(\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G}))$ has no idempotents. In particular, the map $\mathbf{\Pi} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle} \to \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \Xi \underset{I}{\star} \mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G})$ is an isomorphism, establishing point (b) of Theorem 15.2.1.

Proposition 17.1.1 states that the map (17.1) is surjective. Thus, it remains to show that the kernel of the map (17.1) is partially integrable. But this follows from point (b) and Proposition 14.3.2. Therefore we obtain point (a) of Theorem 15.2.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 15.2.1 modulo Proposition 17.1.1, which is proved in the next section. □

17.5. **Proof of Proposition 17.1.1.** The crucial fact used in the proof of this proposition is that the module $\mathbf{L}_{w_0}$ carries an action of the renormalized algebra $U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})$, see Sect. 7.4. Moreover, as an object of the category $U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})\text{–mod}$, the module $\mathbf{L}_{w_0}$ is irreducible, because the D-module $\mathrm{IC}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},\mathrm{Gr}_G}$ is irreducible, and the global sections functor $\mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)\text{–mod} \to U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})\text{–mod}$ is fully faithful, according to [FG].

Recall that the algebra $U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})\text{–mod}$ is naturally filtered,

$$U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})\text{–mod} = \underset{i}{\cup}\,(U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})\text{–mod})^i, \text{ so that}$$

$(U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})\text{–mod})^0 \simeq \widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, and $(U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})\text{–mod})^1/(U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})\text{–mod})^0$ being a free $(U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})\text{–mod})_0$-module, generated by the algebroid $N^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

Let us denote by $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^0 \subset \mathbf{L}_{w_0}$ the image of the map $\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle} \to \mathbf{L}_{w_0}$, and we define the submodule $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^i$ inductively as the image of $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^{i-1}$ under the action of $(U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})\text{–mod})^1$. In particular, we have surjective maps

$$N^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \otimes (\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^i/(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^{i-1} \to (\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^{i+1}/(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^i,$$

and, hence, also surjective maps

$$\left(N^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\right)^{\otimes i} \otimes \left(\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle}\right) \twoheadrightarrow (\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^i/(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^{i-1},$$

and $\underset{i}{\cup}\,(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^i = \mathbf{L}_{w_0}$. Our task is to show that $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^0 = (\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^1$, i.e., that $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^0$ is stable under the action of $(U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})\text{–mod})^1$.

Lemma 17.5.1. *The module $\mathbf{L}_{w_0}$ has no partially integrable subquotients.*

Proof. First, let us show first that $\mathbf{L}_{w_0}$ has no partially integrable quotient modules. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is such a quotient module. Let i be the minimal integer such that the projection $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^i \to \mathcal{M}$ is non-zero; by definition this projection factors through $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^i/(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^{i-1}$. Hence, some element of $\left(N^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\right)^{\otimes i}$ gives rise to a non-trivial map $\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}} \to \mathcal{M}$. But this is a contradiction, since $\mathbb{M}_{w_0}$, and hence $\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\mathrm{reg}}$, cannot map to any partially integrable module.

Consider now $\mathbf{L}_{w_0}$ as a graded module, i.e., as a module over $\mathbb{C}\cdot t\partial_t \ltimes \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$. It is easy to see that a graded module admits no partially integrable subquotients as a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-module if and only if it has the same property with respect to $\mathbb{C}\cdot t\partial_t \ltimes \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$.

As was remarked earlier, the commutative Lie algebra $\mathbb{C}\cdot t\partial_t \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ has finite-dimensional eigenspaces on the module $\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},*} \underset{I}{\star} \delta_{1_{Gr_G}})$; hence the same will be true for $\mathbf{L}_{w_0}$.

Consider the maximal $\mathbb{C}\cdot t\partial_t \ltimes \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-stable submodule of $\mathbf{L}_{w_0}$, that does not contain the highest weight line, and take the quotient. Since this quotient is generated by a vector of weight -2ρ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$, it is not partially integrable.

Let $\mathcal{M}''$ be maximal $\mathbb{C}\cdot t\partial_t \ltimes \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-stable quotient of $\mathbf{L}_{w_0}$, which admits no partially integrable subquotients. It is well-defined due to the above finite-dimensionality property. It is non-zero, because we have just exhibited one such quotient.

Let $\mathcal{M}' := \ker(\mathbf{L}_{w_0} \to \mathcal{M}'')$, and assume that $\mathcal{M}' \neq 0$. As above, some section of $N^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ induces a non-zero map of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-modules $\mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{M}''$. Therefore, $\mathcal{M}'$ also admits a quotient, which has no partially integrable subquotients. This contradicts the definition of $\mathcal{M}''$. Hence, $\mathbf{L}_{w_0}$ has no partially integrable subquotients.

$\square$

Let us continue viewing $\mathbf{L}_{w_0}$ as a graded module. For an integer n we will denote by $(\cdot)_n$ the subspace of elements of degree n. By Sect. 17.1, $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})_{n+\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle} = 0$ if $n > 0$, and $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})_{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle}$ identifies with the Verma module M_{w_0}; in particular, it is contained in $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^0$.

Lemma 17.5.2. *The subspace* $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})_{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle-1}$ *is also contained in* $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^0$.

Proof. Let $\mathsf{V} \subset \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},*} \underset{I}{\star} \delta_{1_{Gr_G}})$ be the subspace of sections, scheme-theoretically supported on the I-orbit $I \cdot t^{-\check{\rho}} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_G$.

Let $\mathrm{Lie}(I^0)^- \subset \mathfrak{g}(\!(t)\!)$ be the subalgebra, opposite to $\mathrm{Lie}(I^0)$, i.e., the one spanned by $t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}([t^{-1}])$ and $\mathfrak{n}^{-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. The module $\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},*} \underset{I}{\star} \delta_{1_{Gr_G}})$ is generated from V by means of $\mathrm{Lie}(I^0)^- \subset \mathfrak{g}(\!(t)\!)$.

Hence, the subspace $\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},*} \underset{I}{\star} \delta_{1_{Gr_G}})_{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle-1}$ is the direct sum of $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes t^{-1})\cdot U(\mathfrak{n}^-)\cdot \mathsf{V}_{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle}$ and $U(\mathfrak{n}^-)\cdot \mathsf{V}_{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle-1}$. Note that $\mathsf{V}_{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle}$ is the highest weight line in $\mathbf{L}_{w_0}$. Hence,

$$(\mathfrak{g}\otimes t^{-1})\cdot U(\mathfrak{n}^-)\cdot \mathsf{V}_{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle} \subset (\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^0.$$

Therefore,, it remains to show that $\mathsf{V}_{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle-1} \cap \mathbf{L}_{w_0}$ is contained in $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^0$. Suppose not, and consider the image of $\mathsf{V}_{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle-1} \cap \mathbf{L}_{w_0}$ in $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^1/(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^0$. This is a subspace annihilated by $\mathfrak{g}(t\mathbb{C}[[t]]])$, and stable under the $\mathfrak{b}$-action. Take some highest weight vector. It gives rise to a map $\mathbb{M}_w \to (\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^1/(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^0$ for some element $w \in W$; moreover $w = w_0$ if and only if the above highest weight is -2ρ.

However, the algebra of functions on $I \cdot t^{-\check{\rho}}$ is generated by elements, whose weights with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$ are in $\mathrm{Span}^+(\alpha_i) - 0$. Therefore, the above highest weight is different from -2ρ. Thus, we obtain a non-zero map $\mathbb{M}_w \to (\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^1/(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^0$ for $w \neq w_0$, where $\mathbb{M}_w$ is endowed with a $\mathbb{G}_m$-action such that its generating vector has degree $\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle - 1$. But this leads to a contradiction:

By Lemma 17.5.1, the image of $\mathbb{M}_w$ in $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^1/(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^0$ equals the image of the submodule $\mathbb{M}_{w_0} \subset \mathbb{M}_w$, as the quotient is partially integrable. Hence, $\mathbb{M}_w$ admits a quotient, which is simultaneously a quotient module of $\mathbb{M}_{w_0}$. However, this is impossible, since we are working with the *Kac-Moody* algebra $\mathbb{C}\cdot t\partial_t \ltimes \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$, and it is known that for Kac-Moody algebras, Verma modules have simple and mutually non-isomorphic co-socles.

□

Now we are ready to finish the proof of Proposition 17.1.1. Consider the nilp-version of the renormalized universal enveloping algebra at the critical level, $U^{\mathrm{ren,nilp}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})$, see Sect. 7.4. We have a natural homomorphism $U^{\mathrm{ren,nilp}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}) \to U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})$.

Consider the $\hbar$-family of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\hbar$-modules equal to $\mathbb{M}_{-2\rho+\kappa_\hbar(\check{\rho},\cdot)}$. Its specialization at $\hbar = 0$ is the module $\mathbb{M}_{w_0}$; and hence it acquires a $U^{\mathrm{ren,nilp}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})$-action.

Lemma 17.5.3. *The map* $\mathbb{M}_{w_0} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle} \to \mathbf{L}_{w_0}$ *is compatible with the* $U^{\mathrm{ren,nilp}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}})$*-actions.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the map $\mathbb{M}_{w_0} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle} \to \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},*} \underset{I}{\star} \delta_{1_{Gr_G}})$, constructed in Sect. 17.1, deforms away from the critical level. □

By Theorem 7.4.2 and Corollary 4.5.4, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to N^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}})} \to N^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to (\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{b}})_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \to 0.$$

Let $L_{-1} = \partial_t$ be the renormalized Sugawara operator, which we view as an element of $(U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}))^1$. By Proposition 4.7.1, the image of L_{-1} in $N^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \twoheadrightarrow (\check{\mathfrak{g}}/\check{\mathfrak{b}})_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ is a principal nilpotent element. Hence, $N^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{nilp}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}})}$ and L_{-1} generate $N^*_{\mathfrak{z}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ as an algebroid. This, in turn, implies that L_{-1} and $(U^{\mathrm{ren,nilp}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}))^1 \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}}{\otimes} \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ generate $(U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}))^1$ as an algebroid over $(U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}))^0$.

Thus, to prove Proposition 17.1.1, it remains to check that L_{-1} preserves $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^0$. Since L_{-1} normalizes $(U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}))^0$, and since $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^0$ is generated over $(U^{\mathrm{ren,reg}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}))^0$ by its highest weight line, it suffices to show that L_{-1} maps this highest weight line to $(\mathbf{L}_{w_0})^0$.

However, the image of the highest weight line under L_{-1} has degree $\langle \rho, \check{\rho} \rangle - 1$, and our assertion follows from Lemma 17.5.2. This completes the proof of Proposition 17.1.1. □

17.6. We conclude this section by the following observation:

Proposition 17.6.1. *For every* $\chi \in \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}})$*, the module* $\mathbf{L}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_\chi$ *is irreducible.*

Proof. Let us observe that, on the one hand, Corollary 16.1.6 implies that the module $\mathbb{M}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_\chi$ has a unique irreducible quotient, denoted $\mathbf{L}_{w_0,\chi}$, such that the kernel of the projection

$$\mathbb{M}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_\chi \to \mathbf{L}_{w_0,\chi}$$

is partially integrable.

On the other hand, by Theorem 15.2.1, the above projection factors through

$$\mathbb{M}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_\chi \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{L}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_\chi \to \mathbf{L}_{w_0,\chi}.$$

Thus, we obtain a surjective map $\mathbf{L}_{w_0} \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_\chi \to \mathbf{L}_{w_0,\chi}$, whose kernel is partially integrable. However, by Lemma 17.5.1, we conclude that this map must be an isomorphism.

□

18. Comparison with semi-infinite cohomology

18.1. Consider the group ind-scheme $N^-((t))$, and let Ψ_0 denote a non-degenerate character $N^-((t)) \to \mathbb{G}_a$ of conductor 0. This means that the restriction of Ψ_0 to $N^-[[t]]$ is trivial, and its restriction to $\mathrm{Ad}_{t^{\check{\alpha}_\iota}}(N^-[[t]]) \subset N^-((t))$ is non-trivial for each $\iota \in \mathcal{I}$. Note that to specify Ψ_0 one needs to make a choice: e.g., of a non-vanishing 1-form on $\mathcal{D}$, in addition to a choice of $\psi : N^- \to \mathbb{G}_a$.

For a coweight $\check{\lambda}$, let $\Psi_{\check{\lambda}}$ denote the character obtained as a composition

$$N^-((t)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ad}(t^{\check{\lambda}})} N^-((t)) \xrightarrow{\Psi_0} \mathbb{G}_a.$$

Note that for $\check{\lambda} = -\check{\rho}$, this character is canonical, modulo a choice of ψ (the latter we will consider fixed).

We will identify N^- and N by means of conjugation by a chosen left of the element $w_0 \in W$; and denote by the same symbol $\Psi_{\check{\lambda}}$ the corresponding character on $N((t))$. We will also use the same notation for the corresponding characters on the Lie algebras.

In this section we will study semi-infinite cohomology of $\mathfrak{n}^-((t))$ twisted by the characters $\Psi_{\check{\lambda}}$ with coefficients in $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}$-modules. The complex computing semi-infinite cohomology was introduced by Feigin [Fe]; the construction is recalled in Sect. 19.8. We denote it by

$$\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), ?, \mathcal{M} \otimes \Psi_{\check{\lambda}}),$$

where ? stands for a choice of a lattice in $\mathfrak{n}^-((t))$. Its cohomology will be denoted by

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), \mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathcal{M} \otimes \Psi_{\check{\lambda}}).$$

Proposition 18.1.1. *For $\mathcal{M} \in D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod})^{I^0}$,*

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}((t)), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \mathcal{M} \otimes \Psi_0) \simeq \mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}\left(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], (\widetilde{j}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},*} \underset{I^0}{\star} \mathcal{M}) \otimes \Psi_{-\check{\rho}}\right).$$

We do not give the proof, since it essentially repeats the proof of Proposition 12.5.1. (In particular, the assertion is valid at any level κ.)

Another important observation (also valid at any level) is the following:

Lemma 18.1.2. *If $\mathcal{M} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I^0}$ is partially integrable, then*

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), \mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathcal{M} \otimes \Psi_{-\check{\rho}}) = 0.$$

Proof. We can assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is integrable with respect to $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{\iota}$ for some $\iota \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $f_\iota \in \mathfrak{n}^- \subset \mathfrak{n}^-((t))$ be the corresponding Chevalley generator. With no restriction of generality, we can assume that $\Psi_{-\check{\rho}}(f_\iota) = 1$.

Consider the complex $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), \mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathcal{M} \otimes \Psi_{-\check{\rho}})$, and recall (see Sect. 19.8) that we have an action of $\mathfrak{n}^-((t))[1]$ on it by "annihilation operators", $x \mapsto i(x)$, and the action of $\mathfrak{n}^-((t))$ by Lie derivatives $x \mapsto \mathrm{Lie}_x$ such that

$$[d, i(x)] = \mathrm{Lie}_x + \mathrm{Id} \cdot \Psi_{-\check{\rho}}(x).$$

Hence, $i(f_\iota)$ defines a homotopy between the identity map on $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), \mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathcal{M} \otimes \Psi_{-\check{\rho}})$ and the map given by Lie_{f_ι}. However, by assumption, the latter acts locally nilpotently, implying the assertion of the lemma.

$\square$

18.2. In the rest of this section we will collect several additional facts concerning the semi-infinite cohomology functor $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], ? \otimes \Psi_{-\check{\rho}})$. By Lemma 18.1.2, this functor, when restricted to $D^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}$, factors through ${}^fD^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0}$.

Theorem 18.2.1. *The two functors ${}^fD^b(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_{\mathrm{nilp}})^{I^0} \to D(\mathrm{Vect})$*

$$\mathcal{M}^\bullet \mapsto H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]],\ \mathcal{M}^\bullet \otimes \Psi_{-\check{\rho}}) \text{ and } \mathcal{M}^\bullet \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet)$$

are isomorphic. In particular, for $0 \neq \mathcal{M} \in {}^f\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\mathrm{nilp}}$, we have

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathcal{M} \otimes \Psi_{-\check{\rho}}) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq 0 \text{ and } H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathcal{M} \otimes \Psi_{-\check{\rho}}) \neq 0.$$

The proof of the theorem is based on the following observation:

Lemma 18.2.2. *For any $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$-module $\mathcal{L}$ and $w \in W$, we have:*

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}\big(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], (\mathbb{M}_w \underset{\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}}{\otimes} \mathcal{L}) \otimes \Psi_{-\check{\rho}}\big) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}, & i = 0, \\ 0, & i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since the quotients $\mathbb{M}_1/\mathbb{M}_w$ are all partially integrable, we can assume that the element $w \in W$, appearing in the lemma, equals 1. In the latter case, the assertion follows from Proposition 12.4.1 and Corollary 13.3.1. $\square$

Let us now prove Theorem 18.2.1.

Proof. In view of Main Theorem 6.3.2, to prove the theorem we have to establish an isomorphism

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathbf{\Pi} \otimes \Psi_{-\check{\rho}}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}} \otimes h_0.$$

Consider the filtration on $\mathbf{\Pi}$, induced by the tilting filtration on Π with quotients M_w. By Lemma 18.2.2, we obtain that $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathbf{\Pi} \otimes \Psi_{-\check{\rho}}) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$, and that $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathbf{\Pi} \otimes \Psi_{-\check{\rho}})$ has a filtration, with subquotients isomorphic to $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{nilp}}$.

Hence it remains to show that $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathbf{\Pi}\otimes\Psi_{-\check{\rho}})$ is flat as an h_0-module, where the action of h_0 is induced from the identification $h_0 \simeq \text{End}(\Pi)$.

It suffices to check that

$$\left(H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathbf{\Pi}\otimes\Psi_{-\check{\rho}})\right) \overset{L}{\underset{h_0}{\otimes}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{nilp}}.$$

By Lemma 14.1.2, ${}^f\Pi \overset{L}{\underset{h_0}{\otimes}} \mathbb{C} \simeq {}^fM_{w_0}$. Hence,

$$\left(H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathbf{\Pi}\otimes\Psi_{-\check{\rho}})\right) \overset{L}{\underset{h_0}{\otimes}} \mathbb{C} \simeq H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathbb{M}_{w_0}\otimes\Psi_{-\check{\rho}}),$$

and the assertion follows from Lemma 18.2.2.

□

Corollary 18.2.3. *For any object* $\mathcal{M}$ *of* $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{crit}}\text{-mod}^{I,m}_{\text{nilp}}$ *and a dominant coweight* $\check{\lambda}$

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(\mathfrak{n}((t)), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \mathcal{M}\otimes\Psi_{\check{\lambda}}) = 0 \textit{ for } i > 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(\mathfrak{n}((t)), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \mathcal{M}\otimes\Psi_{\check{\lambda}}) \simeq H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \widetilde{j}_{\check{\lambda},*} \underset{I^0}{\star} \widetilde{j}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},*} \underset{I^0}{\star} \mathcal{M}\otimes\Psi_{-\check{\rho}}),$$

as in Proposition 18.1.1.

Now the assertion of the corollary follows from the fact that the functor $\mathcal{M} \mapsto \widetilde{j}_{\check{\lambda},*} \underset{I^0}{\star} \widetilde{j}_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},*} \underset{I^0}{\star} \mathcal{M}$ is right exact.

□

As another application, we give an alternative proof of the following result of [FB] (see Theorem 15.1.9).

Theorem 18.2.4. *The natural map* $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{reg}} \to H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}((t)), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \mathbb{V}_{\text{crit}}\otimes\Psi_0)$ *is an isomorphism, and all other cohomologies* $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(\mathfrak{n}((t)), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \mathbb{V}_{\text{crit}}\otimes\Psi_0)$, $i \neq 0$, *vanish.*

Proof. Consider the map

$$\mathbb{M}_{w_0,\text{reg}} \otimes \omega_x^{\langle\rho,\check{\rho}\rangle} \to j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},*} \underset{I}{\star} \mathbb{V}_{\text{crit}}$$

of Sect. 17.1. Its kernel and cokernel are partially integrable; hence it induces isomorphisms

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathbb{M}_{w_0,\text{reg}}\otimes\Psi_{-\check{\rho}}) \to H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},*} \underset{I}{\star} \mathbb{V}_{\text{crit}}\otimes\Psi_{-\check{\rho}}).$$

By Lemma 18.2.2,

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], \mathbb{M}_{w_0,\text{reg}}\otimes\Psi_{-\check{\rho}}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{reg}}, \ i = 0, \\ 0, \ i \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

we obtain that

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(\mathfrak{n}^-((t)), t\mathfrak{n}^-[[t]], j_{w_0\cdot\check{\rho},*} \underset{I}{\star} \mathbb{V}_{\text{crit}}\otimes\Psi_{-\check{\rho}}) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{reg}}, \ i = 0, \\ 0, \ i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Applying Proposition 18.1.1 for $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{V}_{\text{crit}}$, we obtain that $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(\mathfrak{n}((t)), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \mathbb{V}_{\text{crit}}\otimes\Psi_0) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$, and

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}((t)), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \mathbb{V}_{\text{crit}}\otimes\Psi_0) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{reg}}.$$

Moreover, by unraveling the isomorphism of Proposition 18.1.1, we obtain that the above isomorphism coincides with the one appearing in the statement of the theorem.

□

18.3. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a critically twisted D-module on Gr_G. In this subsection we will express the semi-infinite cohomology

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{n}(\!(t)\!), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}) \otimes \Psi_0\right) \tag{18.1}$$

in terms of the de Rham cohomologies of $\mathcal{F}$ along the $N(\!(t)\!)$-orbits in Gr_G.

For a coweight $\check{\lambda}$, consider the $N(\!(t)\!)$-orbit of the point $t^{\check{\lambda}}$ on Gr_G; by pulling back $\mathcal{F}$, by Sect. 21.6, we obtain a D-module on $N(\!(t)\!)$. We will denote it by $\mathcal{F}|_{N(\!(t)\!)\cdot t^{\check{\lambda}}}$. If Ψ_0 is a non-degenerate character of conductor 0, we will denote by $H^\bullet(N(\!(t)\!), \mathcal{F}|_{N(\!(t)\!)\cdot t^{\check{\lambda}}} \otimes \Psi_0)$ the resulting de Rham cohomology. Note that this cohomology vanishes automatically unless $\check{\lambda}$ is dominant, since otherwise Ψ_0 would be non-trivial on the stabilizer of $t^{\check{\lambda}} \in \mathrm{Gr}_G$.

By decomposing $\mathcal{F}$ in the derived category with respect to the stratification of Gr_G by $N(\!(t)\!) \cdot t^{\check{\lambda}}$, using Sect. 22.7.2, we obtain that, as an object of the derived category of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}$-modules, $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{n}(\!(t)\!), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}) \otimes \Psi_0\right)$ is a successive extension of complexes

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{n}(\!(t)\!), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \delta_{t^{\check{\lambda}}}) \otimes \Psi_0\right) \otimes H^\bullet(N(\!(t)\!), \mathcal{F}|_{N(\!(t)\!)\cdot t^{\check{\lambda}}} \otimes \Psi_0). \tag{18.2}$$

Note also that $\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \delta_{t^{\check{\lambda}}})$ is isomorphic to the vacuum module, twisted by $t^{\check{\lambda}} \in T(\!(t)\!)$. Hence,

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{n}(\!(t)\!), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \delta_{t^{\check{\lambda}}}) \otimes \Psi_0\right) \simeq H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{n}(\!(t)\!), \mathrm{Ad}_{t^{\check{\lambda}}}(\mathfrak{n}[[t]]), \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \otimes \Psi_{\check{\lambda}}\right). \tag{18.3}$$

We will prove the following:

Theorem 18.3.1.

(1) *For* $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)_{\mathrm{crit}}$–mod *there is a canonical direct sum decomposition*

$$\begin{aligned}&H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{n}(\!(t)\!), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}) \otimes \Psi_0\right) \simeq \\ &\underset{\check{\lambda}}{\oplus} H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{n}(\!(t)\!), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \delta_{t^{\check{\lambda}}}) \otimes \Psi_0\right) \otimes H^\bullet(N(\!(t)\!), \mathcal{F}|_{N(\!(t)\!)\cdot t^{\check{\lambda}}} \otimes \Psi_0).\end{aligned}$$

(2) *The cohomology* $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}\left(\mathfrak{n}(\!(t)\!), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \otimes \Psi_{\check{\lambda}}\right)$ *vanishes unless* $\check{\lambda}$ *is dominant and* $i = 0$, *and in the latter case, it is canonically isomorphic to* $V^{\check{\lambda}}_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of this theorem. Let us first prove point (2). The fact that the semi-infinite cohomology in question vanishes unless $\check{\lambda}$ is dominant, follows by the same argument as in Lemma 18.1.2. Therefore, let us assume that $\check{\lambda}$ is dominant and consider the D-module $\mathcal{F}_{V^{\check{\lambda}}}$, see Sect. 8.4.

By the geometric Casselman-Shalika formula, see [FGV],

$$H^\bullet(N(\!(t)\!), \mathcal{F}_{V^{\check{\lambda}}}|_{N(\!(t)\!)\cdot t^{\check{\mu}}} \otimes \Psi_0) = 0$$

unless $\mu = \lambda$. Therefore, all terms with $\mu \neq \lambda$ in the spectral sequence (18.2) vanish. We obtain, therefore,

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{n}(\!(t)\!), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}_{V^{\check{\lambda}}}) \otimes \Psi_0\right) \simeq H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}\left(\mathfrak{n}(\!(t)\!), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \otimes \Psi_{\check{\lambda}}\right).$$

But by Theorem 8.4.2, $\Gamma(\mathrm{Gr}_G, \mathcal{F}_{V^{\check{\lambda}}}) \simeq \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \underset{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}{\otimes} V^{\check{\lambda}}_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$. By combining this with Theorem 18.2.4, we obtain

$$H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}\left(\mathfrak{n}(\!(t)\!), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \otimes \Psi_{\check{\lambda}}\right) \simeq V^{\check{\lambda}}_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{reg}}}, \quad H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}\left(\mathfrak{n}(\!(t)\!), \mathfrak{n}[[t]], \mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{crit}} \otimes \Psi_{\check{\lambda}}\right) = 0, i \neq 0, \tag{18.4}$$

as required.

To prove point (1) we need some preparations.

Proposition 18.3.2. *Suppose that* $\mathcal{M}$ *is an object of* $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\rm crit}$–mod$_{\rm reg}$ *that comes by restriction from a* $U^{\rm ren,reg}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\rm crit})$*-module. Then all* $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(\mathfrak{n}((t)),\mathfrak{n}[[t]],\mathcal{M}\otimes\Psi_0)$ *are naturally modules over the algebroid* $N^*_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\rm reg}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

Proof. We will assume that Ψ_0 comes from a character of the Lie-* algebra $L_\mathfrak{n}$. In this case, the BRST complex $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_\mathfrak{n},\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\rm crit}\otimes\Psi_0)$ is itself a DG-chiral algebra.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\hbar}$ be a 1-st order deformation of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\rm crit}$ away from the critical level; i.e., $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\hbar}$ is flat over $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]/\hbar^2$, and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\hbar}/\hbar\simeq\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\rm crit}$.

Let us consider the DG-chiral algebra $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_\mathfrak{n},\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\hbar}\otimes\Psi_0)$. From Theorem 18.2.4, it follows that it is acyclic off cohomological degree 0; in particular, its 0-th cohomology is $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]/\hbar^2$-flat.

This implies that any section $a\in\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}$, which we think of as a 0-cocycle in $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_\mathfrak{n},\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\rm crit}\otimes\Psi_0)$, can be lifted to a 0-cocycle $a_\hbar\in\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_\mathfrak{n},\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g},\hbar}\otimes\Psi_0)$.

We will think of $\frac{a_\hbar}{\hbar}$ an element of the Lie-* algebra $\mathcal{A}^\sharp_\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathrm{Cliff}(L_\mathfrak{n})$, where $\mathcal{A}^\sharp_\mathfrak{g}$ is as in [FG], and $\mathrm{Cliff}(L_\mathfrak{n})$ is the Clifford chiral algebra, used in the definition of the BRST complex.

By the construction of $\mathcal{A}^\sharp_\mathfrak{g}$, for $\mathcal{M}$ satisfying the properties of the proposition, we have an action of $\mathcal{A}^\sharp_\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{M}$, and hence, an action of the Lie-* algebra $\mathcal{A}^\sharp_\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathrm{Cliff}(L_\mathfrak{n})$ on the complex $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_\mathfrak{n},\mathcal{M}\otimes\Psi_0)$. By taking the Lie-* bracket with the above element $\frac{a_\hbar}{\hbar}$ we obtain an endomorphism of $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_\mathfrak{n},\mathcal{M}\otimes\Psi_0)$, which commutes with the differential.

It is easy to see that for a different choice of $a_\hbar$ the corresponding endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(L_\mathfrak{n},\mathcal{M}\otimes\Psi_0)$ will differ by a coboundary. Thus, we obtain a Lie-* action of $\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}$ on each $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(L_\mathfrak{n},\mathcal{M}\otimes\Psi_0)$. One easily checks that this action satisfies the Leibniz rule with respect to the $\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}$-module structure on $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(L_\mathfrak{n},\mathcal{M}\otimes\Psi_0)$, and hence extends to an action of the Lie-* algebroid $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g})$. The latter is the same as an action of the $\mathfrak{Z}^{\rm reg}_\mathfrak{g}$-algebroid $N^*_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\rm reg}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

□

We are now ready to finish the proof of Theorem 18.3.1. By Sect. 7.4 and Proposition 18.3.2, the terms of the spectral sequence (18.2) are acted on by the algebroid $N^*_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\rm reg}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

It is easy to see that the $N^*_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\rm reg}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$-action on $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{n}((t)),\mathfrak{n}[[t]],\mathbb{V}_{\rm crit}\otimes\Psi_0)$ identifies via Theorem 18.2.4 with the canonical $N^*_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\rm reg}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$-action on $\mathfrak{Z}^{\rm reg}_\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, from Theorem 8.4.2(a) we obtain that the isomorphisms of (18.4) are compatible with the $N^*_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\rm reg}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$-action.

This implies the canonical splitting of the spectral sequence. Indeed, from Theorem 8.4.2(b) it is easy to derive that there are no non-trivial Hom's and Ext^1's between different $V^{\check\lambda}_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\rm reg}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$, regarded as $N^*_{\mathfrak{Z}^{\rm reg}_{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$-modules.

Part V. Appendix

This Part, which may be viewed as a user's guide to Sect. 7 of [BD1], reviews some technical material that we need in the main body of this paper.

In Sect. 19 we review some background material: the three monoidal structures on the category of topological vector spaces, the notion of a family of objects of an abelian category over a scheme or an ind-scheme, and the formalism of DG-categories.

In Sect. 20 we introduce the notion of action of a group-scheme on an abelian category. In fact, there are two such notions that correspond to weak and strong actions, respectively. A typical example of a weak action is when a group H acts on a scheme S, and we obtain an action of H on the category QCoh_H of quasi-coherent sheaves. A typical example of a strong (equivalently, infinitesimally trivial or Harish-Chandra type) action is when in the above situation we consider the action of H on the category $\mathfrak{D}(S)$–mod of D-modules on S. We also discuss various notions related to equivariant objects and the corresponding derived categories.

In Sect. 21 we make a digression and discuss the notion of D-module over a group ind-scheme. The approach taken here is different, but equivalent, to the one developed in [AG1] via chiral algebras.

In Sect. 22 we generalize the discussion of Sect. 20 to the case of group ind-schemes. The goal of this section is to show that if $\mathcal{C}$ is a category that carries a Harish-Chandra action of some group ind-scheme G, then at the level of derived categories we have an action of the monoidal category of D-modules over G on $\mathcal{C}$. This formalism was developed in Sect. 7 of [BD1], and in this section we essentially repeat it.

Finally, Sect. 23 serves a purely auxiliary role: we prove some technical assertions concerning the behavior of an abelian category over its center provided that a certain flatness assumption is satisfied.

19. Miscellanea

Unless specified otherwise, the notation in this part will be independent of that of Parts I–IV. We will work over the ground field $\mathbb{C}$, and all additive categories will be assumed $\mathbb{C}$-linear. Unless specified otherwise, by tensor product, we will mean tensor product over $\mathbb{C}$.

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a category, and X_i is a directed system of objects in it, then following the notation of SGA 4(I) notation, we write $"\varinjlim" X_i$ for the resulting object in $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$, thought of as a contravariant functor on $\mathcal{C}$. In contrast, $\varinjlim X_i$ will denote the object of $\mathcal{C}$ representing the functor $\varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}(\lim X_i, ?)$ on $\mathcal{C}$, provided that it exists.

19.1. **Topological vector spaces and algebras.** In this subsection we will briefly review the material of [CHA1]. By a topological vector space we will mean a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with a linear topology, assumed complete and separated. We will denote this category by $\mathcal{T}op$; it is closed under projective and inductive limits (note that the projective limits commute with the forgetful functor to vector spaces, and inductive limits do not). Every such topological vector space $\mathbf{V}$ can be represented as $\varprojlim V^i$, where V^i are usual (i.e., discrete) vector spaces and the transition maps $V^j \to V^i$ are surjective.

For a topological vector space $\mathbf{V}$ represented as projective limit as above, its dual $\mathbf{V}^*$ is by definition the object of $\mathcal{T}op$ equal to

$$\varinjlim (V_i)^*,$$

where each $(V_i)^*$ is dual of the corresponding discrete vector space V_i, endowed with the natural (pro-finite dimensional) topology. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{V}^*$ is well-defined, i.e., independent of the presentation of $\mathbf{V}$ as a projective limit.

A topological vector space $\mathbf{V}$ is said to be of Tate type if it can be written in the form $\mathbf{V}_1 \oplus \mathbf{V}_2$, where $\mathbf{V}_1$ is discrete and $\mathbf{V}_2$ is pro-finite dimensional. In this case $\mathbf{V}^*$ is also of Tate type, and the natural map $(\mathbf{V}^*)^* \to \mathbf{V}$ is an isomorphism.

Following [CHA1], we endow the category $\mathcal{T}op$ with three different monoidal structures:

$$\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{V}_1 \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_2, \mathbf{V}_1 \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_2 \text{ and } \mathbf{V}_1 \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_2.$$

They are constructed as follows. Let us write $\mathbf{V}_1 = \varprojlim V_1^i$, $\mathbf{V}_2 = \varprojlim V_2^j$. Then

$$\mathbf{V}_1 \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_2 = \varprojlim_{i,j} V_1^i \otimes V_2^j.$$

It is easy to see that this monoidal structure is in fact a tensor one.

To define $\mathbf{V}_1 \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_2$ we proceed in 2 steps. If $\mathbf{V}_2 = V$ is discrete and equal to $\bigcup_k V_k$, where V_k are finite-dimensional, we set

$$\mathbf{V}_1 \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} V = \varinjlim_k \mathbf{V}_1 \otimes V_k,$$

where the inductive limit is taken in $\mathcal{T}op$. For an arbitrary $\mathbf{V}_2$ written as $\mathbf{V}_2 = \varprojlim V_2^j$, we set

$$\mathbf{V}_1 \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_2 = \varprojlim_j (\mathbf{V}_1 \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} V_2^j).$$

Finally, $\mathbf{V}_1 \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_2$ is characterized by the property that $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{V}_1 \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_2, V)$, where V is discrete, is the set of bi-linear continuous maps $\mathbf{V}_1 \times \mathbf{V}_2 \to W$. This monoidal structure is also tensor in a natural way.

We have natural maps

$$\mathbf{V}_1 \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_2 \to \mathbf{V}_1 \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_2 \to \mathbf{V}_1 \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_2,$$

where the first arrow is an isomorphism if $\mathbf{V}_2$ is discrete and the second one is an isomorphism if $\mathbf{V}_1$ is discrete.

Note also that for three objects $\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2, \mathbf{V}_3 \in \mathcal{T}op$ we have natural maps

$$(\mathbf{V}_1 \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_2) \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_3 \to \mathbf{V}_1 \overset{!}{\otimes} (\mathbf{V}_2 \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_3) \text{ and } \mathbf{V}_1 \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} (\mathbf{V}_2 \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_3) \to (\mathbf{V}_1 \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_2) \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_3$$

and hence the map

$$(\mathbf{V}_1 \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_2) \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_3 \to \mathbf{V}_1 \overset{!}{\otimes} (\mathbf{V}_2 \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_3). \tag{19.1}$$

By an action of a topological vector space $\mathbf{V}$ from a discrete vector space W_1 to a discrete vector space W_2 we will mean a map

$$\mathbf{V} \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} W_1 \simeq \mathbf{V} \overset{*}{\otimes} W_1 \to W_2.$$

The latter amounts to a compatible system of maps $V' \otimes W_1' \to W_2$, defined for every finite-dimensional subspace $W_1' \subset W_1$ for some sufficiently large discrete quotient V' of $\mathbf{V}$.

By definition, a topological associative algebra is an object $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{T}op$ endowed with an associative algebra structure with respect to the $\overset{\to}{\otimes}$ product. By construction, any such $\mathbf{A}$ can be represented as $\underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim}_{\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{I}$, where $\mathbf{I} \subset \mathbf{A}$ are open left ideals. A discrete module over a topological associative algebra $\mathbf{A}$ is a vector space V endowed with an associative action map $\mathbf{A} \overset{\to}{\otimes} V \to V$; we shall denote the category of discrete $\mathbf{A}$-modules by $\mathbf{A}$–mod.

A topological associative algebra is called commutative if the operation $\mathbf{A} \overset{\to}{\otimes} \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{A}$ factors through $\mathbf{A} \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{A}$ and the latter map is commutative (in the sense of the commutativity constraint for the $\overset{!}{\otimes}$ product). In this case $\mathbf{A}$ can be represented as $\underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim}_{i} A_i$, where A_i are discrete commutative quotients of $\mathbf{A}$.

For a commutative associative topological algebra, by a topological $\mathbf{A}$-module we shall mean a topological vector space $\mathbf{V}$, endowed with an associative map $\mathbf{A} \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathbf{V} \to \mathbf{V}$ such that $\mathbf{V}$ is separated and complete in the topology defined by open $\mathbf{A}$-submodules. Any such $\mathbf{V}$ can be represented as $\underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim} V^i$, with V_i being discrete $\mathbf{A}$-modules, on each of which $\mathbf{A}$ acts through a discrete quotient. If $f : \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B}$ is a homomorphism, we define $f^*(\mathbf{V})$ as $\underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim} \mathbf{B} \underset{\mathbf{A}}{\otimes} V^i$.

Note that if we regard $\mathbf{A}$ as an associative topological algebra, a discrete $\mathbf{A}$-module is a topological $\mathbf{A}$-module in the above sense if and only if $\mathbf{A}$ acts on it though some discrete quotient.

A topological Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra in the sense of the $\overset{*}{\otimes}$ structure. A discrete module over such $\mathfrak{g}$ is a vector space V endowed with a map $\mathfrak{g} \overset{*}{\otimes} V \to V$, which is compatible with the bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ in a natural way.

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a commutative associative topological algebra. A Lie algebroid over $\mathbf{A}$ is a topological Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ endowed with a topological $\mathbf{A}$-module structure $\mathbf{A} \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ and a Lie algebra action map $\mathfrak{g} \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{A}$, which satisfy the usual compatibility conditions via (19.1).

19.2. Here we shall recall some notions related to infinite-dimensional vector bundles and ind-schemes, borrowed from [BD1] and [Dr2].

By an ind-scheme we will understand an ind-object in the category of schemes, which can be represented as $\mathcal{Y} := \text{"}\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim}_{i \in I}\text{"}\, \mathcal{Y}_i$, where the transition maps $f_{i,j} : \mathcal{Y}_i \to \mathcal{Y}_j$ are closed embeddings. We will always assume that the indexing set I is countable.

A closed subscheme Z of $\mathcal{Y}$ is called reasonable if for every i, the ideal of the subscheme $Z \cap \mathcal{Y}_i$ of $\mathcal{Y}_i$ is locally finitely generated. The ind-scheme $\mathcal{Y}$ is called reasonable if it can be represented as an inductive limit of its reasonable subschemes (or, in other words, one can choose a presentation such that the ideal of $\mathcal{Y}_i$ in $\mathcal{Y}_j$ is locally finitely generated).

We shall say that $\mathcal{Y}$ is ind-affine if all the schemes $\mathcal{Y}_i$ are affine. In this case, if we denote by A_i the algebra of functions of $\mathcal{Y}_i$, we will write $\mathcal{Y} = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{A})$, where $\mathbf{A} = \underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim} A_i$ and $\mathbf{A} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

Assume that $\mathcal{Y} = G$ is ind-affine and is endowed with a structure of group ind-scheme. This amounts to a co-associative co-unital map $\mathcal{O}_G \to \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_G$. By definition, an action of G on a topological vector space $\mathbf{V}$ is a map

$$\mathbf{V} \to \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathbf{V},$$

such that the two morphisms

$$\mathbf{V} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}$$

coincide.

If $\mathbf{V}$ is an associative or Lie topological algebra, we define in an evident way what it means for an action to be compatible with the operation of product on $\mathbf{V}$.

Assume now that G is a group-scheme $H = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_H)$.

Lemma 19.2.1. *Every $\mathbf{V}$, acted on by H, can be written as $\varprojlim V_i$, where $V_i \in \mathcal{R}ep(H)$ are quotients of $\mathbf{V}$.*

Proof. Let V be some discrete quotient of $\mathbf{V}$. We must show that we can find an H-stable quotient V' such that $\mathbf{V} \twoheadrightarrow V' \twoheadrightarrow V$. Consider the map

$$\mathbf{V} \to \mathcal{O}_H \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathbf{V} \to \mathcal{O}_H \otimes V,$$

Let $\mathbf{V}'$ be the kernel of this map; this is an open subspace in $\mathbf{V}$. The associativity of the action implies that $\mathbf{V}'$ is H-stable. Hence, $\mathbf{V}/\mathbf{V}'$ satisfies our requirements.

□

Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be an ind-scheme. A topological *-sheaf on $\mathcal{Y}$ is a rule that assigns to a commutative algebra R and an R-point y of $\mathcal{Y}$ a topological R-module $\mathcal{F}_y$, and for a morphism of algebras $f : R \to R'$ an isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_y \simeq f^*(\mathcal{F}_{y'})$, where y' is the induced R'-point of $\mathcal{Y}$, compatible with two-fold compositions. Morphisms between topological *-sheaves are defined in an evident manner and we will denote the resulting category by $\mathrm{QCoh}^{\mathrm{top},*}_{\mathcal{Y}}$. The cotangent sheaf $\Omega^1(\mathcal{Y})$ is an example of an object of $\mathrm{QCoh}^{\mathrm{top},*}_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

We let $\mathrm{Tate}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathrm{QCoh}^{\mathrm{top},*}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ formed by Tate vector bundles (i.e., those, for which each $\mathcal{F}_y$ is an R-module of Tate type), see [Dr2], Sect. 6.3.2. The following basic result was established in [Dr2], Theorem 6.2:

Theorem 19.2.2. *Let $\mathcal{Y}_1 \to \mathcal{Y}_2$ be a formally smooth morphism between ind-schemes with $\mathcal{Y}_1$ being reasonable. Then the topological *-sheaf of relative differentials $\Omega^1(\mathcal{Y}_1/\mathcal{Y}_2)$ is a Tate vector bundle on $\mathcal{Y}_1$.*

Assume now that $\mathcal{Y}$ is affine and isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{A})$ for a commutative associative topological algebra $\mathbf{A}$. In this case, the category $\mathrm{QCoh}^{\mathrm{top},*}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is tautologically equivalent to that of topological $\mathbf{A}$-modules. We have the notion of Lie algebroid over $\mathcal{Y}$ (which is the same as a topological Lie algebroid over $\mathbf{A}$).

Let now $\mathcal{G}$ be an ind-groupoid over an ind-affine ind-scheme $\mathcal{Y}$, such that both (r, equivalently, one of the) projections $l, r : \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{Y}$ is formally smooth. Then, by the above theorem, the normal to $\mathcal{Y}$ in $\mathcal{G}$, denoted $N_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathcal{G}}$, which is by definition the dual of the restriction to $\mathcal{Y}$ of $\Omega^1(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{Y})$ with respect to either of the projections, is a Tate vector bundle. The standard construction endows it with a structure of Lie algebroid.

19.3. **A class of categories.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian category, and let $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ denote its ind-completion. We will assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under inductive limits, i.e., that the tautological embedding $\mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ admits a right adjoint $\mathrm{limInd} : \mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}$, and that the latter functor is exact. In particular, it makes sense to tensor objects of $\mathcal{C}$ by vector spaces.

We shall say that an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is finitely generated (or compact) if the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(X, \cdot) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Vect}$ commutes with direct sums. Let us denote by $\mathcal{C}^c$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ formed by compact objects. We will assume that $\mathcal{C}^c$ is equivalent to a small category (i.e., that isomorphism classes of compact objects in $\mathcal{C}$ form a set).

We shall say that $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies (*) if every object of $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to the inductive limit of its compact subobjects.

Lemma 19.3.1. *Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies (*), and let G be a left exact contravariant functor $\mathcal{C}^c \to \mathrm{Vect}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *G is representable by $X \in \mathcal{C}$.*

(2) *For an inductive system $\{X_i\} \in \mathcal{C}^c$, whenever $X := \varinjlim X_i$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}^c$, the natural map*

$$\mathsf{G}(X) \to \varprojlim \mathsf{G}(X_i)$$

is an isomorphism.

(3) *G extends to a functor $\mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Vect}$ such that for any inductive system $\{X_i\} \in \mathcal{C}$, the map*

$$\mathsf{G}\left(\varinjlim X_i\right) \to \varprojlim \mathsf{G}(X_i)$$

is an isomorphism.

We shall say that $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies (**) if there exists an exact and faithful covariant functor $\mathsf{F} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Vect}$, which commutes with inductive limits.

The following is standard:

Lemma 19.3.2. *Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies (*) and (**). Then:*

(1) *F is representable by some $\text{"}\varprojlim_i\text{"}\, X_i \in \mathrm{Pro}(\mathcal{C}^c)$.*

(2) *Assume that F has the following additional property. Whenever a system of maps $\alpha_k : X \to Y_k$ is such that for any non-zero subobject $X' \subset X$ not all maps $\alpha_k|_{X'}$ are zero, then the map*

$$\mathsf{F}(X) \to \prod_k \mathsf{F}(Y_k)$$

is injective.

Then the projective system $\{X_i\}$ as above can be chosen so that all the transition maps $X_{i'} \to X_i$ are surjective.

(3) *Under the assumption of (2), the functor F gives rise an to an equivalence $\mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{A}\text{–mod}$, where $\mathbf{A}$ is the topological associative algebra $\text{"}\varprojlim_i\text{"}\, \mathsf{F}(X_i) \simeq \mathrm{End}(\mathsf{F})$.*

19.4. If A is an associative algebra, we will denote by $A\text{–mod} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ the category, whose objects are objects of $\mathcal{C}$, endowed with an action of A by endomorphisms, and morphisms being $\mathcal{C}$-morphisms, compatible with A-actions. This is evidently an abelian category.

If M is a left A-module and $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we produce an example of an object of $A\text{–mod} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ by taking $M \otimes X$.

Let M be a right A-module. We have a naturally defined right exact functor

$$A\text{–mod} \otimes \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} : X \mapsto M \underset{A}{\otimes} X.$$

Lemma 19.4.1. *If M is flat (resp., faithfully-flat A-algebra), then the above functor is exact (resp., exact and faithful).*

For the proof see [Ga1], Lemma 4 and Proposition 5. [10]

We will say that $X \in A\text{-mod}\otimes\mathcal{C}$ is A-flat if the functor $M \mapsto M \underset{A}{\otimes} X : A^{\mathrm{op}}\text{-mod} \to \mathcal{C}$ is exact. The functor of tensor product can be derived in either (or both) arguments and we obtain a functor

$$D^-(A^{\mathrm{op}}\text{-mod}) \times D^-(A\text{-mod}\otimes\mathcal{C}) \to D^-(\mathcal{C}).$$

If M is a left A-module and $X \in A\text{-mod}\otimes\mathcal{C}$, we define a contravariant functor on $\mathcal{C}$ by

$$Y \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}\otimes A\text{-mod}}(Y \otimes M, X).$$

This functor is representable by an object that we will denote by $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, X)$. If M is finitely presented as an A-module, the functor $X \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, X)$ commutes with inductive limits.

Let $\phi : A \to B$ be a homomorphism of algebras. We have a natural forgetful functor $\phi_* : B\text{-mod}\otimes\mathcal{C} \to A\text{-mod}\otimes\mathcal{C}$, and its left adjoint ϕ^*, given by tensor product with B, viewed as a right A-module. The right adjoint to ϕ_*, denoted $\phi^!$, is given by $X \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_A(B, X)$.

19.5. **Objects parameterized by a scheme.** Assume now that A is commutative and set $S = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$. In this case we will use the notation $\mathrm{QCoh}_S\otimes\mathcal{C}$ for $A\text{-mod}\otimes\mathcal{C}$. We will think of objects of $\mathrm{QCoh}_S\otimes\mathcal{C}$ as families of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ over S.

For a morphism of affine scheme $f : S_1 \to S_2$ we have the direct and inverse image functors $f_*, f^* : \mathrm{QCoh}_{S_1}\otimes\mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{QCoh}_{S_2}\otimes\mathcal{C}$, with f^* being exact (resp., exact and faithful) if f is, by Lemma 19.4.1.

The usual descent argument shows:

Lemma 19.5.1. *Let $S' \to S$ be a faithfully flat map. Then the category $\mathrm{QCoh}_S\otimes\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to the category of descent data on $\mathrm{QCoh}_{S'}\otimes\mathcal{C}$ with respect to $S' \underset{S}{\times} S' \rightrightarrows S'$.*

This allows us to define the category $\mathrm{QCoh}_S\otimes\mathcal{C}$ for any separated scheme S. Namely, let S' be an affine scheme covering S. We introduce $\mathrm{QCoh}_S\otimes\mathcal{C}$ as the category of descent data on $\mathrm{QCoh}_{S'}\otimes\mathcal{C}$ with respect to $S' \underset{S}{\times} S' \rightrightarrows S'$. Lemma 19.5.1 above ensures that $\mathrm{QCoh}_S\otimes\mathcal{C}$ is well-defined, i.e., is independent of the choice of S' up to a unique equivalence. (In fact, the same definition extends more generally to stacks algebraic in the faithfully-flat topology, for which the diagonal map is affine.) For a morphism of schemes $f : S_1 \to S_2$ we have the evidently defined direct and inverse image functors. If f is a closed embedding and the ideal of S_1 in S_2 is locally finitely generated, then we also have the functor $f^! : \mathrm{QCoh}_{S_2}\otimes\mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{QCoh}_{S_1}\otimes\mathcal{C}$, right adjoint to f_*.

If S_1 is a closed subscheme of S_2 we say that an object $X \in \mathrm{QCoh}_{S_2}\otimes\mathcal{C}$ is set-theoretically supported on S_1, if X can be represented as an inductive limit of its subobjects, each of which is the direct image of an object in some $\mathrm{QCoh}_{S'_1}\otimes\mathcal{C}$, where S'_1 is a nilpotent thickening of S_1 inside S_2.

Suppose now that S is of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. We will denote by $\mathfrak{D}(S)\text{-mod}$ the category of right D-modules on S. We define the category $\mathfrak{D}(S)\text{-mod}\otimes\mathcal{C}$ as follows:

---

[10] Whereas the first of the assertion of the lemma is obvious from Lazard's lemma, the second is less so, and it was pointed out to us by Drinfeld.

First, we assume that S is affine and smooth. Then $\mathfrak{D}(S)$–mod$\otimes\mathcal{C}$ is by definition the category $\Gamma(S,\mathfrak{D}(S))^{\mathrm{op}}$–mod$\otimes\mathcal{C}$.

If $S_1 \to S_2$ is a closed embedding of affine smooth schemes, we have an analog of Kashiwara's theorem, saying that $\mathfrak{D}(S_1)$–mod$\otimes\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to the subcategory of $\mathfrak{D}(S_2)$–mod$\otimes\mathcal{C}$, consisting of objects set-theoretically supported on S_1, when considered as objects of $\mathrm{QCoh}_{S_2}\otimes\mathcal{C}$.

This allows to define $\mathfrak{D}(S)$–mod$\otimes\mathcal{C}$ for any affine scheme of finite type, by embedding it into a smooth scheme. Finally, for an arbitrary S, we define $\mathfrak{D}(S)$–mod$\otimes\mathcal{C}$ using a cover by affine schemes, as above.

19.6. In this subsection we will assume that $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies (*). Let $\mathbf{V}$ be a topological vector space, and $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. An action $\mathbf{V}\times X \to Y$ is a map

$$\mathbf{V}\otimes X \to Y,$$

satisfying the following continuity condition: For every compact subobject $X' \subset X$, the induced map $\mathbf{V}\otimes X' \to Y$ factors through $V\otimes X' \to Y$, where V is a discrete quotient of $\mathbf{V}$.

If $X' \to X$ (resp., $Y \to Y'$, $\mathbf{V}' \to \mathbf{V}$) is a map, and we have an action $\mathbf{V}\times X \to Y$, we produce an action $\mathbf{V}\times X' \to Y$ (resp., $\mathbf{V}\times X \to Y'$, $\mathbf{V}'\times X \to Y$).

Note that if $\mathbf{V}$ is pro-finite-dimensional, with the dual $\mathbf{V}^* \in \mathrm{Vect}$, an action $\mathbf{V}\times X \to Y$ is the same as a map $X \to \mathbf{V}^*\otimes Y$.

Lemma-Construction 19.6.1. *Let* $\mathbf{V}_2\times X \to Y$ *and* $\mathbf{V}_1\times Y \to Z$ *be actions. Then we have an action*

$$(\mathbf{V}_1 \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} \mathbf{V}_2)\times X \to Z.$$

Proof. The construction immediately reduces to the case when $\mathbf{V}_2 = V_2$ is discrete, X is compact, and we have an action map $V_2\otimes X \to Y$.

Then for every finite-dimensional subspace $V_2^k \subset V_2$ we can find a compact subobject $Y^k \subset Y$, such that $V_2^k \otimes X \to Y$ maps to Y^k and the action $\mathbf{V}_1\otimes Y^k \to Z$ factors through a discrete quotient V_1^k of $\mathbf{V}_1$. Then

$$\underset{k}{\cup}\ker(\mathbf{V}_1 \to \mathbf{V}_1^k)\otimes V_2^k \subset \mathbf{V}_1 \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} V_2$$

is an open neighborhood of 0, and we have an action map

$$\left(\mathbf{V}_1 \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} V_2 / \underset{k}{\cup}\ker(\mathbf{V}_1 \to \mathbf{V}_1^k)\otimes V_2^k\right)\otimes X \simeq \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim}(V_1^k\otimes V_2^k)\otimes X \to Z.$$

□

We shall say that $\mathbf{V}$ acts on X, if we are given a map $\mathbf{V}\times X \to X$. Objects of $\mathcal{C}$, acted on by $\mathbf{V}$ naturally form category, which is abelian.

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an associative topological algebra. We shall say that an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is acted on by $\mathbf{A}$ if we are given an action map $\mathbf{A}\times X \to X$ such that the two resulting action maps $(\mathbf{A}\overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes}\mathbf{A})\times X \rightrightarrows X$ coincide. Objects of $\mathcal{C}$ acted on by $\mathbf{A}$ form a category, denoted $\mathbf{A}$–mod$\otimes\mathcal{C}$.

19.7. **Objects of a category parameterized by an ind-scheme.** In this subsection we retain the assumption that $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies (*). Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be an ind-scheme, $\mathcal{Y} = \underset{i}{\cup}\mathcal{Y}_i$. We introduce the category $\mathrm{QCoh}^*_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes\mathcal{C}$ to have as objects collections $\{X_i \in \mathrm{QCoh}^*_{\mathcal{Y}_i}\otimes\mathcal{C}\}$ together with a compatible system if isomorphisms $f^*_{i,j}(X_j) \simeq X_i$, where $f_{i,j}$ is the map $\mathcal{Y}_i \to \mathcal{Y}_j$. Morphisms in the category are evident.

It is easy to see that this category is independent of the presentation of $\mathcal{Y}$ as an inductive limit. However, $\mathrm{QCoh}^*_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes\mathcal{C}$ is, in general, not abelian.

Given an object of $\mathbf{X} \in \mathrm{QCoh}^*_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ and a scheme S mapping to $\mathcal{Y}$, we have a well-defined object $\mathbf{X}|_S \in \mathrm{QCoh}_S \otimes \mathcal{C}$.

Assume now that $\mathcal{Y}$ is strict and reasonable. I.e., the system $\mathcal{Y}_i$ can be chosen so that the maps $f_{i,j}$ are closed embeddings, and the ideal of $\mathcal{Y}_i$ inside $\mathcal{Y}_j$ is locally finitely generated.

We introduce the category $\mathrm{QCoh}^!_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ as follows. Its objects are collections $\mathbf{X} := \{X_i \in \mathrm{QCoh}^*_{\mathcal{Y}_i} \otimes \mathcal{C}\}$ together with a compatible system if isomorphisms $X_i \simeq f^!_{i,j}(X_j)$. The morphisms in this category are evident.

Lemma 19.7.1. $\mathrm{QCoh}^!_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ *is an abelian category.*

Proof. If $\alpha = \{\alpha_i : X_i \to X'_i\}$ is a morphism in $\mathrm{QCoh}^!_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes \mathcal{C}$, its kernel is given by the system $\{\ker(\alpha_i)\}$. It is easy to see that the cokernel and image of this morphism are given by the systems that assign to each i

$$\varinjlim_{j \geq i} f^!_{i,j}\left(\mathrm{coker}(\alpha_j)\right),\ \varinjlim_{j \geq i} f^!_{i,j}\left(\mathrm{Im}(\alpha_j)\right),$$

respectively. The fact that the axioms of an abelian category are satisfied is shown in the same way as in the case of $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Vect}$.

□

Let now $\mathbf{A}$ be a commutative topological algebra. Then $\mathbf{A}$ can be represented as $\varprojlim A_i$, where A_i are discrete commutative algebras. Assume, moreover, that we can find such a presentation that the ideal of A_i in each A_j, $j \geq i$ is finitely generated. Then $\mathcal{Y} := \varinjlim \mathrm{Spec}(A_i)$ is reasonable.

Lemma 19.7.2. *Under the above circumstances, the categories* $\mathrm{QCoh}^!_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ *and* $\mathbf{A}\text{-mod} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ *are equivalent.*

Proof. The functor $\mathrm{QCoh}^!_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes \mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{A}\text{-mod} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is evident. Its right adjoint is defined as follows: given an object $X \in \mathbf{A}\text{-mod} \otimes \mathcal{C}$, represented as $\underset{i}{\cup} X_i$ with $X_i \in A_i\text{-mod} \otimes \mathcal{C}$, we define an object $\{X'_i\}$ in $\mathrm{QCoh}^!_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ by setting

$$X'_i = \varinjlim_{j \geq i} f^!_{i,j}(X_j).$$

The fact that the adjunction morphisms are isomorphisms is shown as in the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Vect}$.

□

Let now $\mathbf{X} = \{X_i\}$ be an object of $\mathrm{QCoh}^*_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ such that each X_i is $\mathcal{Y}_i$-flat. Let M be an object in $\mathrm{QCoh}^!_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes \mathbf{A}\text{-mod}$, where $\mathbf{A}$ is some topological algebra. We then have a well-defined tensor product

$$\mathbf{X} \underset{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}{\otimes} M \in \mathrm{QCoh}^!_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes (\mathbf{A}\text{-mod} \otimes \mathcal{C}).$$

The corresponding system assigns to every $\mathcal{Y}_i$ the object

$$\mathbf{X}|_{\mathcal{Y}_i} \underset{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_i}}{\otimes} M_i \in \mathrm{QCoh}_{\mathcal{Y}_i} \otimes (\mathbf{A}\text{-mod} \otimes \mathcal{C}).$$

Finally, let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a strict ind-scheme of ind-finite type. Proceeding as above, one defines the category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})^!\text{-mod} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ as the category of systems $\{X_i\} \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y}_i)^!\text{-mod} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ with isomorphisms $X_i \simeq f^!_{i,j}(X_j)$.

If $\mathcal{Y}$ is formally smooth, we can also introduce the DG-category of $\Omega^\bullet_{\mathcal{Y}}$-modules with coefficients in $\mathcal{C}$, and we will have an equivalence between the corresponding derived category of $\Omega^\bullet_{\mathcal{Y}}$–mod$\otimes\mathcal{C}$ and the derived category of $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})^!$–mod$\otimes\mathcal{C}$.

19.8. **BRST complex.** If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a topological Lie algebra, an action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is an action map $\mathfrak{g} \times X \to X$ such that the difference of the two iterations

$$(\mathfrak{g} \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}) \times X \to (\mathfrak{g} \overset{\to}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}) \times X \to X$$

equals the action induced by the Lie bracket $\mathfrak{g} \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$.

Assume now that $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathsf{k}$ is pro-finite dimensional. Then its action on X is the same as a co-action of the Lie co-algebra $\mathsf{k}^* \in \mathrm{Vect}$ on X, i.e., a map $a : X \to \mathsf{k}^* \otimes X$, satisfying the suitable axioms. In this case we can form a complex of objects of $\mathcal{C}$, called the standard complex, $\mathfrak{C}(\mathsf{k}, X)$:

As a graded object of $\mathcal{C}$, it is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{C}(\mathsf{k}, \mathcal{M}) := X \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(\mathsf{k}^*)$. Let us denote by i (resp., i^*) the action of $\mathsf{k}[1]$ (resp., $\mathsf{k}^*[-1]$) on $\mathfrak{C}(\mathsf{k}, X)$ by the "annihilation" (resp., "creation" operators), and by Lie the diagonal action of k. Then the differential d on $\mathfrak{C}(\mathsf{k}, X)$ is uniquely characterized by the property that $[d, i] = \mathrm{Lie}$. We automatically obtain that

- $d^2 = 0$,
- The map $i^* : \Lambda^\bullet(\mathsf{k}^*) \otimes \mathfrak{C}(\mathsf{k}, X) \to \mathfrak{C}(\mathsf{k}, X)$ is a map of complexes, where $\Lambda^\bullet(\mathsf{k}^*)$ is endowed with a differential coming from the Lie co-bracket.

If $X^\bullet$ is a complex of objects of $\mathcal{C}$, acted on by k, we will denote by $\mathfrak{C}(\mathsf{k}, X^\bullet)$ the complex associated to the corresponding bi-complex. It is clear that if $X^\bullet$ is bounded from below and acyclic, then $\mathfrak{C}(\mathsf{k}, X^\bullet)$ is acyclic as well. However, this would not be true if we dropped the boundedness from below assumption.

The above set-up can be generalized as follows. Let now $\mathfrak{g}$ be a topological Lie algebra, which is of Tate type as a topological vector space. Let $\mathsf{Cliff}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ be the (topological) Clifford algebra, constructed on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$; it is naturally graded, where the "creation" operators (i.e., elements of $\mathfrak{g}^*$) have degree 1, and the annihilation operators (i.e., elements of $\mathfrak{g}$) have degree -1. Let $\mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g})$ be some fixed irreducible representation of $\mathsf{Cliff}(\mathfrak{g})$, equipped with a grading. (Of course, up to a grading shift and a non-canonical isomorphism, $\mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g})$ is unique.)

Recall that the canonical (i.e. Tate's) central extension $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{can}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is characterized by the property that the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathsf{Cliff}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ is inner via a homomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{can}} \to \mathsf{Cliff}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$. We will denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}}$ the Baer negative central extension.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be as above, and let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ be acted on by $\mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}}$. Consider the graded object of $\mathcal{C}$ given by

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, X) := X \otimes \mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

As in the case when $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Vect}$, one shows that $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, X)$ acquires a canonical differential d, characterized by the property that $[d, i] = \mathrm{Lie}$, where i denotes the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, X)$ via $\mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g})$ by creation operators, and Lie is the diagonal action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $X \otimes \mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g})$. We have

- $d^2 = 0$
- The action i^* of $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is compatible with the differential $\mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{g}^* \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}^*$ given by the bracket.

If $X^\bullet$ is a complex of objects of $\mathcal{C}$, acted on by $\mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}}$, we will denote by $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, X^\bullet)$ the complex, associated to the corresponding bi-complex.

Lemma 19.8.1. *Assume that $X^\bullet$ is bounded from below and is acyclic. Then $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, X^\bullet)$ is also acyclic.*

Proof. Let us choose a lattice $\mathsf{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}$; we can then realize $\mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g})$ as $\mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathsf{k})$–the module generated by an element, annihilated by both $\mathsf{k} \subset \mathfrak{g} \subset \Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathsf{k})^* \subset \mathfrak{g}^* \subset \Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*)$.

In this case the complex $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, X^\bullet)$ acquires a canonical increasing filtration, numbered by natural numbers, so that

$$\mathrm{gr}^i\left(\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, X^\bullet)\right) \simeq \mathfrak{C}(\mathsf{k}, X^\bullet \otimes \Lambda^i(\mathfrak{g}/\mathsf{k}))[i].$$

This readily implies the assertion of the lemma.

□

In what follows we will need to consider the following situation. Let $X^\bullet$ be a complex of objects of $\mathcal{C}$, endowed with two actions of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}}$, denoted a and a', respectively. Then $X^\bullet \otimes \mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g})$ acquires two differentials, d and d'.

Assume that there exists a self-anticommuting action

$$i_h : \mathfrak{g}[1] \times X^\bullet \to X^\bullet,$$

such that $a'(x) - a(x) = [d_X, i_h(x)]$, $[a'(x), i_h(y)] = i_h([x, y])$, $[a(x), i_h(y)] = 0$, where d_X is the differential on $X^\bullet$.

Lemma 19.8.2. *Under the above circumstances, there exists a graded automorphism of the complex $X^\bullet \otimes \mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g})$ that intertwines d and d'.*

Proof. Let $\Lambda^i(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\Lambda^i(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ denote the !-completed exterior powers of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$, respectively.

For a natural number i consider the canonical element $\mathrm{id}_i \in \Lambda^i(\mathfrak{g}) \overset{!}{\otimes} \Lambda^i(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. We define the operator

$$T : X^\bullet \otimes \mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g}) \to X^\bullet \otimes \mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g})$$

by $\sum\limits_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (i_h \otimes i^*)(\mathrm{id}_i)$, where i^* and i_h denotes the extension of the actions of $\mathfrak{g}^*[-1]$ and $\mathfrak{g}[1]$, respectively, to the exterior powers.

Clearly, T is a grading-preserving isomorphism, and

$$T \circ i \circ T^{-1} = i + i_h.$$

One easily shows that $d' = T^{-1} \circ d \circ T$.

□

19.9. **DG categories.** We will adopt the conventions regarding DG categories from [Dr1]. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-linear DG category, which admits arbitrary direct sums.

For $X^\bullet, Y^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}$ we will denote by $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbf{C}}(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet)$ the corresponding complex, and by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet)$ its 0-th cohomology. By definition, the homotopy category $Ho(\mathbf{C})$ has the same objects as $\mathbf{C}$, with the Hom space being $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet)$

We will assume that $\mathbf{C}$ is strongly pre-triangulated, i.e., that it admits cones. In this case $Ho(\mathbf{C})$ is triangulated.

We will assume that $\mathbf{C}$ is equipped with a cohomological functor H to an abelian category $\mathcal{C}'$. We will denote by $D(\mathbf{C})$ the corresponding localized triangulated category, and we will assume that H defines a t-structure on $D(\mathbf{C})$. We will denote by $\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathbf{C})}(\cdot, \cdot)$ the resulting functor $D(\mathbf{C})^{\mathrm{op}} \times D(\mathbf{C}) \to D(\mathrm{Vect})$.

We will denote by $D^b(\mathbf{C})$ (resp., $D^+(\mathbf{C})$, $D^-(\mathbf{C})$,) the subcategory consisting of objects $X^\bullet$, such that $\mathsf{H}(X^\bullet[i]) = 0$ for i away from a bounded interval (resp., $i << 0$, $i >> 0$.)

In what follows we will also use the following notion: we shall say that an object $X^\bullet \in D(\mathbf{C})$ is quasi-perfect if it belongs to $D^-(\mathbf{C})$, and the functor $Y \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathbf{C})}(X^\bullet, Y[i])$ commutes with direct sums in the core of $\mathbf{C}$ (i.e., those objects $Y \in \mathbf{C}$ for which $\mathsf{H}(Y[j]) = 0$ for $j \neq 0$).

Lemma 19.9.1. *Let $X^\bullet \in D(\mathbf{C})$ be quasi-perfect and $Y^\bullet \in D^+(\mathbf{C})$. Let $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$ be a bounded from below complex of vector spaces. Then $\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathbf{C})}(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{K}^\bullet)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathbf{C})}(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet) \otimes \mathcal{K}^\bullet$ in $D(\mathrm{Vect})$.*

The most typical example of this situation is, of course, when $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ is the category of complexes of objects of an abelian category $\mathcal{C}$, and H comes from an exact functor $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$. If $\mathcal{C}' \simeq \mathcal{C}$, then $D(\mathbf{C})$ will be denoted $D(\mathcal{C})$; this is the usual derived category of $\mathcal{C}$.

An example of a quasi-perfect object of $D(\mathcal{C})$ is provided by a bounded from above complex consisting of projective finitely generated objects of $\mathcal{C}$.

Let $\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{C}_2$ be two DG categories as above, and let $\mathsf{G} : \mathbf{C}_1 \to \mathbf{C}_2$ be a DG functor. We shall say that G is exact if it sends acyclic objects (in the sense of H_1) to acyclic ones (in the sense of H_2).

The following (evident) assertion will be used repeatedly:

Lemma 19.9.2. *Let $\mathsf{G} : \mathbf{C}_1 \to \mathbf{C}_2$ and $\mathsf{G}' : \mathbf{C}_2 \to \mathbf{C}_1$ be mutually adjoint exact functors. Then the induced functors $\mathsf{G}, \mathsf{G}' : D(\mathbf{C}_1) \rightleftarrows D(\mathbf{C}_2)$ are also mutually adjoint.*

Proof. Let G be the left adjoint of G'. Note first of all that the functors induced by G and G' between the homotopy categories $Ho(\mathbf{C}_1)$ and $Ho(\mathbf{C}_2)$ are evidently mutually adjoint.

Then for $X^\bullet \in D(\mathbf{C}_1), Y^\bullet \in D(\mathbf{C}_2)$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathbf{C}_1)}(X^\bullet, \mathsf{G}'(Y^\bullet)) = \varinjlim_{X'^\bullet \to X^\bullet} \mathrm{Hom}_{Ho(\mathbf{C}_1)}(X'^\bullet, \mathsf{G}'(Y^\bullet)) \tag{19.2}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathbf{C}_2)}(\mathsf{G}(X^\bullet), Y^\bullet) = \varinjlim_{Y^\bullet \to Y'^\bullet} \mathrm{Hom}_{Ho(\mathbf{C}_2)}(\mathsf{G}(X^\bullet), Y'^\bullet), \tag{19.3}$$

where in both cases the inductive limits are taken over quasi-isomorphisms, i.e., morphisms in the homotopy category that become isomorphisms in the quotient triangulated category.

By adjunction, we rewrite the expression in (19.3) as

$$\varinjlim_{Y^\bullet \to Y'^\bullet} \mathrm{Hom}_{Ho(\mathbf{C}_2)}(X^\bullet, \mathsf{G}'(Y'^\bullet)),$$

and we map it to (19.2) as follows. For a quasi-isomorphism $Y^\bullet \to Y'^\bullet$ the map $\mathsf{G}(Y^\bullet) \to \mathsf{G}(Y'^\bullet)$ is a quasi-isomorphism as well, and given a map $X^\bullet \to \mathsf{G}'(Y'^\bullet)$, we can find a quasi-isomorphism $X'^\bullet \to X^\bullet$, so that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathsf{G}'(Y^\bullet) \arrow[r] & \mathsf{G}'(Y'^\bullet) \\
X'^\bullet \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & X^\bullet \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes in $Ho(\mathbf{C}_1)$. The above map $X' \to \mathsf{G}'(Y^\bullet)$ defines an element in (19.2).

One constructs the map from (19.2) to (19.3) in a similar way, and it is straightforward to check that the two are mutually inverse.

□

20. Action of a group on a category

20.1. **Weak action.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian category as in Sect. 19.3, and let H be an affine group-scheme. We will say that H acts weakly on $\mathcal{C}$ if we are given a functor

$$\mathrm{act}^* : \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{QCoh}_H \otimes \mathcal{C},$$

and two functorial isomorphisms related to it. The first isomorphism is between the identity functor on $\mathcal{C}$ and the composition $\mathcal{C} \overset{\mathrm{act}^*}{\to} \mathrm{QCoh}_H \otimes \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$, where the second arrow corresponds to the restriction to $1 \in H$.

To formulate the second isomorphism, note that from the existing data we obtain a natural functor $\mathrm{act}^*_S : \mathrm{QCoh}_S \otimes \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{QCoh}_{S \times H} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ for any affine scheme S.

The second isomorphism is between the two functors $\mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{QCoh}_{H\times H} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ that correspond to the two circuits of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{act}^*"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{act}^*"'] & \mathrm{QCoh}_H \otimes \mathcal{C} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{act}^*_H"'] \\
\mathrm{QCoh}_H \otimes \mathcal{C} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{mult}^*"] & \mathrm{QCoh}^*_{H\times H} \otimes \mathcal{C},
\end{tikzcd} \tag{20.1}$$

where mult denotes the multiplication map $H \times H \to H$.

We assume that the above two isomorphisms of functors satisfy the usual compatibility conditions. We will refer to these isomorphisms as the unit and associativity constraint of the action, respectively.

Lemma 20.1.1. *The functor* act^* *is exact and faithful. For* $X \in \mathcal{C}$*, the* $\mathcal{O}_H$*-family* $\mathrm{act}^*(X)$ *is flat.*

Proof. First, the faithfulness of act^* is clear, since the fiber at $1 \in H$ provides a left quasi-inverse $\mathrm{QCoh}_H \otimes \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$.

Let S be a scheme equipped with a map $\phi : S \to H$. Note that we have a self-functor $\mathrm{act}^*_\phi : \mathrm{QCoh}_S \otimes \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{QCoh}_S \otimes \mathcal{C}$ given by

$$\mathrm{QCoh}_S \otimes \mathcal{C} \overset{\mathrm{act}^*_S}{\to} \mathrm{QCoh}_S \otimes \mathrm{QCoh}_H \otimes \mathcal{C} \overset{(\mathrm{id}_S \times \phi)^*}{\to} \mathrm{QCoh}_S \otimes \mathcal{C}.$$

This is an equivalence of categories and its quasi-inverse is given by $\mathrm{act}^*_{\phi^{-1}}$, where $\phi^{-1} : S \to G$ is obtained from ϕ by applying the inversion on H. Note that act^*_ϕ is $\mathcal{O}_S$-linear.

Let us take $S = H$ and ϕ to be inversion map. Then the composition $\mathrm{act}^*_\phi \circ \mathrm{act}^* : \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{QCoh}_H \times \mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to the functor $X \mapsto \mathcal{O}_H \otimes X$, which is evidently exact. Hence, act^* is exact as well.

Similarly, to show that $\mathrm{act}^*(X)$ is $\mathcal{O}_H$-flat, it suffices to establish the corresponding fact for $\mathrm{act}^*_\phi \circ \mathrm{act}^*(X)$, which is again evident.

□

Here are some typical examples of weak actions:
1) Let H act on an ind-scheme $\mathcal{Y}$. Then the category $\mathrm{QCoh}^!_{\mathcal{Y}}$ carries a weak H-action.
2) Let H act on a topological associative algebra $\mathbf{A}$ (see Sect. 19.2). Then the category $\mathbf{A}$–mod of discrete $\mathbf{A}$-modules carries a weak H-action.

20.2. **Weakly equivariant objects.** Let us denote by p^* the tautological functor

$$\mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{QCoh}_H \otimes \mathcal{C} : X \mapsto \mathcal{O}_H \otimes X,$$

where $\mathcal{O}_H$ is the algebra of functions on H.

We will say that an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is weakly H-equivariant if we are given an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{act}^*(X) \simeq p^*(X), \tag{20.2}$$

which is compatible with the associativity constraint of the H-action on $\mathcal{C}$.

Evidently, weakly H-equivariant objects of $\mathcal{C}$ form an abelian category, which we will denote by $\mathcal{C}^{w,H}$. For example, let us take $\mathcal{C}$ to be Vect–the category of vector spaces with the obvious, i.e., trivial, H-action. Then $\mathcal{C}^{w,H}$ is the category of H-modules, denoted H–mod, or $\mathcal{R}ep(H)$.

Let X be an object of $\mathcal{C}^{w,H}$, and $V \in \mathcal{R}ep(H)$. We define a new object $V * X \in \mathcal{C}^{w,H}$ to be $V \otimes X$ as an object of $\mathcal{C}$, but the isomorphism $\mathrm{act}^*(V \otimes X) \to p^*(V \otimes X)$ is multiplied by the co-action map $V \to \mathcal{O}_H \otimes V$.

We have an obvious forgetful functor $\mathcal{C}^{w,H} \to \mathcal{C}$, and it admits a right adjoint, denoted Av^w_H, given by $X \mapsto p_*(\mathrm{act}^*(X))$. For $X \in \mathcal{C}^{w,H}$,

$$\mathrm{Av}^w_H(X) \simeq \mathcal{O}_H * X.$$

For two objects X_1, X_2 of $\mathcal{C}^{w,H}$ we define a contravariant functor $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_1, X_2)$ of $\mathcal{R}ep(H)$ by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}ep(H)}(V, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_1, X_2)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{w,H}}(V * X_1, X_2).$$

It is easy to see that this functor is representable.

Lemma 20.2.1. *Let X_1 is finitely generated as an object of $\mathcal{C}$. Then the forgetful functor $\mathcal{R}ep(H) \to \mathrm{Vect}$ maps $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_1, X_2)$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_1, X_2)$.*

Proof. We have the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_1, X_2) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{w,H}}(X_1, \mathrm{Av}^w_H(X_2)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_1, \mathrm{Av}^w_H(X_2)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_1, \mathcal{O}_H \otimes X_2),$$

and the latter identifies with $\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_1, X_2)$, by the assumption on X_1.

This endows $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_1, X_2)$ with a structure of H-module. It is easy to see that it satisfies the required adjunction property.

□

Note that since

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_1, X_2) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{w,H}}(X_1, \mathcal{O}_H * X_2) \text{ and } \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{w,H}}(X_1, X_2) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_H(\mathbb{C}, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_1, X_2)),$$

we obtain that X_1 is finitely generated as an object of $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if it is one in $\mathcal{C}^{w,H}$.

20.3. Let $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}^{w,H})$ denote the DG category of complexes of objects of $\mathcal{C}^{w,H}$, and let $D(\mathcal{C}^{w,H})$ be the corresponding derived category. By Lemma 19.9.2, the forgetful functor $D(\mathcal{C}^{w,H}) \to D(\mathcal{C})$ admits a right adjoint given by $X^\bullet \mapsto \mathrm{act}^*(X^\bullet)$.

For $X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet \in D(\mathcal{C}^{w,H})$ we define a contravariant cohomological functor $\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet)$ on $D(\mathcal{R}ep(H))$ by

$$V^\bullet \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}^{w,H})}(V^\bullet * X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet).$$

It is easy to see that this functor is representable.

Lemma 20.3.1. *Assume that $X_1^\bullet$ is quasi-perfect and $X_2^\bullet \in D^+(\mathcal{C}^{w,H})$. Then the image of $\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet)$ under the forgetful functor $D(\mathcal{R}ep(H)) \to D(\mathrm{Vect})$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet)$.*

The proof repeats that of Lemma 20.2.1.

20.4. **Infinitesimally trivial actions.** Let $H^{(i)}$ be the i-th infinitesimal neighborhood of 1 in H, so that $H^{(0)} = 1$ and $H^{(1)} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \epsilon \cdot \mathfrak{h}^*)$, where $\epsilon^2 = 0$. Note that if H weakly acts on $\mathcal{C}$, the restriction to $H^{(1)}$ yields for every object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ a short exact sequence in $\mathcal{C}$.

$$0 \to \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes X \to X^{(1)} \to X \to 0,$$

where $X^{(1)} := \operatorname{act}^*(X)|_{H^{(1)}}$.

We will say that the action of H on $\mathcal{C}$ is infinitesimally trivial, or of Harish-Chandra type, if we are given a functorial isomorphism

$$\operatorname{act}^*(X)|_{H^{(1)}} \simeq p^*(X)|_{H^{(1)}}, \tag{20.3}$$

such that two compatibility condition (see below) are satisfied.

The first condition is that the isomorphism (20.3) respects the identification of the restrictions of both sides to $1 \in H$ with X. (In view of this condition, the data of (20.3) amounts to a functorial splitting $X \to X^{(1)}$.)

To formulate the second condition, consider the map of schemes

$$(h, h_1) \overset{\operatorname{Ad}}{\mapsto} \operatorname{Ad}_h(h_1) : H \times H^{(1)} \to H^{(1)}.$$

From (20.1) and (20.3) we obtain two *a priori* different identifications

$$\operatorname{Ad}^*(X^{(1)}) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{O}_{H\times H^{(1)}} \otimes X \in \operatorname{QCoh}_{H\times H^{(1)}} \otimes \mathcal{C}.$$

Our condition is that these two identifications coincide.

Here are some typical examples of Harish-Chandra actions:
1) Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be an ind-scheme of ind-finite type acted on by H. Then the category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})$–mod carries a H-action of Harish-Chandra type.
2) Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a topological associative algebra, acted on by H, and assume that the derived action of $\mathfrak{h}$ on $\mathbf{A}$ is inner, i.e., comes from a continuous map $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathbf{A}$. Then the action of H on $\mathbf{A}$–mod is of Harish-Chandra type.

Let now X be an object of $\mathcal{C}^{w,H}$. Note that in this case we have two identifications between $\operatorname{act}^*(X)|_{H^{(1)}}$ and $p^*(X)|_{H^{(1)}}$. Their difference is a map

$$a^\sharp : X \mapsto \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes X,$$

compatible with the co-bracket on $\mathfrak{h}^*$, i.e., an action of $\mathfrak{h}$ on X, see Sect. 19.1. We will call this map "the obstruction to strong equivariance".

We will say that an object $X \in \mathcal{C}^{w,H}$ is strongly H-equivariant (or simply H-equivariant) if the map $a^\sharp$ is zero. Strongly equivariant objects form a full subcategory in $\mathcal{C}^{w,H}$, which we will denote by $\mathcal{C}^H$.

Let us consider the example, where $\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})$–mod, where X is an ind-scheme of ind-finite type acted on by H. Then $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})\text{–mod}^{w,H}$ is the usual category of weakly H-equivariant D-modules, and $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})\text{–mod}^H$ is the category strongly H-equivariant $\mathfrak{D}$-modules.

More generally, if $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{A}$–mod, where $\mathbf{A}$ is a topological associative algebra, acted on by H, then $\mathbf{A}\text{–mod}^{w,H}$ consists of $\mathbf{A}$-modules, endowed with an algebraic action of H, compatible with the action of H on $\mathbf{A}$. If the action of H on $\mathbf{A}$ is of Harish-Chandra type, and $X \in A\text{–mod}^{w,H}$, the map $a^\sharp : X \to \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes X$ corresponds to the difference of the two actions of $\mathfrak{h}$ on X.

20.5. Let $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}^H)$ denote the DG category of complexes of objects of $\mathcal{C}^H$, and $D(\mathcal{C}^H)$ the corresponding derived category. We have a natural functor $D(\mathcal{C}^H) \to D(\mathcal{C})$, but in general it does not behave well. Following Beilinson, we will now introduce the "correct" triangulated category, along with its DG model, that corresponds to strongly H-equivariant objects of $\mathcal{C}$.

Let $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H$ be the category whose objects are complexes $X^\bullet$ of objects of $\mathcal{C}^{w,H}$, endowed with a map of complexes

$$i^\sharp : X^\bullet \to \mathfrak{h}^*[-1] * X^\bullet,$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- $i^\sharp$ is a map in $\mathcal{C}^{w,H}$.
- The iteration of $i^\sharp$, viewed as a map $X^\bullet \to \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{h}^*)[-2] * X^\bullet$, vanishes.
- The map $[d, i^\sharp] : X^\bullet \to \mathfrak{h}^* * X^\bullet$ equals the map $a^\sharp$.

For two objects $X_1^\bullet$ and $X_2^\bullet$ of $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H$ we define $\mathcal{H}om^k_{\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H}(X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet)$ to be the subcomplex of $\mathcal{H}om^k_{\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}^{w,H})}(X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet)$ consisting of graded maps $X_1^\bullet \to X_2^\bullet[k]$ that preserve the data of $i^\sharp$. This defines on $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H$ a structure of DG-category.

Note that the usual cohomology functor defines a cohomological functor $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H \to \mathcal{C}^H$. We will denote by $D(\mathcal{C})^H$ the resulting localized triangulated category, which henceforth we will refer to as the "H-equivariant derived category of $\mathcal{C}$".

By construction, the truncation functors $\tau^{<0}$, $\tau^{>0}$ are well-defined at the level of $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H$. Therefore, objects of the subcategory $D^b(\mathcal{C})^H$ (resp., $D^+(\mathcal{C})^H$, $D^-(\mathcal{C})^H$) can be realized by complexes in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H$ that are concentrated in finitely many cohomological degrees (resp., cohomological degrees $>> -\infty$, $<< \infty$).

20.6. **Examples.** Take first $\mathcal{C}$ to be Vect, in which case $\mathcal{C}^{w,H}$ identifies with the category $\mathcal{R}ep(H)$, and $\mathcal{C}^H$ is the same as $\mathcal{R}ep(H/H^0)$, where $H^0 \subset H$ is the neutral connected component of H.

We will denote the resulting DG category by $\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{pt}/H)$ and the triangulated category by $D(\mathrm{pt}/H)$. Note that $\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{pt}/H)$ is the standard, i.e., Cartan, DG-model for the H-equivariant derived category of the point-scheme.

Consider the de Rham complex on H, denoted $\mathbf{DR}_H$. The multiplication on H endows $\mathbf{DR}_H$ with a structure of a DG co-algebra. The category $\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{pt}/H)$ is tautologically the same as the category of DG co-modules over $\mathbf{DR}_H$. In particular, $\mathbf{DR}_H$ itself is naturally an object of $\mathbf{C}\big(\mathrm{pt}/(H \times H)\big)$.

More generally, let $\mathcal{C}$ be $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})$–mod for $\mathcal{Y}$ as above. In this case $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})\text{–mod})^H$ is the DG-model for the H-equivariant derived category on $\mathcal{Y}$ studied in [BD1]; in *loc.cit.* it is shown that the corresponding derived category is equivalent to the category of [BL].

20.7. **Averaging.** Note that for any $\mathcal{C}$ with an infinitesimally trivial action of H we have a natural tensor product functor

$$V^\bullet, X^\bullet \mapsto V^\bullet * X^\bullet : \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{pt}/H) \times \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H \to \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H,$$

which extends to a functor $D(\mathrm{pt}/H) \times D(\mathcal{C})^H \to D(\mathcal{C})^H$.

We have a tautological forgetful functor $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H \to \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}^{w,H})$, and we claim that it admits a natural right adjoint, described as follows.

We will regard $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}^{w,H})$ as a complex of objects of $\mathcal{C}$, acted on by $\mathfrak{h}$ via $a^\sharp$, and we can form the standard complex

$$\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}, X^\bullet) := \Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*) * X^\bullet,$$

see Sect. 19.8. It is naturally an object of $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}^{w,H})$. The action of the annihilation operators defines on $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}, X^\bullet)$ a structure of an object in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H$.

The resulting functor $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}^{w,H}) \to \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H$ is exact when restricted to $\mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C}^{w,H})$, and the corresponding functor $D^+(\mathcal{C})^H \to D^+(\mathcal{C}^{w,H})$ is the right adjoint to the tautological forgetful functor, by Lemma 19.9.2.

We will denote the composed functor

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}) \overset{\mathrm{Av}_H^w}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}^{w,H}) \to \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H$$

(and the corresponding functor $D^+(\mathcal{C}) \to D^+(\mathcal{C})^H$) by Av_H. This functor is the right adjoint to the forgetful functor $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H \to \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ (resp., $D(\mathcal{C})^H \to D(\mathcal{C})$).

Let us consider two examples:

1) For $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Vect}$, we have $\mathrm{Av}_H(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbf{DR}_H \in \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{pt}/H)$.

2) Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})\text{–mod}$, where $\mathcal{Y}$ is an ind-scheme of ind-finite type, acted on by H. The resulting functor at the level of derived categories $D^+(\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})\text{–mod}) \to D^+(\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})\text{–mod})^H$ is the corresponding *-averaging functor:

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto p_* \circ \mathrm{act}^!(\mathcal{F}),$$

where p and act are the two maps $H \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Y}$.

20.8. **The unipotent case.** Assume now that H is connected. We claim that in this case $\mathcal{C}^H$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$. Indeed, for a $\mathcal{C}$-morphism $\phi : X_1 \to X_2$ between objects of $\mathcal{C}^H$, in the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{act}^*(X_1) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{act}^*(\phi)"] & \mathrm{act}^*(X_2) \\
\mathcal{O}_H \otimes X_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\sim"] & \mathcal{O}_H \otimes X_2 \arrow[u, "\sim"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

the bottom arrow is necessarily of the form $\mathrm{id} \otimes \phi'$, since its derivative along H is 0, as follows from the condition that $a^\sharp|_{X_1} = a^\sharp|_{X_2} = 0$. Then the unit constraint forces $\phi' = \phi$.

For H connected let us denote by $D(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}^H}$ the full subcategory of $D(\mathcal{C})$ consisting of objects, whose cohomologies belong to $\mathcal{C}^H$.

Proposition 20.8.1. *Suppose that the group-scheme H is pro-unipotent. Then the functor $D^+(\mathcal{C})^H \to D^+(\mathcal{C})$ is fully-faithful, and it induces an equivalence $D^+(\mathcal{C})^H \simeq D^+(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}^H}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Av}_H : D^+(\mathcal{C}) \to D^+(\mathcal{C})^H$ is the right adjoint to the functor in question, to prove fully-faithfulness it suffices to show that the adjunction map gives rise to an isomorphism between the composition

$$D^+(\mathcal{C})^H \to D^+(\mathcal{C}) \overset{\mathrm{Av}_H}{\to} D^+(\mathcal{C})^H$$

and the identity functor.

For $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})^H$, the object $\mathrm{Av}_H(X^\bullet)$ is isomorphic to the tensor product of complexes

$$\mathbf{DR}_H * X^\bullet,$$

and the adjunction map in question corresponds to the natural map $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbf{DR}_H$. The latter is a quasi-isomorphism since H was assumed pro-unipotent.

It remains to show that $D^+(\mathcal{C})^H$ maps essentially surjectively onto $D^+(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}^H}$. For that it is sufficient to show that for $X^\bullet \in D^+(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}^H}$, the second adjunction map $\mathrm{Av}_H(X^\bullet) \to X^\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

By devissage, we can assume that $X^\bullet$ is concentrated in one cohomological dimension. However such an object is quasi-isomorphic (up to a shift) to an object from $\mathcal{C}^H$, which makes the assertion manifest.

□

20.9. **Equivariant cohomology.** For $X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H$ we define a contravariant functor

$$\underline{\mathcal{H}om}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet) : \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{pt}/H) \to \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{Vect})$$

by

$$V^\bullet \mapsto \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H}(V^\bullet * X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet).$$

This functor is easily seen to be representable. When $X_1^\bullet$ is bounded from above and consists of objects that are finitely generated, the forgetful functor $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H \to \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{Vect})$ maps $\underline{\mathcal{H}om}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet)$ to $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})}(X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet)$.

Similarly, for $X_1^\bullet \in, X_2^\bullet \in D(\mathcal{C})^H$ the cohomological functor

$$V^\bullet \mapsto \mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathcal{C})^H}(V^\bullet * X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet)$$

is representable by some $\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet) \in D^+(\mathcal{C})^H$. We have the following assertion, whose proof repeats that of Lemma 20.2.1:

Lemma 20.9.1. *If $X_1^\bullet$ quasi-perfect as an object of $D(\mathcal{C})$ and $X_2^\bullet$ is bounded from below. Then the forgetful functor $D^+(\mathrm{pt}/H) \to D^+(\mathrm{Vect})$ maps $\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet)$ to $\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet)$.*

The last lemma gives rise to the Leray spectral sequence that expresses Exts in the H-equivariant derived category as equivariant cohomology with coefficients in usual Exts.

We will now recall an explicit way of computing Exts in the category $D(\mathrm{pt}/H)$, in a slightly more general framework. For what follows we will make the following additional assumption on H (satisfied in the examples of interest):

We will assume that the group-scheme H is such that its unipotent radical H_u is of finite codimension in H. We will fix a splitting $H/H_u =: H_{red} \to H$.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian category with the trivial action of H. We will denote the resulting equivariant DG category by $\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{pt}/H \otimes \mathcal{C})$. It consists of complexes of objects of $\mathcal{C}$, endowed with an algebraic $\mathcal{O}_H$-action, and an action of $\mathfrak{h}[1]$, satisfying the usual axioms.

Consider the functor $X^\bullet \mapsto \underline{\mathcal{H}om}_{\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{pt}/H)}(\mathbb{C}, X^\bullet) : \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{pt}/H \otimes \mathcal{C}) \to \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$, given by

$$X^\bullet \mapsto (X^\bullet)^{H,\mathfrak{h}[1]}.$$

Consider the corresponding derived functor

$$\underline{\mathcal{RH}om}_{D(\mathrm{pt}/H)}(\mathbb{C}, ?) : D(\mathrm{pt}/H \otimes \mathcal{C}) \to D(\mathcal{C}).$$

Let us show how to compute it explicitly.

Let $BH^\bullet$ (resp., $EH^\bullet$) be the standard simplicial model for the classifying space of H (resp., the principal H-bundle over it). Let us denote by $\mathbf{DR}_{EH^\bullet}$ be the de Rham complex of $EH^\bullet$. The action of H on $EH^\bullet$ makes $\mathbf{DR}_{EH^\bullet}$ a co-module over $\mathbf{DR}_H$, i.e., an object of $\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{pt}/H)$. Since $EH^\bullet$ is contractible, $\mathbf{DR}_{EH^\bullet}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 20.9.2. *For $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{pt}/H \otimes \mathcal{C})$, there is a natural quasi-isomorphism*

$$\underline{\mathcal{H}om}_{\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{pt}/H)}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{DR}_{EH^\bullet} * X^\bullet) \simeq \underline{\mathcal{RH}om}_{D(\mathrm{pt}/H)}(\mathbb{C}, X^\bullet).$$

Proof. We only have to check that whenever $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{pt}/H \otimes \mathcal{C})$ is acyclic, then

$$(\mathbf{DR}_{EH^\bullet} * X^\bullet)^{H,\mathfrak{h}[1]}$$

is acyclic as well.

Note that the rows of the corresponding bi-complex are isomorphic to

$$(\mathbf{DR}_{H^n} * X^\bullet)^{H,\mathfrak{h}[1]} \simeq \mathbf{DR}_{H^{n-1}} \otimes X^\bullet.$$

In particular, they are acyclic if $X^\bullet$ is. In other words, we have to show that the corresponding spectral sequence is convergent.

Consider the maps $\mathbf{DR}_{H^n} \to \mathbf{DR}_{H^n_{red}}$, corresponding to the splitting $H_{red} \to H$. They induce a quasi-isomorphism

$$(\mathbf{DR}_{EH^\bullet} * X^\bullet)^{H,\mathfrak{h}[1]} \to (\mathbf{DR}_{EH^\bullet_{red}} * X^\bullet)^{H_{red},\mathfrak{h}_{red}[1]}.$$

This reduces us to the case when H is finite-dimensional, for which the convergence of the spectral sequence is evident.

□

As a corollary, we obtain that the functor $\underline{\mathcal{RH}om}_{D(\mathrm{pt}/H)}(\mathbb{C}, ?)$ commutes with direct sums. We will sometimes denote the functor $\underline{\mathcal{RH}om}_{D(\mathrm{pt}/H)}(\mathbb{C}, ?)$ by $H^\bullet_{\mathbf{DR}}(\mathrm{pt}/H, ?)$.

20.10. **Harish-Chandra modules.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Tate Lie algebra, acted on by H by endomorphisms, and equipped with a homomorphism $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{g}$, so that $(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ is a Harish-Chandra pair. Then the category $\mathfrak{g}$–mod is a category with an infinitesimally trivial action of H.

The abelian category $\mathfrak{g}\text{–mod}^H$ is the same as $(\mathfrak{g}, H)$–mod, i.e., the category of Harish-Chandra modules. For $M^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}\text{–mod})^H$ we will denote by $x \mapsto a(x)$ the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $M^\bullet$ and for $x \in \mathfrak{h}$, by $a^\flat(x)$ the action obtained by deriving the algebraic H-action on $M^\bullet$. (Then, of course, $a^\sharp(x) = a^\flat(x) - a(x) = [d, i^\sharp(x)]$).

Let $D(\mathfrak{g}\text{–mod})^H$ be the corresponding derived category, and $D((\mathfrak{g}, H)\text{–mod})$ be the naive derived category of the abelian category $(\mathfrak{g}, H)$–mod.

Proposition 20.10.1. *Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is finite-dimensional. Then the evident functor $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)\text{–mod} \to D(\mathfrak{g}\text{–mod})^H$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. We will construct a functor $\Phi : \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}\text{–mod})^H \to \mathbf{C}((\mathfrak{g}, H)\text{–mod})$ that would be the quasi-inverse of the tautological embedding at the level of derived categories.

For $M^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}\text{–mod})$ consider the tensor product

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes M^\bullet \tag{20.4}$$

with the standard differential, where $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is the universal enveloping algebra.

Assume now that $M^\bullet$ is in fact an object of $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}\text{–mod})^H$. Consider an action i^o of $\mathfrak{h}[1]$ on (20.4), given by $i^o(x) \cdot (u \otimes \omega \otimes m) = u \otimes \omega \wedge x \otimes m + u \otimes \omega \otimes i^\sharp(x) \cdot m$. Consider also a $\mathfrak{h}$-action Lie^o, given by

$$\mathrm{Lie}^o_x \cdot (u \otimes \omega \otimes m) = -u \cdot x \otimes \omega \otimes m + u \otimes \mathrm{ad}_x(\omega) \otimes m + u \otimes \omega \otimes a^\flat(x)(m).$$

We have the usual relation $[d, i^o(x)] = \mathrm{Lie}^o_x$, and set

$$\Phi(M^\bullet) := (U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes M^\bullet)_{\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}[1]} \simeq U(\mathfrak{g}) \underset{U(\mathfrak{h})}{\otimes} (\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \underset{\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{h})}{\otimes} M^\bullet).$$

This is a complex of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules via the $\mathfrak{g}$-action by the left multiplication on $U(\mathfrak{g})$, Moreover, we claim that the action of $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ on $\Phi(M^\bullet)$ integrates to a H-action. This follows from the fact that the $a^\flat$-action of $\mathfrak{h}$ on $M^\bullet$ is integrable, and that the adjoint of $\mathfrak{h}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ is integrable. Therefore, $\Phi(M^\bullet)$ is an object of $\mathbf{C}((\mathfrak{g}, H)\text{–mod})$.

It is easy to see that $\Phi : \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}\text{–mod})^H \to \mathbf{C}((\mathfrak{g}, H)\text{–mod})$ is exact, and hence, it gives rise to a functor at the level of derived categories.

Note that for any $M^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}\text{–mod})^H$ we have the natural maps

$$M^\bullet \leftarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes M^\bullet \to \Phi(M^\bullet),$$

both being quasi-isomorphisms. This implies the statement of the proposition.

□

20.11. **Relative BRST complex.** Assume now that H is such that the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{h}$ on $\mathsf{Cliff}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ can be lifted to an algebraic action of H on $\mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g})$. In particular, the canonical extension $\mathfrak{g}_{-\operatorname{can}}$ splits over $\mathfrak{h}$, and the category $\mathfrak{g}_{-\operatorname{can}}\text{–mod}$ also acquires an infinitesimally trivial H-action.

For an object $M^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}_{-\operatorname{can}}\text{–mod})^H$, consider the complex $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, M^\bullet)$, associated with the corresponding bi-complex. We claim that it is naturally an object of $\mathbf{C}(\operatorname{pt}/H)$:

As a complex of vector spaces, it carries the diagonal action of the group-scheme H (we will denote the action of its Lie algebra by $\operatorname{Lie}^\flat$) and an action, denoted $i^\flat$, of $\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{h})$ defined as $i|_{\mathfrak{h}} + i^\sharp$. Let us show how to compute $H^\bullet_{DR}\left(\operatorname{pt}/H, \mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, M^\bullet)\right) \in D(\operatorname{Vect})$ (see Sect. 20.9).

For $M^\bullet$ as above, let us denote by $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; H_{red}, M^\bullet)$ (resp., $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; H, M^\bullet)$ the subcomplex of $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, M^\bullet)$, equal to $\left(\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, M^\bullet)\right)^{H_{red}, \mathfrak{h}_{red}[1]}$ (resp., $\left(\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, M^\bullet)\right)^{H, \mathfrak{h}[1]}$).

Lemma 20.11.1.

(1) *The complex* $H^\bullet_{DR}\left(\operatorname{pt}/H, \mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, M^\bullet)\right)$ *is quasi-isomorphic to* $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; H_{red}, M^\bullet)$.

(2) *If each* M^i *as above is injective as a* H_u*-module, then the embedding*

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; H, M^\bullet) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; H_{red}, M^\bullet)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. First, by Sect. 20.9, we can assume that $M^\bullet$ is bounded from below. Secondly, arguing as in Proposition 20.8.1, we can replace the original complex $M^\bullet$ by one, which consists of modules that are injective over H_u (and hence over H).

Hence, it is sufficient to check that in this case

$$H^\bullet_{DR}\left(\operatorname{pt}/H, \mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, M^\bullet)\right) \leftarrow \mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; H, M^\bullet) \to \mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; H_{red}, M^\bullet)$$

are quasi-isomorphisms.

Consider $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, M^\bullet)$ as a module over the Clifford algebra $\mathsf{Cliff}(\mathfrak{h})$, where the annihilation operators act by i^*, and the creation operators act by means of $i^\flat$. We obtain that

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, M^\bullet) \simeq \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}, M_1^\bullet),$$

for some complex $M_1^\bullet$ of H-modules, which are moreover, consists of injective objects.

Thus, we have reduced the original problem to the case when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}$. In this case, by Lemma 19.8.2 and Lemma 20.9.1,

$$H^\bullet_{DR}\left(\operatorname{pt}/H, \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}, M^\bullet)\right) \simeq \operatorname{RHom}_{D(H-mod)}(\mathbb{C}, M^\bullet),$$

which is quasi-isomorphic to

$$\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}; H, M^\bullet) \simeq M^\bullet,$$

if $M^\bullet$ consists of injective H-modules.

Moreover, by the Hochshild-Serre spectral sequence,

$$\operatorname{RHom}_{D(H-mod)}(\mathbb{C}, M^\bullet) \simeq \left(\operatorname{RHom}_{D(H_u-mod)}(\mathbb{C}, M^\bullet)\right)^{H_{red}} \simeq \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}; H_{red}, M^\bullet).$$

□

20.12. **Variant: equivariance against a character.** Let now ψ be a homomorphism $H \to \mathbb{G}_a$; we will denote by the same character the resulting character on $\mathfrak{h}$. For a category $\mathcal{C}$ as above, we introduce the category $\mathcal{C}^{H,\psi}$ to be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}^{w,H}$, consisting of objects, for which the map $a^\flat$ is given by the character ψ.

Let us consider the example when $\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})$–mod. Let $\mathbf{e}^\psi$ be the pull-back of the Artin-Schreier D-module on $\mathbb{G}_a$ under ψ. Its fiber at $1 \in H$ is trivialized and it is a character sheaf in the sense that we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{mult}^*(\mathbf{e}^\psi) \simeq \mathbf{e}^\psi \boxtimes \mathbf{e}^\psi$, which is associative in the natural sense.

The category $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})\text{–mod}^{H,\psi}$ consists of D-modules $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{Y}$, endowed with an isomorphism $\mathrm{act}^*(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathbf{e}^\psi \boxtimes \mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{D}(H \times \mathcal{Y})$–mod, satisfying the associativity and unit conditions.

Returning to the general situation, we introduce the category $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^{H,\psi}$ in the same way as $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H$ with the only difference that we require that $[d, i^\sharp] = a^\sharp + \psi$. This is DG-category with a cohomological functor to $\mathcal{C}^{H,\psi}$. We will denote by $D(\mathcal{C})^{H,\psi}$ the resulting triangulated category.

Much of the discussion about $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H$ carries over to this situation. For example, we have the averaging functor $\mathrm{Av}_{H,\psi} : \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^{H,\psi}$, right adjoint to the forgetful functor. It is constructed as the composition of Av^w_H and the functor

$$X^\bullet \mapsto \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}, X^\bullet \otimes \mathbb{C}^\psi) : \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}^{w,H}) \to \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^{H,\psi},$$

where $\mathbb{C}^\psi$ is the 1-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{h}$ corresponding to the character ψ.

When H is pro-unipotent, one shows in the same way as above that the functor $D^+(\mathcal{C})^{H,\psi} \to D^+(\mathcal{C})$ is an equivalence onto the full subcategory, consisting of objects, whose cohomologies belong to $\mathcal{C}^{H,\psi}$.

21. D-MODULES ON GROUP IND-SCHEMES

21.1. Let G be an affine reasonable group ind-scheme, as in [BD1]. In particular, its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Tate vector space. We will denote by $\mathcal{O}_G$ the topological commutative algebra of functions on G.

The multiplication on G defines a map $\Delta_G : \mathcal{O}_G \to \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_G$. We will denote by Lie_l and Lie_r the two maps

$$\mathfrak{g} \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_G \to \mathcal{O}_G,$$

corresponding to the action of G on itself by left (resp., right) translations.

In addition, we have the maps

$$\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}, \ \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}^*} : \mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}^*$$

that correspond to the adjoint and co-adjoint actions of G on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$, respectively.

Let us denote by $T(G)$ (resp., $T^*(G)$) the topological $\mathcal{O}_G$-module of vector fields (resp., 1-forms) on G. It identifies in two ways with $\mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}$ (resp., $\mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}^*$), corresponding to the realization of $\mathfrak{g}$ (resp., $\mathfrak{g}^*$) as right or left invariant vector fields (resp., 1-forms). Note that $T(G)$ is a topological Lie algebra and $T^*(G)$ is a module over it.

21.2. Following [AG1], we introduce the category of D-modules on G, denoted $\mathfrak{D}(G)$–mod, as follows:

Its objects are (discrete) vector spaces $\mathcal{M}$, endowed with an action

$$\mathcal{O} \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{O} \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \overset{m}{\to} \mathcal{M}$$

and a Lie algebra action

$$a_l : \mathfrak{g} \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathfrak{g} \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M},$$

such that the two pieces of data are compatible in the sense of the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{O}_G$ by *left* translations in the following sense:

We need that the difference of the two arrows:

$$\mathfrak{g} \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_G \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_G \otimes m} \mathfrak{g} \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \overset{a_l}{\to} \mathcal{M}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{g} \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_G \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{O}_G \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g} \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{O}_G} \otimes a_l} \mathcal{O}_G \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \overset{m}{\to} \mathcal{M}$$

equals

$$\mathfrak{g} \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_G \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Lie}_l} \mathcal{O}_G \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \overset{m}{\to} \mathcal{M}.$$

Morphisms in $\mathfrak{D}(G)$–mod are maps of vector spaces $\mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ that commute with the actions of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{O}_G$.

21.3. **Action of the Tate canonical extension.** Following Beilinson, we will show now that if $\mathcal{M}$ is an object of $\mathfrak{D}(G)$–mod, then the underlying vector space carries a canonical action of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$, denoted a_r, which commutes with the original action of $\mathfrak{g}$, and which is compatible with the action of $\mathcal{O}_G$ via the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{O}_G$ by *right* translations.

Set $\mathcal{M}^{DR} = \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let us denote by i_r and i_r^* the actions on it of $\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, both of which are subalgebras in $\mathsf{Cliff}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$.

From the definition of $\mathfrak{D}(G)$–mod it follows that i_r and i_r^* on $\mathcal{M}$ extend to actions of the odd topological vector spaces $T(G)$ and $T^*(G)$, identified with $\mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}^*$ using left-invariant vector fields and forms, respectively. We will denote the resulting actions simply by i and i^*.

Using the map

$$\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{-\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}, \tag{21.1}$$

(here γ is the inversion on G), we obtain a new action i_l of $\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\mathcal{M}^{DR}$. Similarly, we have a new action i_l^* of $\Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ on $\mathcal{M}^{DR}$. Altogether, we obtain a new action of the Clifford algebra $\mathsf{Cliff}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ on $\mathcal{M}^{DR}$.

We will denote by the symbol Lie_l the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{M}^{DR}$ coming from the action a_l of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{M}$. We claim that this action extends to an action of the Lie algebra $T(G)$, denoted simply by Lie.

First we define an action of the non-completed tensor product $\mathcal{O}_G \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{M}^{DR}$. Namely, for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $f \in \mathcal{O}_G$ and $v \in \mathcal{M}$ we set we set

$$(f \otimes x) \cdot v = f \cdot \mathrm{Lie}_l(x) \cdot v + i_l^*(df) \cdot i_l(x) \cdot v. \tag{21.2}$$

Note that

$$f \cdot \mathrm{Lie}_l(x) \cdot v + i_l^*(df) \cdot i_l(x) \cdot v = \mathrm{Lie}_l(x) \cdot f \cdot v + i_l(x) \cdot i_l^*(df) \cdot v.$$

This property implies that the above action of $\mathcal{O}_G \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ extends to the action of $\mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g} \simeq T(G)$. Indeed, when x is contained in a deep enough neighborhood of zero, then both $\mathrm{Lie}_{l(x)}$ and $i_l(x)$

annihilate any given $v \in \mathcal{M}$. Similarly, if f is contained in a deep neighborhood of zero, then v is annihilated by both f and $i_l^*(df)$.

One readily checks that the above action is compatible with the Lie algebra structure on $T(G)$. In particular, using the map $-\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}$, i.e., the embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$ into $T(G)$ as left-invariant vector fields, we obtain a new action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{M}$. We will denote this action by Lie_r.

We have

- $[\mathrm{Lie}_r(x), i_l(y)] = 0$, $[\mathrm{Lie}_r(x), i_l^*(y^*)] = 0$ for $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $y^* \in \mathfrak{g}^*$.
- $[\mathrm{Lie}_r(x), i_r(y)] = i_r([x, y])$, $[\mathrm{Lie}_r(x), i_r^*(y^*)] = i_r^*(\mathrm{ad}_x(y^*))$,
- $[\mathrm{Lie}_r(x), f] = \mathrm{Lie}_{r(x)}(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_G$.
- $[\mathrm{Lie}_r(x), a_l(y)] = 0$.

Finally, we are ready to define the action a_r of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}}$ on $\mathcal{M}^{DR}$. Namely, a_r is the difference of Lie_r and the canonical $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{can}}$-action on $\mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g})$.

It is easy to see that a_r is indeed an action. Moreover,

- $[a_r(x'), f] = \mathrm{Lie}_{r(x)}(f)$, for $x' \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}}$ and its image $x \in \mathfrak{g}$,
- $[a_r(x'), a_l(y)] = 0$,
- $[a_r(x'), i_r(y)] = 0$, $[a_r(x'), i_r(y^*)] = 0$.

The last property implies that the a_r-action of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}}$ on $\mathcal{M}^{DR}$ preserves the subspace $\mathcal{M}$; i.e., we obtain an action of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ that satisfies the desired commutation properties.

When we view $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}$ as a $\mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}}$-module via a_r, we obtain that $\mathcal{M}^{DR}$ identifies with $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{M})$, where $i = i_r$, $i^* = i_r^*$, $\mathrm{Lie} = \mathrm{Lie}_r$. In particular, $\mathcal{M}^{DR}$ acquires a natural differential d.

From the above commutation properties, it follows that this differential satisfies:

- $[d, i(\xi)] = \mathrm{Lie}(\xi)$ for $\xi \in T(G)$,
- $[d, f] = i^*(df)$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_G$.

Of course, $\mathcal{M}^{DR}$ depends on the choice of the Clifford module $\mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g})$.

21.4. Note that the above construction can be inverted: we can introduce the category $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}$ to consist of $(\mathcal{O}_G, \mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}})$-modules, where the two actions are compatible in the sense of the $\mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}}$-action on $\mathcal{O}_G$ via right translations. In this case, the vector space underlying a representation automatically acquires an action of $\mathfrak{g}$, which commutes with the $\mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}}$-action and is compatible with the action of $\mathcal{O}_G$ via left translations.

Let us also note that in the definition of $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}$ we could interchange the roles of left and right:

Let us call the category introduced above $\mathfrak{D}(G)_l\text{–mod}$, and let us define the category $\mathfrak{D}(G)_r\text{–mod}$ to consist of $(\mathcal{O}_G, \mathfrak{g})$-modules, where the two actions are compatible via the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{O}_G$ by right translations. We claim that the categories $\mathfrak{D}(G)_l\text{–mod}$ and $\mathfrak{D}(G)_r\text{–mod}$ are equivalent, but this equivalence does not respect the forgetful functor to vector spaces.

This equivalence is defined as follows. For $\mathcal{M}_l \in \mathfrak{D}(G)_l\text{–mod}$, the actions i_l, i_l^* define a new action of $\mathsf{Cliff}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ on $\mathcal{M}^{DR}$. We define an object of $\mathcal{M}_r \in \mathfrak{D}(G)_r\text{–mod}$ by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Cliff}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^*)}(\mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{M}^{DR})$ with respect to this new action.

Explicitly, this can be reformulated as follows. Let G_{can} be the canonical (i.e., Tate) central extension of G. It can be viewed as a line bundle $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{can}}$ over G, whose fiber at a given point $\mathbf{g} \in G$ is the relative determinant line $\det(\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathbf{g}}(\mathfrak{g}))$. The action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on G be left (resp., right) translations extends to an action of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{can}}$ (resp., $\mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}}$) on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{can}}$.

Then,

$$\mathcal{M}_r \simeq \mathcal{M}_l \underset{\mathcal{O}_G}{\otimes} \mathcal{P}^{-1}_{\text{can}},$$

as $\mathcal{O}_G$-modules, respecting both the a_l and a_r actions.

In what follows, unless stated otherwise, we will think of $\mathfrak{D}(G)$–mod in the $\mathfrak{D}(G)_l$–mod realization.

21.5. Let H be a group-scheme, mapping to G. We claim that the category $\mathfrak{D}(G)$–mod carries a natural infinitesimally trivial action of H, corresponding to the action of H on G by left translations. (As we shall see later, this is a part of a more general structure, the latter being an action of the group ind-scheme $G \times G$ on $\mathfrak{D}(G)$–mod).

For $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{D}(G)$–mod we set $\text{act}^*_l(\mathcal{M})$ to be isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathcal{M}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_H$-module. The action of $\mathcal{O}_G$ is given via

$$\mathcal{O}_G \overset{\Delta_G}{\to} \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_G \overset{\gamma\otimes\text{id}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_H \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_G.$$

The action a_l of $\mathfrak{g}$ is given via the map

$$\mathfrak{g} \overset{\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\to} \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g} \overset{\gamma\otimes\text{id}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{O}_H \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g},$$

where $\mathcal{O}_H \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}$ acts on $\mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathcal{M}$ by $\text{id} \otimes m$.

To construct isomorphism $\text{act}^*(\mathcal{M})|_{H^{(1)}} \simeq p^*(\mathcal{M})|_{H^{(1)}}$ we identify both sides with $\mathcal{M} \oplus \epsilon \cdot \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathcal{M}$ as vector spaces, and the required isomorphism is given by the action of $\mathfrak{h}$ on $\mathcal{M}$, obtained by restriction from a_l.

Note that by construction, the action of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\text{can}}$ on $\text{act}^*_l(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_H \otimes \mathcal{M}$ is via its action on the second multiple.

Let now H' be another group-scheme, mapping to G, and let us assume that there exists a splitting $\mathfrak{h}' \to \mathfrak{g}_{\text{can}}$. In this case, we claim that there exists another infinitesimally trivial action of H' on $\mathfrak{D}(G)$–mod, corresponding to the action of H on G by right translations:

For $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{D}(G)$–mod, we define $\text{act}^*_r(\mathcal{M})$ to be isomorphic to $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{H'}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{H'}$-module and as a $\mathfrak{g}$-module. The action of $\mathcal{O}_G$ is given by the co-multiplication map $\mathcal{O}_G \to \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{H'}$. It is easy to see that the commutation relation is satisfied. The associativity and unit constraint are evident.

To construct the isomorphism $\text{act}^*_r(\mathcal{M})|_{H'^{(1)}} \simeq p^*(\mathcal{M})|_{H'^{(1)}}$, note that both sides are identified with $\mathcal{M} \oplus \epsilon \cdot \mathfrak{h}'^* \otimes \mathcal{M}$ as $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. The required isomorphism is given by the action of $\mathfrak{h}'$ on $\mathcal{M}$, obtained by restriction from a_r. Again, it is easy to see that the axioms of Harish-Chandra action hold.

Let us note that the action a_r of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\text{can}}$ on $\text{act}^*_r(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{H'}$ is given via the map

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-\text{can}} \overset{\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{g}_{-\text{can}} \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_G \to \mathfrak{g}_{-\text{can}} \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{H'}.$$

Let us denote by $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}^{l(H)}$ (resp., $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}^{r(H')}$) the corresponding categories of strongly equivariant objects of $\mathfrak{D}(G)$–mod. Moreover, it is easy to see that the actions of H and H' commute in the natural sense, i.e., we have an action of $H \times H'$ on $\mathfrak{D}(G)$–mod. We will denote the resulting category by $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}^{l(H),r(H')}$.

21.6. Let now $K \subset G$ be a group-subscheme such that the quotient G/K exists as a strict ind-scheme of ind-finite type (in this case it is formally smooth). We will call such K "open compact".

We will choose a particular model for the module $\mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g})$, denoted $\mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathsf{k})$ by letting it be generated by a vector $\mathbf{1} \in \mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g})$, annihilated by $\mathsf{k} \oplus (\mathfrak{g}/\mathsf{k})^* \subset \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^* \subset \mathsf{Cliff}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$. This $\mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathsf{k})$ carries a natural action of K, which gives rise to a splitting of G_{can} over K.

By the assumption on G/K, it makes sense to consider right D-modules on it; we will denote this category by $\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{–mod}$.

Proposition 21.6.1. *We have a canonical equivalence* $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}^{r(K)} \simeq \mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{–mod}$.

Proof. Let π denote the projection $G \to G/K$. For $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{–mod}$, consider the $\mathcal{O}_G$-module $\mathcal{M} := \Gamma(G, \pi^*(\mathcal{F}))$.

For $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, the (negative of the) corresponding vector field acting on $\mathcal{F}$ gives rise to a map $a_l(x) : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, as a vector space, and these data satisfy the conditions for $\mathcal{M}$ to be a $\mathfrak{D}(G)$-module.

We claim that the action of the Lie algebra $\mathsf{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-\text{can}}$ on $\mathcal{M}$, given by a_r, coincides with the natural action of k on $\pi^*(\mathcal{F})$ obtained by deriving the group action. This would imply that $\mathcal{M}$ is naturally an object of $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}^{r(K)}$.

To prove the assertion, we can assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is an extension of a D-module on an affine ind-subscheme of G/K. Then it is sufficient to check that the subspace $\Gamma(G/K, \mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}^{DR}$ is annihilated by the operators $\text{Lie}_{r(x)}$ for $x \in \mathsf{k}$. But this is straightforward from the construction.

Vice versa, let $\mathcal{M}$ be an object of $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}^{r(K)}$, which we identify with the corresponding quasi-coherent sheaf on G. Consider the complex of sheaves $\pi_*(\mathcal{M}^{DR})$ on G/K; it carries an action of the operators $i_r(x)$, $\text{Lie}_{r(x)}$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. We set $\mathcal{F}^{DR}$ to be the subcomplex of $\pi_*(\mathcal{M}^{DR})$ annihilated by the above operators for $x \in \mathsf{k}$.

Set $\mathcal{F}$ to be the degree 0 part of $\mathcal{F}^{DR}$; it is easy to see that $\mathcal{F} \simeq (\pi_*(\mathcal{M}))^K$. The degree -1 part of $\mathcal{F}^{DR}$ identifies with $\mathcal{F} \underset{\mathcal{O}(G/K)}{\otimes} T(G/K)$, and the differential

$$d : (\mathcal{F}^{DR})^{-1} \to (\mathcal{F}^{DR})^0$$

defines on $\mathcal{F}$ a structure of a right $\mathfrak{D}$-module. Moreover, the entire complex $\mathcal{F}^{DR}$ identifies with the de Rham complex of $\mathcal{F}$.

□

Let $\delta_{K,G}$ be the object of $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}^{r(K)}$ corresponding to the delta-function $\delta_{1,G/K}$ under the equivalence of categories of Proposition 21.6.1. It can be constructed as $\text{Ind}_{\mathsf{k}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ as a module over $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{O}_G$. As a module over $\mathfrak{g}_{-\text{can}}$ it is also isomorphic to $\text{Ind}_{\mathsf{k}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{-\text{can}}}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

More generally, let $\mathcal{L}$ be an object of $\text{QCoh}^!_{G/K}$. Let $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{O}_{G/K}}^{\mathfrak{D}_{G/K}}(\mathcal{L})$ be the induced D-module. The corresponding object of $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}^{r(K)}$, i.e.,, $\Gamma\left(G, \pi^*\left(\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{O}_{G/K}}^{\mathfrak{D}_{G/K}}(\mathcal{L})\right)\right)$ can described as follows:

Consider the $\mathcal{O}_G$-module $\Gamma(G, \pi^*(\mathcal{L}))$; it is acted on naturally by K. Consider the $\mathfrak{g}_{-\text{can}}$-module $\text{Ind}_{\mathsf{k}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{-\text{can}}}(\Gamma(G, \pi^*(\mathcal{L})))$. It is naturally acted on by $\mathcal{O}_G$, so that the actions of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\text{can}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_G$ satisfy the commutation relation with respect to the right action of G on itself. Hence, $\text{Ind}_{\mathsf{k}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{-\text{can}}}(\Gamma(G, \pi^*(\mathcal{L})))$ is an object of $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}^{r(K)}$ and we have a natural isomorphism:

$$\Gamma\left(G, \pi^*\left(\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{O}_{G/K}}^{\mathfrak{D}_{G/K}}(\mathcal{L})\right)\right) \simeq \text{Ind}_{\mathsf{k}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{-\text{can}}}(\Gamma(G, \pi^*(\mathcal{L}))). \tag{21.3}$$

21.7. **The bi-equivariant situation.** Let now K_1, K_2 be two "open compact" subgroups of G. Note that we have a natural equivalence of categories

$$\mathfrak{D}(G/K_1)\text{–mod}^{K_2} \to \mathfrak{D}(G/K_2)\text{–mod}^{K_1} : \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}, \tag{21.4}$$

defined as follows.

Assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathcal{F}$ is supported on a closed K_2-invariant subscheme $\mathcal{Y} \in G/K_1$, and let $\mathcal{Y}^{\text{op}}$ be the corresponding K_1-invariant subscheme in $K_2\backslash G$. We can find a normal "open compact" subgroup $K_2' \subset K_2$ such that if we denote by $\mathcal{Y}'^{\text{op}}$ the preimage of $\mathcal{Y}^{\text{op}}$ in $K_2'\backslash G$, the projection

$$\pi_1 : \mathcal{Y}'^{\text{op}} \to \mathcal{Y}$$

is well-defined (and makes $\mathcal{Y}'^{\text{op}}$ a torsor with respect to the corresponding smooth group-scheme over $\mathcal{Y}$).

Consider $\pi_1^!(\mathcal{F})$. This is a D-module on $\mathcal{Y}'^{\text{op}}$, equivariant with respect to the action of the group $(K_2/K_2') \times K_1$ on this scheme. Hence, it gives rise to a K_1-equivariant D-module on $K_2\backslash G$. To obtain $\mathcal{F}^{\text{op}}$ we apply the involution $\mathbf{g} \mapsto \mathbf{g}^{-1} : K_2\backslash G \to G/K_2$.

Let us now describe what this equivalence looks like in terms of the equivalences

$$\mathfrak{D}(G/K_1)\text{–mod}^{K_2} \simeq \mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}^{l(K_2),r(K_1)} \text{ and } \mathfrak{D}(G/K_2)\text{–mod}^{K_1} \simeq \mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}^{l(K_1),r(K_2)}.$$

First, the inversion on G defines an equivalence

$$\mathfrak{D}(G)_l\text{–mod}^{l(K_2),r(K_1)} \simeq \mathfrak{D}(G)_r\text{–mod}^{l(K_2),r(K_1)},$$

and the sought-for equivalence is obtained from the one above via $\mathfrak{D}(G)_r\text{–mod}^{l(K_2),r(K_1)} \simeq \mathfrak{D}(G)_l\text{–mod}^{l(K_2),r(K_1)}$.

(Note that the determinant line that played a role in the $\mathfrak{D}(G)_r\text{–mod} \simeq \mathfrak{D}(G)_l\text{–mod}$ equivalence, appears also in (21.4), when we descend *right* D-modules from $\mathcal{Y}'^{\text{op}}$ to $\mathcal{Y}^{\text{op}}$.)

21.8. Consider now the DG-category $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod})^{r(K)}$, and we claim that the construction in Proposition 21.6.1 generalizes to a functor $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod})^{r(K)} \to \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{–mod})$.

Indeed, for $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod})^{r(K)}$ let us denote by $(\mathcal{M}^\bullet)^{DR}$ the total complex of the corresponding bicomplex. We have several actions of $\Lambda^\bullet(\mathsf{k})$ on it, and let $i_r^\flat$ be the sum of the one given by restricting the i_r-action of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $i^\sharp$. In addition, $(\mathcal{M}^\bullet)^{DR}$ carries a natural action of K. These two structures combine to that of object of $\mathbf{C}(\text{pt}/K)$.

Consider again the complex of sheaves $\pi_*((\mathcal{M}^\bullet)^{DR})$ on G/K. It carries an action of $\Lambda^\bullet(\mathsf{k})$ coming from $i_r^\flat$ and an action of the group-scheme K. Define

$$(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)^{DR} := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbf{C}(\text{pt}/K)}\left(\mathbb{C}, \pi_*((\mathcal{M}^\bullet)^{DR})\right).$$

This is an $\Omega^\bullet_{G/K}$-module on in the terminology of [BD1].

Finally, we consider the functor $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod})^{r(K)} \to \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{–mod})$ given by

$$\mathcal{M}^\bullet \mapsto \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{O}_{G/K}}^{\mathfrak{D}(G/K)}\Big((\mathcal{F}^\bullet)^{DR}\Big) \in \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)),$$

where $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{O}_{G/K}}^{\mathfrak{D}(G/K)}$ is the induction functor from $\Omega^\bullet_{G/K}$-modules to D-modules on G/K, see [BD1], Sect. 7.11.12.

Lemma 21.8.1. *The resulting functor*

$$\mathcal{M}^\bullet \mapsto \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{O}_{G/K}}^{\mathfrak{D}(G/K)}\Big((\mathcal{F}^\bullet)^{DR}\Big) : \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod})^{r(K)} \to \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{–mod})$$

is exact.

Proof. This follows from the fact that the functor

$$\mathcal{M}^\bullet \mapsto (\mathcal{F}^\bullet)^{DR},$$

viewed as a functor from $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod})^{r(K)}$ to the DG category of (non-quasi coherent) sheaves on G/K is exact. $\square$

Hence, we obtain a well-defined functor $D(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod})^{r(K)} \to D(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{–mod})$.

Proposition 21.8.2. *The above functor* $D(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod})^{r(K)} \to D(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{–mod})$ *is an equivalence. Its quasi-inverse is given by*

$$D(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{–mod}) \to D(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}^{r(K)}) \to D(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod})^{r(K)}.$$

As a corollary, we obtain that in this case the evident functor $D(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}^{r(K)}) \to D(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{–mod})$ is an equivalence.

Proof. The functor

$$\mathcal{F}^\bullet \mapsto \Gamma(G, \pi^*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)) =: \mathcal{M}^\bullet \mapsto \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{O}_{G/K}}^{\mathfrak{D}(G/K)}\Big(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{pt}/K)}\left(\mathbb{C}, \pi_*((\mathcal{M}^\bullet)^{DR})\right)\Big)$$

is isomorphic to the composition

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{–mod}) \xrightarrow{DR} \Omega^\bullet_{G/K}\text{–mod} \overset{\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{O}_{G/K}}^{\mathfrak{D}(G/K)}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{–mod}),$$

and hence, on the derived level, it induces a functor isomorphic to the identity.

Vice versa, for $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}^{r(K)})$ we have a natural map

$$\pi^*\Big(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{O}_{G/K}}^{\mathfrak{D}(G/K)}\Big(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{pt}/K)}\left(\mathbb{C}, \pi_*((\mathcal{M}^\bullet)^{DR})\right)\Big)\Big) \to \mathcal{M}^\bullet, \tag{21.5}$$

and we claim that it is a quasi-isomorphism. This follows from the fact that as a complex of vector spaces, the LHS of (21.5) is naturally filtered, and the associated graded is isomorphic to

$$(\mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathsf{k}) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}/\mathsf{k})) \otimes \mathcal{M}^\bullet,$$

where the first multiple has the Koszul differential.

$\square$

We shall now establish the following:

Proposition 21.8.3. *For* $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \in D^+(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod})^K$ *and* $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^+(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{–mod})$*, corresponding to each other under the equivalence of Proposition 21.8.2, we have a canonical quasi-isomorphism*

$$H^\bullet_{DR}(G/K, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \simeq \mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; K_{red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet).$$

Note that by Lemma 20.11.1(1),

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; K_{red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet) \simeq H^\bullet_{DR}\left(\mathrm{pt}/K, (\mathcal{M}^\bullet)^{DR}\right).$$

Proof. We can assume that the complex $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ is such that each $\mathcal{M}^i$, as a K-equivariant $\mathcal{O}_G$-module, is of the form $\pi^*(\mathcal{L}^i)$, where $\mathcal{L}^i$ is a quasi-coherent sheaf on G/K, which is the direct image from an affine subscheme. Such $\mathcal{L}$ is obviously loose in the sense of [BD1], i.e., it has the property that the higher cohomologies $H^i(G/K, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^1)$ vanish for any quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{L}^1$ on G/K.

Hence, the de Rham cohomology of $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ can be computed as $\Gamma(G/K, (\mathcal{F}^\bullet)^{DR})$. Note that the latter complex can be identified by definition with

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; K, \mathcal{M}^\bullet).$$

Hence, the assertion of the proposition follows from Lemma 20.11.1(2).

□

21.9. **Variant: central extensions and twisting.** Let now $\mathfrak{g}'$ be a central extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ by means of $\mathbb{C}$. We will denote by $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$ the Baer sum of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$ and the Baer negative of $\mathfrak{g}'$.

We introduce the category $\mathfrak{D}(G)'$–mod to consist of (discrete) vector spaces $\mathcal{M}$, endowed with an action

$$\mathcal{O}_G \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \overset{m}{\to} \mathcal{M}$$

as before, and a Lie algebra action

$$a_l : \mathfrak{g}' \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M},$$

(such that, of course, $1 \in \mathbb{C} \subset \mathfrak{g}'$ acts as identity), and such that the two pieces of data are compatible in same way as in the definition of $\mathfrak{D}(G)$–mod.

We claim that in this case, the vector space, underlying an object $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{D}(G)'$–mod carries a canonically defined action, denoted a_r, of $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$, which commutes with a_l, and which satisfies $[a_r(x'), f] = \mathrm{Lie}_{r(x)}(f)$ for $x' \in \mathfrak{g}'_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_G$.

We construct a_r by the same method as in the case of $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{g}$. Namely, we tensor $\mathcal{M}$ by $\mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g})$, and show that it carries an action of $T(G)' := \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}'$, from which we produce the desired a_r.

Note, however, that in this case $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathsf{Spin}(\mathfrak{g})$ does not carry any differential.

Let us assume now that $\mathfrak{g}'$ is a scalar multiple of an extension, induced by some central extension of G by means of $\mathbb{G}_m$. Let K be an "open compact" subgroup of K, and assume that G' splits over K. We can then consider the category $\mathfrak{D}(G/K)'$–mod of twisted D-modules on G/K.

In this case we also have a well-defined category $\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod}^K$ (along with its DG and triangulated versions $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})^K$ and $D(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})^K)$. Proposition 21.6.1 and Proposition 21.8.2 generalize to the twisted context in a straightforward way.

21.10. **D-modules with coefficients in a category.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian category, satisfying assumption (*) of Sect. 19.3. Then it makes sense to consider the category $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod} \otimes \mathcal{C}$, and all the results of the present section carry over to this context.

In particular, for an "open compact" subgroup $K \subset G$ we can consider the category $\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{–mod} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ (see Sect. 19.7), and we have the analogs of Proposition 21.6.1 and Proposition 21.8.2.

22. CONVOLUTION

22.1. **Action of group ind-schemes on categories.** We will now generalize the contents of Sect. 20.1 and Sect. 20.4 into the context of group ind-schemes. Let G be an affine reasonable group ind-scheme as above. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category satisfying assumption (*) of Sect. 19.3.

A weak action of G on a $\mathcal{C}$ is the data of a functor

$$\mathrm{act}^* : \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{QCoh}^*_G \otimes \mathcal{C},$$

and two functorial isomorphisms as in Sect. 20.1.

For $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and a scheme S mapping to G we obtain a functor

$$X \mapsto \mathrm{act}^*(X)|_S : \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{QCoh}_S \otimes \mathcal{C}.$$

The following assertion is proved as Lemma 20.1.1:

Lemma 22.1.1. *For any $S \to G$, the functor $X \mapsto \operatorname{act}^*(X)|_S$ is exact, and its image consists of $\mathcal{O}_S$-flat objects.*

Let $G^{(1)}$ be the first infinitesimal neighborhood of $1 \in G$. This is a formal scheme equal to $\operatorname{Spf}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \epsilon \cdot \mathfrak{g}^*)$. If G acts on $\mathcal{C}$ and $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we obtain an object

$$X^{(1)} := \operatorname{act}(X)|_{G^{(1)}} \in \operatorname{QCoh}^*_{G^{(1)}} \otimes \mathcal{C}.$$

We say that the action of G on $\mathcal{C}$ is of Harish-Chandra type if we are given a functorial identification between $X^{(1)}$ and $p^*(X)|_{G^{(1)}}$, satisfying the same compatibility conditions as in Sect. 20.4.

Let now $\mathfrak{g}'$ be a central extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ by means of $\mathbb{C}$. Let $G'^{(1)}$ be the formal scheme $\operatorname{Spf}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \epsilon \cdot \mathfrak{g}'^*)$. It projects onto $G^{(1)}$ and contains $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \epsilon \cdot \mathbb{C})$ as a closed subscheme.

We say that a G action on $\mathcal{C}$ is of twisted Harish-Chandra type relative to $\mathfrak{g}'$, if for every $X \in \mathcal{C}$ we have a functorial isomorphism between $\operatorname{act}^*(X)|_{G'^{(1)}}$ and $p^*(X)|_{G'^{(1)}}$ such that the induced map

$$\operatorname{act}^*(X)|_{G'^{(1)}}|_{\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\mathbb{C})} \simeq X \oplus \epsilon \cdot X \simeq p^*(X)|_{G'^{(1)}}|_{\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\mathbb{C})},$$

is the automorphism

$$\operatorname{id}_X \oplus \epsilon \cdot \operatorname{id}_X : X \oplus \epsilon \cdot X \to X \oplus \epsilon \cdot X,$$

and which satisfies the second compatibility as in the non-twisted case.

22.2. **Example: $\mathfrak{g}$-modules.** Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an associative topological algebra with an action of G (see Sect. 19.2). Then the category $\mathbf{A}$–mod carries a weak G-action.

If in addition, we have a continuous map $\mathfrak{g}' \to \mathbf{A}$ that sends $1 \in \mathbb{C} \subset \mathfrak{g}'$ to the identity in $\mathbf{A}$ such that the commutator map $\mathfrak{g} \overset{*}{\otimes} \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{A}$ is the dual of the map $\mathbf{A} \to \mathfrak{g}^* \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathbf{A}$, obtained by deriving the G-action, then the above action of G on $\mathbf{A}$–mod is of twisted Harish-Chandra type relative to $\mathfrak{g}'$.

We will consider some particular cases of this situation. The most basic example is $\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{g}'$–mod:

Let M be a $\mathfrak{g}'$-module. We will denote by a the action map $\mathfrak{g}' \overset{*}{\otimes} M \to M$ and by $a^* : M \to \mathfrak{g}'^* \overset{!}{\otimes} M$ its dual. For $S \to G$, we set $\operatorname{act}^*_S(M)$ to be isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_S \otimes M$ as an $\mathcal{O}_S$-module. The $\mathfrak{g}'$-action on it is given via the map

$$\mathfrak{g}' \overset{\Delta_\mathfrak{g}}{\to} \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}' \to \mathcal{O}_S \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}'.$$

and the action of the latter on $\mathcal{O}_S \otimes M$ by means of $\operatorname{id} \otimes m$.

The restriction of $\operatorname{act}^*(M)$ to $G'^{(1)}$ identifies as a $(\mathbb{C} \oplus \epsilon \cdot \mathfrak{g}'^*)$-module with the free module

$$M \oplus \epsilon \cdot \mathfrak{g}'^* \overset{!}{\otimes} M.$$

In terms of this identification, the $\mathfrak{g}'$-action is given by

$$x \otimes (v_1 + \epsilon \cdot v_2) \mapsto a(x \otimes v_1) + \epsilon \cdot \left((a \otimes \operatorname{id}_{\mathfrak{g}'^*})(\operatorname{ad}^*(x) \otimes v_1) + a(x \otimes v_2)\right),$$

where ad^* is the map $\mathfrak{g}' \to \mathfrak{g}' \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}'^*$, adjoint to the bracket.

We construct an isomorphism between $M^{(1)}$ and

$$p^*(M)|_{G'^{(1)}} \simeq M \oplus \epsilon \cdot \mathfrak{g}'^* \overset{!}{\otimes} M$$

as $\mathfrak{g}'$-modules using the map

$$v_1 + \epsilon \cdot v_2 \mapsto v_1 + \epsilon \cdot (a^*(v_1) + v_2).$$

The category $\mathfrak{g}'$–mod is universal in the following sense. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian category as above, endowed an action of G and a functor $\mathsf{F} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Vect}$, respecting the action in the natural sense.

Assume that the G action on $\mathcal{C}$ is of Harish-Chandra type relative to $\mathfrak{g}'$. Then the functor F naturally lifts to a functor $\mathcal{C} \to \mathfrak{g}'$–mod.

22.3. **Example: D-modules on G.** Consider now the category $\mathfrak{D}(G)'$–mod. We claim that it carries an action of G of twisted Harish-Chandra type relative to $\mathfrak{g}'$, corresponding to the action of G on itself by left translations:

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an object of $\mathfrak{D}(G)'$–mod, and S a scheme mapping to G. We define $\mathrm{act}^*(\mathcal{M})|_S$ to be isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_S \otimes \mathcal{M}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_S$-module. The action of $\mathcal{O}_G$ is given via the co-multiplication map $\mathcal{O}_G \overset{\Delta_G}{\to} \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_G \to \mathcal{O}_S \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_G$. The action of a_l of $\mathfrak{g}'$ is also given via the map $\mathfrak{g}' \overset{\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}}{\to} \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{O}_S \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}'$.

Note that the action of $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$ on $\mathrm{act}^*(\mathcal{M})|_S \simeq \mathcal{O}_S \otimes \mathcal{M}$ is via the a_r-action on the second multiple.

The infinitesimal trivialization of this action is defined in the same way as for $\mathfrak{g}'$–mod via the map $a_l^* : \mathcal{M} \to \mathfrak{g}'^* \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$.

We will now define another action of G on $\mathfrak{D}(G)'$–mod, corresponding to the action of G on itself by right translations. It will be of twisted Harish-Chandra type relative to $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$:

For $\mathcal{M}$ and S as above, we let $\mathrm{act}^*(\mathcal{M})|_S$ to be again isomorphic to $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ as a $\mathcal{O}_S$-module, and the $\mathcal{O}_G$-action is given via the co-multiplication map $\mathcal{O}_G \to \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_S$. The a_l-action of $\mathfrak{g}'$ is $a_l \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{O}_S}$. The resulting a_r-action of $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$ is then given by the map $\mathfrak{g}' \to \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}' \to \mathcal{O}_S \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}'$.

The infinitesimal trivialization of the right action is defined in the same way as for the category $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$–mod using the map $a_r^* : \mathcal{M} \to {\mathfrak{g}'_{-\,\mathrm{can}}}^* \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$.

It is easy to see that the two actions of G on $\mathfrak{D}(G)'$–mod commute in the natural sense. Thus, we obtain an action of $G \times G$ on $\mathfrak{D}(G)'$–mod, which is of twisted Harish-Chandra type relative to $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}'_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$.

22.4. **The twisted product.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category equipped with an action of G of twisted Harish-Chandra type with respect to $\mathfrak{g}'$. Let X be an object of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{D}(G)'$–mod. We will define an object $\mathcal{M}\widetilde{\boxtimes}X \in \mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod} \otimes \mathcal{C}$:

As an object of $\mathrm{QCoh}^!_G \otimes \mathcal{C}$, it is isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{M} \underset{\mathcal{O}_G}{\otimes} \mathrm{act}^*(X),$$

see Sect. 19.7. The action of $\mathfrak{g}'$ on it is defined as follows.

Consider the ind-subscheme $G^{(1)} \times G \subset G \times G$, and let p_2 denote its projection on the second multiple. Let $\mathsf{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a lattice and $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \epsilon \cdot \mathsf{k}^*)$ the corresponding subscheme of $G^{(1)}$.

We have to construct an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{mult}^* \left(\mathcal{M} \underset{\mathcal{O}_G}{\otimes} \mathrm{act}^*(X) \right) |_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\cdot\mathsf{k}^*)\times G} \simeq p_2^* \left(\mathcal{M} \underset{\mathcal{O}_G}{\otimes} \mathrm{act}^*(X) \right) |_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\cdot\mathsf{k}^*)\times G} \tag{22.1}$$

of objects of $\mathrm{QCoh}^!_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\cdot\mathsf{k}^*)\times G} \otimes \mathcal{C}$, compatible with the identification

$$\mathrm{mult}^* \left(\mathcal{M} \underset{\mathcal{O}_G}{\otimes} \mathrm{act}^*(X) \right) |_{1\times G} \simeq \mathcal{M} \underset{\mathcal{O}_G}{\otimes} \mathrm{act}^*(X) \simeq p_2^* \left(\mathcal{M} \underset{\mathcal{O}_G}{\otimes} \mathrm{act}^*(X) \right) |_{1\times G}.$$

Let k' be the preimage of k in $\mathfrak{g}'$, and let $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \epsilon \cdot \mathsf{k}'^*)$ be the preimage of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \epsilon \cdot \mathsf{k}^*)$ in $G'^{(1)}$. We have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{mult}^*(\mathcal{M})|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\cdot\mathsf{k}'^*)\times G} \simeq p_2^*(\mathcal{M})|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\cdot\mathsf{k}'^*)\times G}$$

in $\mathrm{QCoh}^!_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\cdot\mathsf{k}'^*)\times G} \otimes \mathcal{C}$, given by the a_l-action of $\mathfrak{g}'$ on $\mathcal{M}$. We also have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{mult}^*(\mathrm{act}^*(X))|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\cdot\mathsf{k}'^*)\times G} \simeq \mathrm{act}^*(\mathrm{act}^*(X))|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\cdot\mathsf{k}'^*)\times G} \simeq p_2^*(\mathrm{act}^*(X))|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\cdot\mathsf{k}'^*)\times G}$$

in $\mathrm{QCoh}^*_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\cdot\mathsf{k}'^*)\times G} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ where the first arrow is the associativity constraint for the action, and second one is the infinitesimal trivialization.

Combining the two we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{mult}^*\left(\mathcal{M} \underset{\mathcal{O}_G}{\otimes} \mathrm{act}^*(X)\right)|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\cdot\mathsf{k}'^*)\times G} \simeq p_2^*\left(\mathcal{M} \underset{\mathcal{O}_G}{\otimes} \mathrm{act}^*(X)\right)|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\cdot\mathsf{k}'^*)\times G}$$

in $\mathrm{QCoh}^!_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\cdot\mathsf{k}'^*)\times G} \otimes \mathcal{C}$, but it is easy to see that the two central extensions cancel out, and we obtain an isomorphism as in (22.1).

By construction, this system of isomorphisms is compatible for different choices of k. Thus, we obtain an action of $\mathfrak{g}$, as a Tate vector space, on $\mathcal{M} \underset{\mathcal{O}_G}{\otimes} \mathrm{act}^*(X)$, satisfying the desired commutation relation with $\mathcal{O}_G$. Moreover, from the axioms it follows that this action of $\mathfrak{g}$ is compatible with the Lie algebra structure. We will denote this action by $\widetilde{a}_l$.

Thus, $\mathcal{M}\widetilde{\boxtimes}X$ is an object of $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}\otimes\mathcal{C}$; in particular, it carries an action of $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\mathrm{can}}$, denoted $\widetilde{a}_r$. Let us describe this action explicitly:

Let k be a lattice in $\mathfrak{g}$ as above, and let $\mathsf{k}_{-\mathrm{can}}$ denote its preimage in $\mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}}$. We have to construct

$$\mathrm{mult}^*\left(\mathcal{M} \underset{\mathcal{O}_G}{\otimes} \mathrm{act}^*(X)\right)|_{G\times\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\cdot\mathsf{k}^*_{-\mathrm{can}})} \simeq p_1^*\left(\mathcal{M} \underset{\mathcal{O}_G}{\otimes} \mathrm{act}^*(X)\right)|_{G\times\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\cdot\mathsf{k}^*_{-\mathrm{can}})}$$

in $\mathrm{QCoh}^!_{G\times\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\epsilon\cdot\mathsf{k}^*_{-\mathrm{can}})} \otimes \mathcal{C}$. It is constructed as in the previous case, using the a_r-action of $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\mathrm{can}}$ on $\mathcal{M}$.

Finally, let us note that we can consider an object of $\mathcal{C}$ given by

$$(\mathcal{M}\widetilde{\boxtimes}X)^{DR} \simeq \mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{M}\widetilde{\boxtimes}X)$$

that carries a canonical differential. We will denote by $\widetilde{\mathrm{Lie}}_l$, $\widetilde{\mathrm{Lie}}_r$, $\widetilde{i}_l$, $\widetilde{i}^*_l$, $\widetilde{i}_r$, $\widetilde{i}^*_r$ the corresponding structures on it.

22.5. **Definition of convolution.** Let now $K \subset G$ be an "open compact" group subscheme, over which $\mathfrak{g}'$ (and hence also $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\mathrm{can}}$) is split. Let X be an object of $\mathcal{C}^{w,K}$ (resp., $\mathcal{C}^K$) and $\mathcal{M}$ be an object of $\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod}^{w,r(K)}$ (resp., $\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod}^{r(K)}$). We claim that in this case $\mathcal{M}\widetilde{\boxtimes}X$ is naturally an object of $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}^{w,r(K)}\otimes\mathcal{C}$ (resp., $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}^{r(K)}\otimes\mathcal{C}$). This follows from the description of the action $\widetilde{a}_r$ given above.

More generally, if $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^K$ and $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})^{r(K)}$, then the complex $\mathcal{M}^\bullet\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet$ is naturally an object of $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}\otimes\mathcal{C})^{r(K)}$. We will denote by $(\mathcal{M}^\bullet\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet)_{G/K}$ the resulting object of $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{–mod}\otimes\mathcal{C})$.

We define a functor

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})^{r(K)} \times \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^K \to \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$$

by

$$\mathcal{M}^\bullet, X^\bullet \mapsto \mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; K_{red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet). \tag{22.2}$$

This functor is exact when restricted to $\mathbf{C}^+(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{-mod})^{r(K)} \times \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})^K$, and hence we obtain a functor $D^+(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{-mod})^{r(K)} \times D^+(\mathcal{C})^K \to D(\mathcal{C})$, denoted

$$\mathcal{M}^\bullet, X^\bullet \mapsto \mathcal{M}^\bullet \underset{K}{\star} X^\bullet.$$

By Lemma 20.11.1 and Proposition 21.8.3,

$$\mathcal{M}^\bullet \underset{K}{\star} X^\bullet \simeq H^\bullet_{DR}(G/K, (\mathcal{M}^\bullet \widetilde{\boxtimes} X^\bullet)_{G/K}). \tag{22.3}$$

Using the equivalence $D(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{-mod})^{r(K)} \simeq D(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)'\text{-mod})$ we obtain also a functor $D^+(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)'\text{-mod}) \times D^+(\mathcal{C})^K \to D(\mathcal{C})$, denoted

$$\mathcal{F}^\bullet, X^\bullet \mapsto \mathcal{F}^\bullet \underset{K}{\star} X^\bullet.$$

Let $H \subset G$ be another group subscheme, not necessarily "open compact", and consider the category $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{-mod})^{l(H),r(K)}$. The above convolution functor is easily seen to give rise to an exact functor

$$\mathbf{C}^+(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{-mod})^{l(H),r(K)} \times \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})^K \to \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H,$$

and the corresponding functor

$$\mathbf{C}^+(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)'\text{-mod})^H \times \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})^K \to \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H.$$

Note however, that if we start with an object $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^+(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)'\text{-mod})^H$ that comes from an object in the naive subcategory $\mathbf{C}^+(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)'\text{-mod}^H)$, the convolution $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \star X^\bullet$ is defined only as an object of $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H$ (and not of $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}^H)$). This is one of the reasons why one should work with $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^H$, rather than with $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}^H)$.

Let us denote by $\mathbf{C}^{bd}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{-mod})$ the subcategory of $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{-mod})$ that consists of bounded from below complexes, supported on a finite-dimensional closed subscheme of G/K. Let $D^{bd}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{-mod})$ be the corresponding full subcategory of $D(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{-mod})$.

Let $\mathbf{C}^{bd}(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{-mod})^{r(K)}$ be the subcategory of $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{-mod})^{r(K)}$, consisting of bounded from below complexes, supported set-theoretically on a preimage of a finite-dimensional closed subscheme of G/K; let $D^{bd}(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{-mod})^{r(K)}$ be the corresponding full subcategory of $D(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{-mod})^{r(K)}$.

One easily shows that under the equivalence $D(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{-mod}) \simeq D(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{-mod})^{r(K)}$ the subcategories $D^{bd}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)\text{-mod})$ and $D^{bd}(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{-mod})^{r(K)}$ correspond to one-another.

Lemma 22.5.1. *For $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \in D^{bd}(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{-mod})^{r(K)}$ and $X^\bullet \in D^+(\mathcal{C})^K$ (resp., $X^\bullet \in D^b(\mathcal{C})^K$), the convolution $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \underset{K}{\star} X^\bullet$ belongs to $D^+(\mathcal{C})$ (resp., $D^b(\mathcal{C})$).*

Proof. Under the assumptions of the lemma the $\mathcal{C}$-valued complex of D-modules $(\mathcal{M}^\bullet \widetilde{\boxtimes} X^\bullet)_{G/K}$ is quasi-isomorphic to one bounded from below (resp., bounded) and supported on a finite-dimensional closed subscheme of G/K. Hence, its de Rham cohomology is bounded from below (resp., bounded).

□

22.6. **Examples.** Let us consider the basic example, when $\mathcal{M}$ is the $\mathfrak{D}(G)'$-module

$$\delta'_{K,G} \simeq \operatorname{Ind}^{\mathfrak{g}'}_{\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_K).$$

Note that $\delta'_{K,G} \in \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{-mod})^{l(K),r(K)}$.

Proposition 22.6.1. *For $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^K$, we have a canonical quasi-isomorphism in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^K$:*

$$\delta'_{K,G} \underset{K}{\star} X^\bullet \simeq X^\bullet$$

Proof. Note that we can regard $\mathcal{C}$ as a category, acted on by K (rather than G). In particular, it makes sense to consider $\delta_{K,K}\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(K)\text{–mod}\otimes\mathcal{C})^{r(K)\times K}$.

Let us regard $\delta'_{K,G}\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet$ as an object of the categories $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}_{-\,\mathrm{can}}\text{–mod}\otimes\mathcal{C})^{K\times K}$ and $\mathbf{C}(\mathsf{k}\text{–mod}\otimes\mathcal{C})^{K\times K}$. We have a natural map $\delta_{K,K}\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet \to \delta'_{K,G}\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet$ in the latter category, and since as a $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$-module $\delta'_{K,G}\simeq \mathrm{Ind}^{\mathfrak{g}'_{-\,\mathrm{can}}}_{\mathsf{k}\oplus\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, the latter map induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{\mathfrak{g}'_{-\,\mathrm{can}}}_{\mathsf{k}\oplus\mathbb{C}}\left(\delta_{K,K}\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet\right) \to \delta'_{K,G}\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}_{-\,\mathrm{can}}\text{–mod}\otimes\mathcal{C})^{K\times K}.$$

Hence, as objects of $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^K$,

$$\delta'_{K,G}\underset{K}{\star}X^\bullet := \mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g};K_{red},\delta'_{K,G}\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet) \overset{\text{quasi-isom}}{\simeq} \mathfrak{C}(\mathsf{k};K_{red},\delta_{K,K}\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet).$$

This reduces the assertion of the proposition to the case when $G=K$. Note that we have a natural map

$$X^\bullet \to \mathfrak{C}(\mathsf{k};K_{red},\delta_{K,K}\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet),$$

and it is easily seen to be a quasi-isomorphism, since as objects of $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$,

$$\mathfrak{C}(\mathsf{k};K_{red},\delta_{K,K}\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet) \simeq \mathrm{Av}_{K_u}(X^\bullet).$$

□

More generally, let $K'\subset K$ be a group subscheme, and let $\delta'_{K/K',G/K'}$ be the twisted D-module on G/K' equal to the direct image of $\mathcal{O}_{K/K'}$ under $K/K'\to G/K'$. Arguing as above, we obtain the following

Lemma 22.6.2. *For* $X^\bullet\in\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^{K'}$,

$$\delta'_{K/K',G/K'}\underset{K'}{\star}X^\bullet \simeq \mathrm{Av}_K(X^\bullet)\in D(\mathcal{C})^K.$$

Let now $\mathbf{g}$ be a point of G. For an object $X\in\mathcal{C}$ we will denote by $X^{\mathbf{g}}$ (or $\delta_{\mathbf{g},G}\star\mathcal{M}$) the twist of X by means of $\mathbf{g}$, i.e., the restriction of $\mathrm{act}^*(X)$ to $\mathbf{g}$.

Applying this to $\mathcal{F}\in\mathfrak{D}(G/K)'\text{–mod}$, we obtain a $\mathbf{g}$-translate of $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to the action of G on G/K. In particular, $(\delta_{1,G/K})^{\mathbf{g}}\simeq(\delta_{\mathbf{g},G/K})$. The following results from the definitions:

Lemma 22.6.3. *For* $\mathcal{F}\in\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)'\text{–mod})$, $X^\bullet\in\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^K$,

$$\left(\mathcal{F}^\bullet\underset{K}{\star}X^\bullet\right)^{\mathbf{g}}\simeq(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)^{\mathbf{g}}\underset{K}{\star}X^\bullet.$$

In particular, for $X^\bullet$ as above,

$$(X^\bullet)^{\mathbf{g}}\simeq\delta_{\mathbf{g},G/K}\underset{K}{\star}X^\bullet.$$

Let $G_1\subset G$ be a group subindscheme, and $K_1=K\cap G_1$, and let $\mathcal{F}_1^\bullet$ be an object of $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G_1/K_1)'\text{–mod}$, and let $\mathcal{F}^\bullet\in\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)'\text{–mod})$ be its direct image under $G_1/K_1\to G/K$.

The action of G on $\mathcal{C}$ induces an action of G_1; hence, for $X^\bullet\in\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^K$ it makes sense to consider the object $\mathcal{F}_1^\bullet\underset{K_1}{\star}X^\bullet\in\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$.

Lemma 22.6.4. *For* $\mathcal{F}_1^\bullet\in\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G_1/K_1)'\text{–mod}$ *and* $X^\bullet\in\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})^K$, *the objects* $\mathcal{F}_1^\bullet\underset{K_1}{\star}X^\bullet$ *and* $\mathcal{F}^\bullet\underset{K}{\star}X^\bullet$ *in* $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ *are canonically quasi-isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ (resp., $\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet$) be the object of $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})^K$ (resp., $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G_1)'\text{–mod})^{K_1}$) be the object corresponding to $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ (resp., $\mathcal{F}_1^\bullet$) under the equivalence of Proposition 21.6.1.

Let $(\mathcal{M}^\bullet\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet)_{G/K}$ (resp., $(\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet)_{G_1/K_1}$) be the corresponding objects of the categories $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)'\text{–mod}\otimes\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G_1/K_1)'\text{–mod}\otimes\mathcal{C})$, respectively.

The assertion follows now from the fact that $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)'\text{–mod}\otimes\mathcal{C})$ is the direct image $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G_1/K_1)'\text{–mod}\otimes\mathcal{C})$ under $G_1/K_1 \hookrightarrow G/K$.

$\square$

Finally, let us consider the example when $\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})'$, where $\mathcal{Y}$ is a strict ind-scheme, acted on by G, and $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})'$ is the category of twisted D-modules on $\mathcal{Y}$, compatible with a twisting on G.

Recall that in this case we have a functor

$$D^{bd}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)') \times D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})'\text{–mod})^K \to D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})'\text{–mod}) \tag{22.4}$$

defined as follows:

Consider the ind-scheme $G \underset{K}{\times} \mathcal{Y}$, which maps to $\mathcal{Y}$ via the action map of G on $\mathcal{Y}$; this ind-scheme is equipped with a twisting, which is pulled back from the one on $\mathcal{Y}'$ using the above map.

For $\mathcal{F}_1 \in D^{bd}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)')$, $\mathcal{F}_2 \in D^b(\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})'\text{–mod})^K$ one can form their twisted external product

$$\mathcal{F}_1\widetilde{\boxtimes}\mathcal{F}_2 \in D^b(\mathfrak{D}(G \underset{K}{\times} \mathcal{Y})').$$

Then $\mathcal{F}_1 \underset{K}{\star} \mathcal{F}_2$ is the direct image of $\mathcal{F}_1\widetilde{\boxtimes}\mathcal{F}_2$ under the above map $G \underset{K}{\times} \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Y}$.

It follows immediately from the definitions, that the functor (22.4) is canonically isomorphic to the one given by (22.2).

22.7. **Convolution action on Harish-Chandra modules.** We shall now study a particular case of the above situation, when $\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{g}'\text{–mod}$. First, for $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod}$ and $N \in \mathfrak{g}'\text{–mod}$ let us describe the object $\mathcal{M}\widetilde{\boxtimes}N \in \mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}\otimes\mathfrak{g}'\text{–mod}$ more explicitly.

As a vector space $\mathcal{M}\widetilde{\boxtimes}N$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}\otimes N$. We will denote by m the action of $\mathcal{O}_G$ on $\mathcal{M}$, and by a_l, a_r the actions of $\mathfrak{g}'$, $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\text{can}}$ on it. We will denote by a the action of $\mathfrak{g}'$ on N.

Let $\widetilde{m}$, $\widetilde{a}_l$, $\widetilde{a}_r$ and $\widetilde{a}$ be the actions of $\mathcal{O}_G$, $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{-\text{can}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$, respectively, on $\mathcal{M}\otimes N$ defining on $\mathcal{M}\widetilde{\boxtimes}N$ a structure of object of $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}\otimes\mathfrak{g}'\text{–mod}$. We have

- $\widetilde{m} = m\otimes \mathrm{id}$,
- $\widetilde{a} = (m\otimes a)\circ(\gamma\otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})\circ\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}'}$,
- $\widetilde{a}_l = (a_l\otimes \mathrm{id}) - (m\otimes a)\circ(\gamma\otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})\circ\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}'}$,
- $\widetilde{a}_r = a_r\otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id}\otimes a$.

More generally, if $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ is an object of $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})^{r(K)}$ and $N^\bullet$ is an object of $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}'\text{–mod})^K$, the twisted product $\mathcal{M}\widetilde{\boxtimes}N$ is naturally an object of $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod})^{r(K)}\otimes\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}'\text{–mod})$, where the algebraic action of K on $\mathcal{M}^\bullet\otimes N^\bullet$ is the diagonal one, and so is the action of $\mathsf{k}[1]$.

In this case the convolution $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \underset{K}{\star} N^\bullet$ is computed by means of the complex

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; K_{red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet\otimes N^\bullet),$$

with respect to the diagonal action of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\text{can}}$. The $\mathfrak{g}'$-module structure on this complex is given by $\widetilde{a}$.

Note, however, that the above complex carries a different action of $\mathfrak{g}'$, namely one given by a_l. We will denote this other functor $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})^{r(K)} \times \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}'\text{–mod})^K \to \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}'\text{–mod})$ by

$$\mathcal{M}^\bullet, N^\bullet \mapsto \mathcal{M}^\bullet \underset{K}{\overset{\natural}{\star}} N^\bullet.$$

Note that if $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})^{H,r(K)}$ for some group-scheme H, then $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \underset{K}{\overset{\natural}{\star}} N^\bullet$ is naturally an object of $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{g}'\text{–mod})^H$.

The two actions of $\mathfrak{g}'$ on $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; K_{red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet \otimes N^\bullet)$ are related by the formula

$$a_l - \widetilde{a} = \widetilde{a}_l = [d, \widetilde{i_l}],$$

where $\widetilde{i_l}$ is the action of the annihilation operators on

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; K_{red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet \otimes N^\bullet) \subset \mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet \otimes N^\bullet) \simeq (\mathcal{M}\widetilde{\boxtimes}N)^{DR}.$$

Therefore, the cohomologies of $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \underset{K}{\star} N^\bullet$ and $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \underset{K}{\overset{\natural}{\star}} N^\bullet$ are isomorphic as $\mathfrak{g}'$-modules.

Corollary 22.7.1.

(1) *For* $N \in (\mathfrak{g}', K)\text{–mod}$*, the complex*

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}\left(\mathfrak{g}; K_{red}, \delta'_{K,G} \otimes N\right)$$

is acyclic away from degree 0*.*

(2) *When regarded as a* $\mathfrak{g}'$*-module via the* a_l*-action on* $\delta'_{K,G}$*, the above* 0*-th cohomology is isomorphic to* N*.*

(3) *The image of* $U(\mathfrak{g}')$ *in* $\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{g}'_{-\mathrm{can}}}(\delta'_{K,G})$ *is dense.*

Proof. The first two points follows from Proposition 22.6.1 and the above comparison of $\mathcal{M}\underset{K}{\overset{\natural}{\star}}N^\bullet$ and $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \underset{K}{\star} N^\bullet$.

Let $U(\mathfrak{g}', \mathsf{k})$ be the topological algebra of endomorphisms of the forgetful functor

$$(\mathfrak{g}', K)\text{–mod} \to \mathrm{Vect}\,.$$

Evidently, the image of $U(\mathfrak{g}')$ in $U(\mathfrak{g}', \mathsf{k})$ is dense. We claim now that $U(\mathfrak{g}', \mathsf{k})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{g}'_{-\mathrm{can}}}(\delta'_{K,G})$.

The map in one direction, i.e., $U(\mathfrak{g}', \mathsf{k}) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{g}'_{-\mathrm{can}}}(\delta'_{K,G})$, is evident: given an element in $U(\mathfrak{g}', \mathsf{k})$, we obtain a *functorial* endomorphism of every vector space underlying an object of $\mathfrak{g}'$–mod; in particular $\delta'_{K,G}$. This endomorphism commutes with $\mathfrak{g}'$–mod-endomorphisms of $\delta'_{K,G}$, in particular, with the action of $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\mathrm{can}}$.

To construct the map in the opposite direction, note that an endomorphism of $\delta'_{K,G}$ as a $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\mathrm{can}}$-module defines an endomorphism of the functor

$$N \mapsto h^0\left(\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}\left(\mathfrak{g}; K_{red}, \delta'_{K,G} \otimes N\right)\right) : \mathfrak{g}'\text{–mod} \to \mathrm{Vect},$$

and the latter is isomorphic to the forgetful functor.

□

We will now study the behavior of Lie algebra cohomology under convolution. We shall first consider a technically simpler case, when we will consider D-modules on a group-scheme H, mapping to G, such that $\mathfrak{g}'$ splits over $\mathfrak{h}$. Let $K'_H, K''_H \subset H$ be group subschemes of finite codimension.

Proposition 22.7.2. *For* $N^\bullet \in D^+(\mathfrak{g}\text{–mod})^{K'_H}$ *and* $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \in D^+(\mathfrak{D}(H))^{l(K'_H),r(K''_H)}$, *the complex* $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}; K'_{H red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet \underset{K'_H}{\star} N^\bullet)$ *is quasi-isomorphic to*

$$H^\bullet_{DR}(H'_K\backslash H, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \otimes \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}; H''_{K red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet),$$

where $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ *is the object of* $D^+(\mathfrak{D}(H'_K\backslash H))$, *corresponding to* $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$.

Proof. By Lemma 19.8.2,

$$\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}; K'_{H red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet \underset{K''_H}{\star} N^\bullet) \simeq \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}; K'_{H red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet \underset{K''_H}{\overset{\natural}{\star}} N^\bullet).$$

The latter, by definition can be rewritten as

$$\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}; K'_{H red} \times K''_{H red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet \otimes N^\bullet),$$

where the action of the first copy of $\mathfrak{h}$ is via a_l on $\mathcal{M}$, and the action of the second copy is diagonal with respect to a_r and a. Hence, the above expression can be rewritten as

$$\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}; K''_{H red}, \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}; K'_{H red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet) \otimes N^\bullet),$$

where the $\mathfrak{h}$-action on $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}; K'_{H red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet) \otimes N^\bullet$ is the diagonal one with respect to the a_r-action on $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ and the existing action on $N^\bullet$.

Applying again Lemma 19.8.2, we can replace the a_r-action on $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ by the trivial one. Hence,

$$\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}; K''_{H red}, \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}; K'_{H red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet) \otimes N^\bullet) \simeq \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}; K'_{H red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet) \otimes \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{h}; K''_{H red}, N^\bullet),$$

which is what we had to show.

□

We will now generalize the above proposition to the case of semi-infinite cohomology with respect to $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $N_1^\bullet$ and $N_2^\bullet$ be objects of $D^+(\mathfrak{g}'_{-\text{can}}\text{–mod})^{K'}$ and $D^+(\mathfrak{g}'\text{–mod})^{K''}$, respectively, for some "open compact" $K, K'' \subset G$. Let $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ be an object of $D^+(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})^{l(K'),r(K'')}$, supported over a closed pro-finite dimensional subscheme of G. In this case the convolution $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \underset{K''}{\star} N_2^\bullet$ makes sense as an object of $D^+(\mathfrak{g}'\text{–mod})^{K'}$. Similarly, we can consider the convolution "on the right"

$$N_1^\bullet \underset{K'}{\star} \mathcal{M}^\bullet \in D^+(\mathfrak{g}'_{-\text{can}}\text{–mod})^{K'}.$$

Proposition 22.7.3. *Under the above circumstances,*

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}\left(\mathfrak{g}; K'_{red}, N_1^\bullet \otimes \left(\mathcal{M}^\bullet \underset{K''}{\star} N_2^\bullet\right)\right)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}\left(\mathfrak{g}; K''_{red}, \left(N_1^\bullet \underset{K'}{\star} \mathcal{M}^\bullet\right) \otimes N_2^\bullet\right)$$

are quasi-isomorphic.

Proof. By symmetry, it would be sufficient to show that there exists a quasi-isomorphism between

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}\left(\mathfrak{g}; K'_{red}, N_1^\bullet \otimes \left(\mathcal{M}^\bullet \underset{K''}{\star} N_2^\bullet\right)\right) \tag{22.5}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}\left(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}; K'_{red} \times K''_{red}, N_1^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{M}^\bullet \otimes N_2^\bullet\right), \tag{22.6}$$

where the first copy of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}}$ acts diagonally on $N_1^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{M}^\bullet$ (via the existing $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\mathrm{can}}$ action on $N_1^\bullet$ and the a_l-action on $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$) and second copy acts diagonally on $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \otimes N_2^\bullet$ (via the a_r-action on $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ and the existing $\mathfrak{g}'$-action on $N_2^\bullet$).

By Lemma 19.8.2, in (22.6) we can replace the action of the first copy of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}}$, by one where the $\mathfrak{g}'$-action on $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \otimes N_2^\bullet$ is given by $\widetilde{a}$. The resulting expression would be equal to the one in (22.5) modulo the following complication:

To define (22.5) one has to replace $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; K''_{red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet \otimes N_2^\bullet)$ by a quasi-isomorphic complex, which is bounded from below. We have to show that taking $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; K'_{red}, N_1^\bullet \otimes ?)$ survives this quasi-isomorphism.

Let us first consider a particular case, when $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ is induced from an $\mathcal{O}_G$-module, i.e., has the form

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathsf{k}''}^{\mathfrak{g}'_{-\mathrm{can}}}(\mathcal{L}^\bullet) \tag{22.7}$$

for some complex $\mathcal{L}^\bullet$ of K'-equivariant $\mathcal{O}_G$-modules. In this case we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{C}(\mathsf{k}''; K''_{red}, \mathcal{L}^\bullet \otimes N_2^\bullet) \to \mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; K''_{red}, \mathcal{M}^\bullet \otimes N_2^\bullet)$$

of complexes of $\mathfrak{g}'$-modules. Moreover, the PBW filtration defines a filtration on the RHS, of which $\mathfrak{C}(\mathsf{k}''; K''_{red}, \mathcal{L}^\bullet \otimes N_2^\bullet)$ is the first term, by $\mathfrak{g}'$-stable subcomplexes, all quasi-isomorphic to one-another.

Since the functor $\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; K'_{red}, N_1^\bullet \otimes ?)$ commutes with direct limits, the required assertion about quasi-isomorphism holds.

The case of a general $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ follows from the one considered above, since the assumption on $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ implies that it can be represented by a complex, associated with a bi-complex with finitely many rows, each of the form (22.7).

□

22.8. **Convolution action on $\mathfrak{D}(G)$-modules.** Let us now consider the case when $\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{D}(G)'$ with the action of G by left translations.

Given two objects $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2 \in \mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod}$ let us first describe how $\mathcal{M}_1 \widetilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{M}_2$ looks like as an object of $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod} \otimes \mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod}$.

By construction as a vector space $\mathcal{M}_1 \widetilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{M}_2 \simeq \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2$. We will denote the a_l^1, a_l^2 (resp., a_r^1, a_r^2, m^1, m^2) the actions of $\mathfrak{g}'$ (resp., $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\mathrm{can}}$, $\mathcal{O}_G$) on $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$, respectively. We will denote by $\widetilde{a}_l^1$, $\widetilde{a}_l^2$, $\widetilde{a}_r^1$, $\widetilde{a}_r^2$, $\widetilde{m}^1$, $\widetilde{m}^2$ the actions of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}'$, $\mathfrak{g}_{-\mathrm{can}}$, $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\mathrm{can}}$, respectively on $\mathcal{F}_1 \widetilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{F}_2$, corresponding to the $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod} \otimes \mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod}$-structure.

The action of $\mathcal{O}_G$, corresponding to the $\mathfrak{D}(G)\text{–mod}$-structure on $\mathcal{M}_1 \widetilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{M}_2$ is via the first multiple in $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2$, which we will denote by m. The action of $\mathcal{O}_G$, corresponding to the $\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod}$-structure is via the co-multiplication map $\Delta_G : \mathcal{O}_G \to \mathcal{O}_G \overset{!}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_G$.

These actions are described as follows:

- $\widetilde{m}^1 = m^1 \otimes \mathrm{id}$,
- $\widetilde{m}^2 = (m^1 \otimes m^2) \circ (\gamma \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta_G$,
- $\widetilde{a}_l^1 = a_l^1 \otimes \mathrm{id} - (m \otimes a_l^2) \circ (\gamma \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}'}$,
- $\widetilde{a}_l^2 = (m \otimes a_l^2) \circ (\gamma \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}'}$,
- $\widetilde{a}_r^1 = a_r^1 \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes a_l^2$,
- $\widetilde{a}_r^2 = \mathrm{id} \otimes a_r^2$.

If $\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^+(\mathfrak{D}(G)')\text{–mod}^{r(K)}$ and $\mathcal{M}_2^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^+(\mathfrak{D}(G)')\text{–mod}^{l(K)}$, the convolution $\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \underset{K}{\star} \mathcal{M}_2^\bullet$ is computed by means of

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mathfrak{g}; K_{red}, \mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{M}_2^\bullet),$$

with respect to the diagonal (i.e., $\widetilde{a}_r^1 = a_r^1 + a_l^2$) action of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$, and the actions of $\mathcal{O}_G$, $\mathfrak{g}'$ and $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$, specified above.

Note, however, that the above complex carries a different $\mathfrak{D}(G)'$-module structure. Namely, the action of $\mathcal{O}_G$ is $(m_1 \otimes m_2) \circ (\gamma \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta_G$ as before, and the action of $\mathfrak{g}'$ is a_l^1. In this case the action of $\mathfrak{g}'_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$ equals $(a_r^1 \otimes m) \circ \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

We will denote this new functor

$$\mathbf{C}^+(\mathfrak{D}(G')\text{–mod}^{r(K)} \times \mathbf{C}^+(\mathfrak{D}(G)')\text{–mod}^{l(K)} \to \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod}$$

by

$$\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet, \mathcal{M}_2^\bullet \mapsto \mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \overset{\natural}{\underset{K}{\star}} \mathcal{M}_2^\bullet.$$

Lemma 22.8.1. *For* $\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \in D^{bd}(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})^{r(K)}$, $\mathcal{M}_2^\bullet \in D^{bd}(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})^{l(K)}$, *the objects*

$$\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \underset{K}{\star} \mathcal{M}_2^\bullet, \mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \overset{\natural}{\underset{K}{\star}} \mathcal{M}_2^\bullet \in D^b(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})$$

are isomorphic.

Proof. From the assumption it follows that there exist "open compact" groups K', K'' such that $\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \in D(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})^{l(K'),r(K)}$ and $\mathcal{M}_2^\bullet \in D(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})^{l(K),r(K'')}$.

As we saw above, the convolution $\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \underset{K}{\star} \mathcal{M}_2^\bullet$ can be interpreted as an action of $\mathcal{F}_1^\bullet \in D^{bd}(\mathfrak{D}(G/K)'\text{–mod})^{K'}$, corresponding to $\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet$, on $\mathcal{F}_2^\bullet \in D^b(\mathfrak{D}(G/K'')'\text{–mod})^K$, corresponding to $\mathcal{M}_2^\bullet$. The result is an object in $D^b(\mathfrak{D}(G/K'')\text{–mod})^{K'}$.

However, this convolution can be rewritten also as an action of $'\mathcal{F}_2^\bullet \in D^{bd}(\mathfrak{D}(K\backslash G)'\text{–mod})^{K''}$ on $'\mathcal{F}_1^\bullet \in D^b(\mathfrak{D}(K'\backslash G)'\text{–mod})^K$, with the result being in

$$D^b(\mathfrak{D}(K'\backslash G)'\text{–mod})^{K''} \simeq D^b(\mathfrak{D}(G/K'')\text{–mod})^{K'}.$$

The latter convolution is manifestly the same as

$$\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \overset{\natural}{\underset{K}{\star}} \mathcal{M}_2^\bullet \in D^b(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})^{l(K'),r(K'')}.$$

□

22.9. **Associativity of convolution.** Let now $\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet$ be an object of $\mathbf{C}^{bd}(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})^{r(K)}$, $\mathcal{M}_2^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^{bd}(\mathfrak{D}(G)'\text{–mod})^{l(K),r(K')}$ and $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})^{K'}$ for a category $\mathcal{C}$ as above,

Proposition 22.9.1. *Under the above circumstances, there exists a canonical isomorphism in* $D^+(\mathcal{C})$

$$\left(\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \underset{K}{\star} \mathcal{M}_2^\bullet\right) \underset{K'}{\star} X^\bullet \simeq \mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \underset{K}{\star} \left(\mathcal{M}_2^\bullet \underset{K'}{\star} X^\bullet\right),$$

compatible with three-fold convolutions.

The rest of this subsection is devoted to the proof of this proposition.

Consider the bi-graded object of $\mathcal{C}$ given by

$$(\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_2^\bullet) \underset{\mathcal{O}_{G\times G}}{\otimes} \mathrm{mult}^* \left(\mathrm{act}^*(X^\bullet)\right). \tag{22.8}$$

It carries two actions of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{-\,\mathrm{can}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$, corresponding to the two isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \widetilde{\boxtimes} \left(\mathcal{M}_2^\bullet \widetilde{\boxtimes} X^\bullet\right) \simeq (\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_2^\bullet) \underset{\mathcal{O}_{G\times G}}{\otimes} \mathrm{mult}^* \left(\mathrm{act}^*(X^\bullet)\right) \simeq \left(\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \widetilde{\boxtimes} \mathcal{M}_2^\bullet\right) \widetilde{\boxtimes} X^\bullet.$$

The action of the second copy of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$ is the same in the two cases. The difference of the actions of the first copy of $\mathfrak{g}_{-\,\mathrm{can}}$ is given by the $\mathfrak{g}$-action, coming from its $\widetilde{a}_l$-action on $\mathcal{M}_2^\bullet \widetilde{\boxtimes} X^\bullet$.

Hence, by Lemma 19.8.2, the two complexes

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}\left(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}; K\times K', \mathcal{M}_1^\bullet\widetilde{\boxtimes}\left(\mathcal{M}_2^\bullet\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet\right)\right)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}\left(\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}; K\times K', \left(\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet\widetilde{\boxtimes}\mathcal{M}_2^\bullet\right)\widetilde{\boxtimes}X^\bullet\right)$$

are isomorphic.

As in the proof of Proposition 22.7.3, we have to show that the above complexes are isomorphic in the derived category to $\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \underset{K}{\star} \left(\mathcal{M}_2^\bullet \underset{K'}{\star} X^\bullet\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet \underset{K}{\star} \mathcal{M}_2^\bullet\right) \underset{K'}{\star} X^\bullet$, respectively. This is done as in the proof of Proposition 22.7.3 by replacing $\mathcal{M}_1^\bullet$ and $\mathcal{M}_2^\bullet$ by appropriately chosen complexes, for which the above semi-infinite complexes can be represented as direct limits of quasi-isomorphic complexes, bounded from below.

22.10. **An adjunction in the proper case.** Let now $K_1, K_2 \in G$ be two "open compact" subgroups of G, and assume that G/K_1 is ind-proper. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a finitely generated object of $\mathfrak{D}(G/K_1)'\text{-mod}^{K_2}$. As in Sect. 21.7, we have a well-defined object $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}$ in $\mathfrak{D}(G/K_2)''\text{-mod}^{K_1}$, where the superscript $''$ indicates the twisting opposite to $'$. Then the Verdier dual $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}})$ is an object of $\mathfrak{D}(G/K_2)'\text{-mod}^{K_1}$.

Proposition 22.10.1. *The functor*

$$D(\mathcal{C})^{K_1} \to D(\mathcal{C})^{K_2} : X_1^\bullet \mapsto \mathcal{F} \underset{K_1}{\star} X_1^\bullet$$

is left adjoint to the functor

$$D(\mathcal{C})^{K_2} \to D(\mathcal{C})^{K_1} : X_2 \mapsto \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}) \underset{K_2}{\star} X_2.$$

Proof. We need to construct the adjunction maps

$$\mathcal{F} \underset{K_1}{\star} (\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}) \underset{K_2}{\star} X_2^\bullet) \to X_2^\bullet \text{ and } X_1^\bullet \to \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}) \underset{K_2}{\star} (\mathcal{F} \underset{K_1}{\star} X_1^\bullet),$$

such that the identities concerning the two compositions hold.

In view of Proposition 22.9.1, it would suffice to construct the maps

$$\mathcal{F} \underset{K_1}{\star} \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}) \to \delta_{1,G/K_2} \in D(\mathfrak{D}(G/K_2)\text{-mod})^{K_2}$$

and

$$\delta_{1,G/K_1} \to \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}) \underset{K_2}{\star} \mathcal{F} \in D(\mathfrak{D}(G/K_1)\text{-mod})^{K_1},$$

such that the corresponding identities hold.

By the definition of convolution, constructing these maps is equivalent to constructing morphisms

$$H^\bullet(G/K_1, \Delta^*_{G/K_1}(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F})) \to \mathbb{C} \in D(\mathrm{pt}/K_2) \tag{22.9}$$

and

$$\mathbb{C} \to H^\bullet(G/K_2, \Delta^!_{G/K_2}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}} \boxtimes \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}})) \in D(\mathrm{pt}/K_1), \tag{22.10}$$

respectively, where $\Delta_{G/K}$ denotes the diagonal morphism $G/K \to G/K \times G/K$. (Note that in each of the cases, the pull-back of the corresponding twisted D-module on the product under the diagonal map is a non-twisted right D-module.)

The morphism in (22.10) follows from Verdier duality, and likewise for (22.9), using the fact that

$$H^\bullet(G/K_1, \cdot) \simeq H_c^\bullet(G/K_1, \cdot).$$

The fact that the identities concerning the compositions of adjunction maps hold, is an easy verification.

$\square$

23. Categories over topological commutative algebras

23.1. **The notion of a category flat over an algebra.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian category as in Sect. 19.3, satisfying assumption (**), and let Z be a commutative algebra, mapping to the center of $\mathcal{C}$. An example of this situation is when $\mathbf{A}$ is a topological algebra, Z is a (discrete) commutative algebra mapping to the center of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{A}$–mod. Then the functor F factors naturally through a functor $\mathsf{F}_Z : \mathcal{C} \to Z$–mod.

Note that we have a naturally defined functor Z–mod $\times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ given by

$$M, X \mapsto M \underset{Z}{\otimes} X.$$

This functor is right exact in both arguments. We have

$$\mathsf{F}_Z(M \underset{Z}{\otimes} X) \simeq M \underset{Z}{\otimes} \mathsf{F}_Z(X).$$

This shows, in particular, that if M is Z-flat, then the above functor of tensor product is exact in Z. We will denote by

$$M^\bullet, X^\bullet \mapsto M^\bullet \overset{L}{\underset{Z}{\otimes}} X^\bullet : D^-(Z\text{–mod}) \times D^-(\mathcal{C}) \to D^-(\mathcal{C})$$

the corresponding derived functor. We have

$$\mathsf{F}_Z(M^\bullet \overset{L}{\underset{Z}{\otimes}} X^\bullet) \simeq M^\bullet \overset{L}{\underset{Z}{\otimes}} \mathsf{F}_Z(X^\bullet).$$

It is easy to see that for a fixed $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^-(\mathcal{C})$, the derived functor of

$$M^\bullet \mapsto M^\bullet \underset{Z}{\otimes} X^\bullet : \mathbf{C}^-(Z\text{–mod}) \to \mathbf{C}^-(\mathcal{C})$$

is isomorphic to $M^\bullet \overset{L}{\underset{Z}{\otimes}} X^\bullet$. However, this is not, in general, true for the functor

$$X^\bullet \mapsto M^\bullet \underset{Z}{\otimes} X^\bullet : \mathbf{C}^-(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathbf{C}^-(\mathcal{C})$$

for a fixed $M^\bullet$.

We shall say that an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is flat over Z if the functor

$$M \mapsto M \underset{Z}{\otimes} X : Z\text{–mod} \to \mathcal{C}$$

is exact. This is equivalent to $\mathsf{F}_Z(X)$ being flat as a Z-module.

We shall say that $\mathcal{C}$ is flat over Z if every object of X admits a surjection $X' \to X$ for X being flat over Z.

Consider the example of $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{A}$–mod. Suppose there exists a family of open left ideals $\mathbf{I} \subset \mathbf{A}$ such that $\mathbf{A} \simeq \underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim}\, \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{I}$, such that each $\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{I}$ is flat as a Z-module. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is flat over Z.

Lemma 23.1.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be flat over Z, then for a fixed $M^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^-(Z\text{–mod})$ the left derived functor of*

$$X^\bullet \mapsto M^\bullet \underset{Z}{\otimes} X^\bullet : \mathbf{C}^-(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathbf{C}^-(\mathcal{C})$$

is isomorphic to $M^\bullet \overset{L}{\underset{Z}{\otimes}} X^\bullet$.

Proof. By assumption, every object in $\mathbf{C}^-(\mathcal{C})$ admits a quasi-isomorphism from one consisting of objects that are Z-flat. Hence, it suffices to show that if $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^-(\mathcal{C})$ consists of Z-flat objects, and $M^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^-(Z\text{–mod})$ is acyclic, then $M^\bullet \underset{Z}{\otimes} X^\bullet$ is acyclic as well. However, this is evident from the definitions.

□

If $\phi : Z \to Z'$ is a homomorphism, we will denote by $\mathcal{C}_{Z'}$ the base-changed category, i.e., one whose objects are $X \in \mathcal{C}$, endowed with an action of Z', such that the two actions of Z on X coincide. Morphisms in this category are $\mathcal{C}$-morphisms that commute with the action of Z'.

By construction, Z' maps to the center of $\mathcal{C}_{Z'}$. The composed functor $\mathcal{C}_{Z'} \to \mathcal{C} \overset{\mathsf{F}_Z}{\to} Z\text{–mod}$ factors naturally through $Z'\text{–mod}$.

The forgetful functor $\mathcal{C}_{Z'} \to \mathcal{C}$ admits a left adjoint ϕ^* given by $X \mapsto Z' \underset{Z}{\otimes} X$. Note that this functor sends Z-flat objects in $\mathcal{C}$ to Z'-flat objects in $\mathcal{C}_{Z'}$. In particular, if $\mathcal{C}$ is flat over Z, then so is $\mathcal{C}_{Z'}$ over Z'.

As in Lemma 23.1.1 and Lemma 19.9.2, we obtain the following

Lemma 23.1.2. *Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is Z-flat. Then the right derived functor of ϕ^**

$$L\phi^* : D^-(\mathcal{C}) \to D^-(\mathcal{C}_{Z'})$$

is well-defined and is the left adjoint to the forgetful functor $D(\mathcal{C}_{Z'}) \to D(\mathcal{C})$. Moreover,

$$\mathsf{F}_{Z'} \circ L\phi^*(X^\bullet) \simeq \mathsf{F}(X^\bullet) \underset{Z}{\overset{L}{\otimes}} Z'.$$

In particular, we obtain that if $\mathcal{C}$ is flat over Z and $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is Z-flat, then for $Y^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}_{Z'})$,

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_{Z'})}(Z' \underset{Z}{\otimes} X, Y^\bullet) \simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(X, Y^\bullet).$$

Let now N be a Z-module. For $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ we define the object $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_Z(N, Y)$ by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_Z(N, Y)) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(N \underset{Z}{\otimes} X, Y).$$

If N is finitely presented, we have

$$\mathsf{F}_Z(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_Z(N, Y)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_Z(N, \mathsf{F}_Z(Y)).$$

For Z' as above, which is finitely presented as a Z-module, we define the functor $\phi^! : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}_{Z'}$ to be the right adjoint of the forgetful functor $\mathcal{C}_{Z'} \to \mathcal{C}$. It is given by $X \mapsto \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_Z(Z', X)$. By definition, it maps injective objects in $\mathcal{C}$ to injectives in $\mathcal{C}_{Z'}$.

We will denote by $R\phi^! : D^+(\mathcal{C}) \to D^+(\mathcal{C}_{Z'})$ the corresponding right derived functor. It is easily seen to be the right adjoint of the forgetful functor $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}_{Z'}) \to \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proposition 23.1.3. *Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is flat over Z, and that Z' is perfect as an object of $\mathbf{C}(Z\text{–mod})$. Then*

$$R\phi^! \circ \mathsf{F}_Z \simeq \mathsf{F}_{Z'} \circ R\phi^! : D^+(\mathcal{C}) \to D^+(Z'\text{–mod})$$

Proof. To prove the proposition it suffices to check that if $Y^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})$ is a complex, consisting of injective objects of $\mathcal{C}$, and $M^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^b(Z\text{–mod})$ is a complex of finitely presented modules, quasi-isomorphic to a perfect one, then $\mathsf{F}_Z(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M^\bullet, Y^\bullet))$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathrm{RHom}_{D(Z\text{–mod})}(M^\bullet, \mathsf{F}_Z(Y^\bullet))$.

If $M^\bullet$ is a bounded complex, consisting of finitely generated projective modules, then the assertion is evident. Hence, it remains to show that if $M^\bullet$ is an acyclic complex of finitely presented Z-modules, and $Y^\bullet$ is as above, then $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M^\bullet, Y^\bullet)$ is acyclic. By assumption on $\mathcal{C}$, it would suffice to check that for $X \in \mathcal{C}$ which is Z-flat,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M^\bullet, Y^\bullet)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M^\bullet \underset{Z}{\otimes} X, Y^\bullet)$$

is acyclic. By the flatness assumption on Y, the complex $M^\bullet \underset{Z}{\otimes} X$ is also acyclic, and hence our assertion follows from the injectivity assumption on $Y^\bullet$.

□

Corollary 23.1.4. *If, under the assumptions of the proposition, $X' \in D(\mathcal{C}'_Z)$ is quasi-perfect, then it is quasi-perfect also as an object of $D(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the functor $R\phi^! : D(Z\text{–mod}) \to D(Z'\text{–mod})$ commutes with direct sums, and hence, so does the functor $R\phi^! : D(\mathcal{C}) \to D(\mathcal{C}_{Z'})$. □

23.2. **A generalization.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be as in the previous subsection, and assume in addition that it satisfies assumption (*) of Sect. 19.3. Let $\mathbf{Z}$ be a topological commutative algebra, which acts functorially on every object of $\mathcal{C}$. In this case we will say that $\mathbf{Z}$ maps to the center $\mathcal{C}$. The functor F naturally factors through a functor $\mathsf{F}_{\mathbf{Z}} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{Z}\text{–mod}$.

For every discrete quotient Z of $\mathbf{Z}$, let $\mathcal{C}_Z$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$, consisting of objects, on which $\mathbf{Z}$ acts via Z. If $\mathbf{Z} \twoheadrightarrow Z \twoheadrightarrow Z'$, then $\mathcal{C}_{Z'}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{C}_Z$ by the procedure described in the previous subsection.

We shall say that $\mathcal{C}$ is flat over $\mathbf{Z}$, if each $\mathcal{C}_Z$ as above is flat over Z. Equivalently, we can require that this happens for a cofinal family of discrete quotients Z of $\mathbf{Z}$. Henceforth, we will assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is flat over $\mathbf{Z}$.

In what follows we will make the following additional assumption on $\mathbf{Z}$. Namely, that we can present $\mathbf{Z}$ as $\underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim}_{i}\, Z_i$, such that for $j \geq i$ the ideal of $\phi_{j,i} : Z_j \to Z_i$ is perfect as an object of $D(Z_j\text{–mod})$.

Recall that a discrete quotient Z of $\mathbf{Z}$ reasonable if for some (equivalently, any) index i such that $\mathbf{Z} \to Z$ factors through Z_i, the algebra Z is finitely presented as a Z_i-module. We shall call Z admissible if the finite-presentation condition is replaced by the perfectness one.

Let us call an object $M \in \mathbf{Z}\text{–mod}$ finitely presented if M belongs to some $Z\text{–mod}$ and is finitely presented as an object of this category, if Z is reasonable. By the assumption on $\mathbf{Z}$, this condition does not depend on a particular choice if Z.

For a finitely presented $M \in \mathbf{Z}\text{–mod}$ and $X \in \mathcal{C}$ we define $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M, X) \in \mathcal{C}$ as

$$\underset{X_i}{\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim}}\, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{Z_i}(M, X_i),$$

where X_i runs over the set of subobjects of X that belong to $\mathcal{C}_{Z_i}$ for some discrete reasonable quotient Z_i of $\mathbf{Z}$. We have

$$\mathsf{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M, X)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M, \mathsf{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X)).$$

Consider $M = Z$ for some reasonable quotient $\phi : \mathbf{Z} \to Z$. Then $X \mapsto \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{Z}}(Z, X)$ defines a functor $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}_Z$, which we will denote by $\phi^!$.

Lemma 23.2.1. *The functor $\phi^!$ is the right adjoint to the forgetful functor $\mathcal{C}_Z \to \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. By assumption (*), it suffices to check that for every finitely generated object Y of $\mathcal{C}_Z$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_Z}(Y, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{Z}}(Z, X)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X).$$

By the finite generation assumption, we reduce the assertion to the case when $X \in \mathcal{C}_{Z_i}$ for some $\mathbf{Z} \twoheadrightarrow Z_i \twoheadrightarrow Z$, considered in the previous subsection. □

Evidently, the functor $\phi^!$ maps injective objects in $\mathcal{C}$ to injectives in $\mathcal{C}_Z$. Let $R\phi^!$ denote the right derived functor of $\phi^!$. By the above, it is the right adjoint to the forgetful functor $D(\mathcal{C}_Z) \to D(\mathcal{C})$.

Proposition 23.2.2. *Assume that Z is admissible. Then we have an isomorphism of functors:*

$$\mathsf{F}_Z \circ R\phi^! \simeq R\phi^! \circ \mathsf{F}_{\mathbf{Z}} : D^+(\mathcal{C}) \to D^+(Z\text{–mod}).$$

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 23.1.3, it suffices to show that if $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})$ is a complex, consisting of injective objects of $\mathcal{C}$, and $M^\bullet$ is a perfect object of $D(Z\text{–mod})$, then $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{Z}\text{–mod})}(M^\bullet, \mathsf{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X^\bullet))$ computes $\mathrm{RHom}_{D(\mathbf{Z}\text{–mod})}(M^\bullet, \mathsf{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}(X^\bullet))$.

By devissage, we can assume that $X^\bullet$ consists of a single injective object $X \in \mathcal{C}$. For every Z_i such that $\mathbf{Z} \overset{\phi_i}{\twoheadrightarrow} Z_i \twoheadrightarrow Z$, note that $\phi_i^!(X)$ is an injective object of $\mathcal{C}_{Z_i}$, and $X \simeq \varinjlim_i X_i$.

Using Proposition 23.1.3, the assertion of the present proposition follows from the next lemma:

Lemma 23.2.3. *For $N^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^+(\mathbf{Z}\text{–mod})$ and $N_i^\bullet := \phi_i^!(N^\bullet)$, the map*

$$\varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_{D(Z_i\text{–mod})}(M^\bullet, N_i^\bullet) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathbf{Z}\text{–mod})}(M^\bullet, N^\bullet)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism, provided that $M^\bullet \in D(Z\text{–mod})$ is perfect.

□

Proof. (of the Lemma) The proof follows from the next observation:

Let $P^\bullet \to M^\bullet$ be a quasi-isomorphism, where $P^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^-(\mathbf{Z}\text{–mod})$. Then we can find a quasi-isomorphism $Q^\bullet \to P^\bullet$ such that $Q^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^-(\mathbf{Z}\text{–mod})$ and for any integer i, the module Q^i is supported on some discrete quotient of $\mathbf{Z}$.

□

Corollary 23.2.4. *The functor $R\phi^! : D^+(\mathcal{C}) \to D^+(\mathcal{C}_Z)$ commutes with uniformly bounded from below direct sums.*

Proof. This follows from the corresponding fact for the functor $R\phi^! : D^+(\mathbf{Z}\text{–mod}) \to D^+(Z\text{–mod})$. □

Proposition 23.2.5. *Let $X_1^\bullet$ be a quasi-perfect object of $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}_Z)$ and $X_2^\bullet$ be an object of $\mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C}_Z)$ for some discrete quotient Z. Then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet) \simeq \varinjlim_{Z_i} \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_{Z_i})}(X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet),$$

where the direct limit is taken over the indices i such that $\mathbf{Z} \to Z$ factors through Z_i.

Proof. We can find a system of quasi-isomorphisms $X_2^\bullet \to Y_i^\bullet$, where each $Y_i^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}_{Z_i})$ consist of injective objects of $\mathcal{C}_{Z_i}$, and such that these complexes form a direct system with respect to the index i, and such that all $Y_i^\bullet$ are uniformly bounded from below.

By Proposition 23.2.2 and Corollary 23.2.4, $R\phi^!(X_2^\bullet)$ is given by the complex

$$\varinjlim_i \phi_i^!(Y_i^\bullet).$$

Then, by the quasi-perfectness assumption,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(X_1^\bullet, X_2^\bullet) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_Z)}(X_1^\bullet, R\phi^!(X_2^\bullet)) \simeq \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_Z)}(X_1^\bullet, \phi_i^!(Y_i^\bullet)).$$

By Proposition 23.1.3, the latter is isomorphic to

$$\underset{\underset{i}{\longrightarrow}}{\lim}\, \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_Z)}(X_1^\bullet, R\phi_i^!(Y_i^\bullet)) \simeq \underset{\underset{i}{\longrightarrow}}{\lim}\, \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_{Z_i})}(X_1^\bullet, Y_i^\bullet),$$

which is what we had to show.

□

Finally, we will prove the following assertion:

Proposition 23.2.6. *Let $X^\bullet$ be an object of $\mathbf{C}^-(\mathcal{C}_Z)$, where Z is an admissible quotient of $\mathbf{Z}$. Then $X^\bullet$ is quasi-perfect as an object of $D(\mathcal{C}_Z)$ if and only if it is quasi-perfect as an object of $D(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. Since the functor $R\phi^! : D^+(\mathcal{C}) \to D^+(\mathcal{C}_Z)$ commutes with direct sums, the implication "quasi-perfectness in $D(\mathcal{C}_Z)$" ⇒ "quasi-perfectness in $D(\mathcal{C})$" is clear.

To prove the implication in the opposite direction, we proceed by induction. We suppose that the functor

$$Y \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_Z)}(X^\bullet, Y[i']) : \mathcal{C}_Z \to \mathrm{Vect}$$

commutes with direct sums for $i' < i$. This assumption is satisfied for some i, since $X^\bullet$ is bounded from above.

Let us show that in this case the functor $Y \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_Z)}(X^\bullet, Y[i])$ also commutes with direct sums. For $\underset{\alpha}{\oplus} Y_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_Z$ consider the exact triangle in $D^+(\mathcal{C}_Z)$:

$$\underset{\alpha}{\oplus} Y_\alpha \to R\phi^!(\underset{\alpha}{\oplus} Y_\alpha) \to \tau^{>0}\left(R\phi^!(\underset{\alpha}{\oplus} Y_\alpha)\right),$$

where τ is the cohomological truncation.

Consider the corresponding commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(X^\bullet, \underset{\alpha}{\oplus} Y_\alpha[i-1]) \arrow[d] & \underset{\alpha}{\oplus} \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(X^\bullet, Y_\alpha[i-1]) \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_Z)}\left(X^\bullet, \tau^{>0}\left(R\phi^!(\underset{\alpha}{\oplus} Y_\alpha[i-1])\right)\right) \arrow[d] & \underset{\alpha}{\oplus} \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_Z)}\left(X^\bullet, \tau^{>0}\left(R\phi^!(Y_\alpha[i-1])\right)\right) \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_Z)}(X^\bullet, \underset{\alpha}{\oplus} Y_\alpha[i]) \arrow[d] & \underset{\alpha}{\oplus} \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_Z)}(X^\bullet, Y_\alpha[i]) \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(X^\bullet, \underset{\alpha}{\oplus} Y_\alpha[i]) \arrow[d] & \underset{\alpha}{\oplus} \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(X^\bullet, Y_\alpha[i]) \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_Z)}\left(X^\bullet, \tau^{>0}\left(R\phi^!(\underset{\alpha}{\oplus} Y_\alpha[i])\right)\right) & \underset{\alpha}{\oplus} \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_Z)}\left(X^\bullet, \tau^{>0}\left(R\phi^!(Y_\alpha[i])\right)\right) \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}.$$

The horizontal arrows in rows 1 and 4 are isomorphisms since $X^\bullet$ is quasi-perfect in $D(\mathcal{C})$. The arrows in rows 2 and 5 are isomorphisms by the induction hypothesis. Hence, the map in row 3 is an isomorphism, which is what we had to show.

□

23.3. **The equivariant situation.** Assume now that the category $\mathcal{C}$ as in the previous subsection is equipped with an infinitesimally trivial action of a group-scheme H. Assume that this action commutes with that of $\mathbf{Z}$. The latter means that for every $X \in \mathcal{C}$, the $\mathbf{Z}$-action on $\mathrm{act}^*(X)$ by transport of structure coincides with the action obtained by regarding it merely as an object of $\mathcal{C}$. Then for every discrete quotient Z of $\mathbf{Z}$, the category $\mathcal{C}_Z$ carries an infinitesimally trivial action of H.

We have a functor $\phi^! : \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})^H \to \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C}_Z)^H$, and let $R\phi^! : D^+(\mathcal{C})^H \to D^+(\mathcal{C}_Z)^H$ be its right derived functor. (Below we will show that it is well-defined.) We are going to prove the following:

Proposition 23.3.1. *$R\phi^! : D^+(\mathcal{C})^H \to D^+(\mathcal{C}_Z)^H$ is the right adjoint to the forgetful functor $D(\mathcal{C}_Z)^H \to D(\mathcal{C})^H$. Moreover, the diagram of functors*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D^+(\mathcal{C})^H \arrow[r, "R\phi^!"] \arrow[d, "\mathsf{F}_{\mathbf{Z}}"'] & D^+(\mathcal{C}_Z)^H \arrow[d, "\mathsf{F}_Z"'] \\
D^+(\mathbf{Z}\text{–mod}) \arrow[r, "R\phi^!"] & D^+(Z\text{–mod})
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

Proof. For any quasi-isomorphism $X^\bullet \to X_1^\bullet$ in $\mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})^H$ we can find a quasi-isomorphism from $X_1^\bullet$ to a complex, associated with a bi-complex $X_2^{\bullet,\bullet}$, whose rows are uniformly bounded from below and have the form $\mathrm{Av}_H(Y^\bullet)$, where $Y^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})$ consists of injective objects.

By Proposition 23.2.2 and Corollary 23.2.4, if we assign to $X^\bullet$ the complex in $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}_Z)^H$ associated with the bi-complex $\phi^!(X_2^{\bullet,\bullet})$, this is the desired right derived functor of $\phi^!$. It is clear from the construction that the diagram of functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D^+(\mathcal{C})^H \arrow[r, "R\phi^!"] \arrow[d] & D^+(\mathcal{C}_Z)^H \arrow[d] \\
D^+(\mathcal{C}) \arrow[r, "R\phi^!"] & D^+(\mathcal{C}_Z),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are the forgetful functors, is commutative.

Hence, it remains to show that $R\phi^!$ satisfies the desired adjointness property. By devissage, we are reduced to showing that for $Y^\bullet$ as above and $Y_1^\bullet \in \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}_Z)^H$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C})^H}(Y_1^\bullet, \mathrm{Av}_H(Y^\bullet)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_Z)^H}\left(Y_1^\bullet, \phi^!(\mathrm{Av}_H(Y^\bullet))\right).$$

However, the LHS is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(Y_1^\bullet, Y^\bullet)$, and the RHS is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_Z)^H}\left(Y_1^\bullet, \mathrm{Av}_H(\phi^!(Y^\bullet))\right) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathcal{C}_Z)}(Y_1^\bullet, \phi^!(Y^\bullet)),$$

and, as we have seen above, $\phi^!(Y^\bullet) \to R\phi^!(Y^\bullet)$ is an isomorphism in $D^+(\mathcal{C}_Z)$.

□

References

[Ar] S. Arkhipov, *A new construction of the semi-infinite BGG resolution*, Preprint q-alg/9605043.

[AB] A. Arkhipov and R. Bezrukavnikov, *Perverse sheaves on affine flags and Langlands dual group*, Preprint math.RT/0201073.

[ABG] S. Arkhipov, R. Bezrukavnikov, V. Ginzburg, *Quantum groups, the loop Grassmannian, and the Springer resolution,* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004) 595–678.

[AG1] S. Arkhipov and D. Gaitsgory, *Differential operators on the loop group via chiral algebras*, IMRN (2002) no. 4, 165–210.

[AG2] S. Arkhipov and D. Gaitsgory, *Another realization of the category of modules over the small quantum group*, Adv. Math. **173** (2003) 114–143.

[BB] A. Beilinson and J. Bernstein, *Localisation de g-modules*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I Math. **292** (1981) 15–18.

[CHA] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld, *Chiral algebras*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications **51**, AMS, 2004.

[CHA1] A. Beilinson, *Tensor products of topological vector spaces*, an addendum to [CHA].

[BD1] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld, *Quantization of Hitchin's integrable system and Hecke eigensheaves*, available at http://www.math.uchicago.edu/∼arinkin/langlands/

[BD2] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld, *Opers*, Preprint math.AG/0501398.

[BG] A. Beilinson and V. Ginzburg, *Wall-crossing functors and D-modules*, Represent. Theory **3** (1999) 1–31.

[Be] J. Bernstein, *Trace in categories*, in: Operator algebras, unitary representations, enveloping algebras, and invariant theory (Paris, 1989), pp. 417–423, Progr. Math., 92, Birkhäuser Boston (1990).

[BL] J. Bernstein, V. Luntz, *Equivariant sheaves and functors*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1578**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[Bez] R. Bezrukavnikov, unpublished manuscript.

[Dr1] V. Drinfeld, *DG quotients of DG categories*, J. Algebra **272** (2004) 643–691.

[Dr2] V. Drinfeld, *Infinite-dimensional vector bundles in algebraic geometry*, Preprint math.AG/0309155.

[DS] V. Drinfeld and V. Sokolov, *Lie algebras and KdV type equations*, J. Sov. Math. **30** (1985) 1975–2036.

[EF] D. Eisenbud and E. Frenkel, Appendix to *Jet schemes of locally complete intersection canonical singularities*, by M.Mustata, Inv. Math. **145** (2001) 397–424.

[Fe] B. Feigin, *The semi-infinite cohomology of Kac–Moody and Virasoro Lie algebras*, Russian Math. Surveys **39** (1984) no. 2, 155–156.

[FF1] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, *A family of representations of affine Lie algebras*, Russ. Math. Surv. **43** (1988) no. 5, 221–222.

[FF2] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, *Affine Kac-Moody Algebras and semi-infinite flag manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **128** (1990) 161–189.

[FF3] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, *Affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level and Gelfand-Dikii algebras*, in *Infinite Analysis*, eds. A. Tsuchiya, T. Eguchi, M. Jimbo, Adv. Ser. in Math. Phys. **16**, 197–215, Singapore: World Scientific, 1992.

[F] E. Frenkel, *Wakimoto modules, opers and the center at the critical level*, Adv. Math. **195** (2005) 297–404.

[FB] E. Frenkel and D. Ben-Zvi, *Vertex Algebras and Algebraic Curves*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **88**, Second Edition, AMS, 2004.

[FG] E. Frenkel and D. Gaitsgory, *D-modules on the affine Grassmannian and representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras*, Duke Math. J. **125** (2004) 279–327.

[FGV] E. Frenkel, D. Gaitsgory and K. Vilonen, *Whittaker patterns in the geometry of moduli spaces of bundles on curves*, Annals of Math. **153** (2001) 699–748.

[FT] E. Frenkel and K. Teleman, *Self-extensions of Verma modules and differential forms on opers*, Preprint math.QA/0401428.

[Ga] D. Gaitsgory, *Construction of central elements in the affine Hecke algebra via nearby cycles*, Invent. Math. **144** (2001) 253–280.

[Ga1] D. Gaitsgory, *The notion of category over an algebraic stack*, Preprint math.AG/0507192.

[Ga2] D. Gaitsgory, *Notes on Bezrukavnikov's theory*, in preparation.

[GMS] V. Gorbounov, F. Malikov, V. Schechtman, *On chiral differential operators over homogeneous spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **26** (2001) 83–106.

[KK] V. Kac and D. Kazhdan, *Structure of representations with highest weight of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Adv. in Math. **34** (1979) 97–108.

[Ko] B. Kostant, *Lie group representations on polynomial rings*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963) 327–402.

[Lan] R.P. Langlands, *Problems in the theory of automorphic forms*, in Lect. Notes in Math. **170**, pp. 18–61, Springer Verlag, 1970.

[Lau] G. Laumon, *Transformation de Fourier, constantes d'équations fonctionelles et conjecture de Weil*, Publ. IHES **65** (1987) 131–210.

[Ma] K. Mackenzie, *Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in differential geometry*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **124**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.

[MV] I. Mirković and K. Vilonen, *Geometric Langlands duality and representations of algebraic groups over commutative rings*, Preprint math.RT/0401222.

[Vog] D. Vogan, *Local Langlands correspondence*, Representation theory of groups and algebras, pp. 305–379, Contemp. Math. **145**, AMS, 1993.

[Vor] A. Voronov, *Semi-infinite induction and Wakimoto modules*, Amer. J. Math. **121** (1999) 1079–1094.

[W] M. Wakimoto, *Fock representations of affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$*, Comm. Math. Phys. **104** (1986) 605–609.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
E-mail address: `frenkel@math.berkeley.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
E-mail address: `gaitsgde@math.harvard.edu`